UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2012.

□ Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Transition Period From

Commission file number <u>1-8400</u>.

to

AMR Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 75-1825172 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

76155

(Zip Code)

4333 Amon Carter Blvd. Fort Worth, Texas (Address of principal executive offices)

principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (817) 963-1234

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year , if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. 🖾 Yes \Box No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

☑ Large Accelerated Filer □ Accelerated Filer □ Non-accelerated Filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). 🛛 Yes \Box No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). 🛛 Yes 🛛 No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, \$1 par value - 335,271,557 shares as of July 10, 2012.

INDEX

AMR CORPORATION DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION

PART I:	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	<u>1</u>
Item 1.	Financial Statements	<u>1</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Operations	<u>1</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>2</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>3</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>5</u>
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>6</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>21</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	<u>36</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>37</u>
PART II:	OTHER INFORMATION	<u>38</u>
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	<u>38</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits	<u>41</u>
<u>SIGNATUR</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>42</u>

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

AMR CORPORATION DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited) (In millions, except per share amounts)

		Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ende June 30,		ded		
		2012		2011	2012			2011	
Revenues									
Passenger — American Airlines	\$	4,837	\$	4,557	\$	9,394	\$	8,691	
— Regional Affiliates		790		711		1,460		1,288	
Cargo		175		187		343		356	
Other revenues		650		659		1,293		1,312	
Total operating revenues		6,452		6,114		12,490		11,647	
Expenses									
Aircraft fuel		2,209		2,202		4,375		4,044	
Wages, salaries and benefits		1,778		1,764		3,560		3,486	
Other rentals and landing fees		333		355		661		707	
Maintenance, materials and repairs		357		334		700		639	
Depreciation and amortization		261		266		521		542	
Commissions, booking fees and credit card expense		263		268		529		524	
Aircraft rentals		130		158		272		318	
Food service		130		133		255		253	
Special charges		106		—		117		—	
Other operating expenses		743		712		1,447		1,443	
Total operating expenses		6,310		6,192		12,437		11,956	
Operating Income (Loss)		142		(78)		53		(309)	
Other Income (Expense)									
Interest income		7		7		13		14	
Interest expense (contractual interest expense equals \$(185) and \$(383) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012)		(164)		(215)		(342)		(415)	
Interest capitalized		12		10		24		17	
Miscellaneous — net		(8)		(10)		(18)		(29)	
		(153)		(208)		(323)		(413)	
Income (Loss) Before Reorganization Items, Net		(11)		(286)		(270)		(722)	
Reorganization Items, Net		(230)		_		(1,630)		_	
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes		(241)		(286)		(1,900)		(722)	
Income tax		_		_		_		_	
Net Loss	\$	(241)	\$	(286)	\$	(1,900)	\$	(722)	
Earnings (Loss) Per Share		<u> </u>		,				. ,	
Basic	\$	(0.72)	\$	(0.85)	\$	(5.67)	\$	(2.16)	
Diluted	\$	(0.72)	\$	(0.85)	\$	(5.67)	\$	(2.16)	
	•	()	•	(((

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

AMR CORPORATION DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited) (In millions)

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			ed	
		2012		2011		2012	2011	
Net Earnings (Loss)	\$	(241)	\$	\$ (286)		(1,900)	\$	(722)
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Before Tax:								
Defined benefit pension plans and retiree medical:								
Amortization of actuarial loss and prior service cost		57		33		113		65
Current year change		_		_				13
Derivative financial instruments:								
Change in fair value		(104)		(181)		(56)		294
Reclassification into earnings		1		(132)		(25)		(230)
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments								
Net change in value		—		—		2		_
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Before Tax		(46)		(280)		34		142
Income tax expense on other comprehensive income		_		_		_		_
Comprehensive Income (Loss)	\$	(287)	\$	(566)	\$	(1,866)	\$	(580)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

AMR CORPORATION DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited) (In millions)

	 June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets		
Current Assets		
Cash	\$ 371	\$ 283
Short-term investments	4,609	3,718
Restricted cash and short-term investments	772	738
Receivables, net	1,129	902
Inventories, net	593	617
Fuel derivative contracts	24	97
Other current assets	486	402
Total current assets	7,984	6,757
Equipment and Property		
Flight equipment, net	10,636	11,041
Other equipment and property, net	2,076	2,126
Purchase deposits for flight equipment	759	746
	13,471	13,913
Equipment and Property Under Capital Leases		
Flight equipment, net	247	323
Other equipment and property, net	66	70
	313	393
International slots and route authorities	708	708
Domestic slots and airport operating and gate lease rights, less accumulated amortization, net	173	186
Other assets	2,077	1,891
	\$ 24,726	\$ 23,848

AMR CORPORATION DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited) (In millions)

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,362	\$ 1,007
Accrued liabilities	1,899	1,882
Air traffic liability	5,151	4,223
Current maturities of long-term debt	1,598	1,518
Current obligations under capital leases	56	—
Total current liabilities	 10,066	8,630
Long-term debt, less current maturities	6,323	6,702
Obligations under capital leases, less current obligations	393	_
Pension and postretirement benefits	77	9,204
Other liabilities, deferred gains and deferred credits	1,687	1,580
Liabilities Subject to Compromise	15,148	4,843
Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Preferred stock	—	—
Common stock	341	341
Additional paid-in capital	4,475	4,465
Treasury stock	(367)	(367)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(3,930)	(3,964)
Accumulated deficit	(9,487)	(7,586)
	 (8,968)	 (7,111)
	\$ 24,726	\$ 23,848

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

AMR CORPORATION DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited) (In millions)

	Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2	012		2011	
Net Cash Provided by (used for) Operating Activities	\$	1,722	\$	653	
Cash Flow from Investing Activities:					
Capital expenditures, including aircraft lease deposits		(733)		(748)	
Net (increase) decrease in short-term investments		(890)		(530)	
Net (increase) decrease in restricted cash and short-term investments		(34)		(7)	
Proceeds from sale of equipment and property		57		(13)	
Net cash used for investing activities		(1,600)		(1,298)	
Cash Flow from Financing Activities:					
Payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations		(602)		(1,184)	
Proceeds from:					
Issuance of debt		—		1,717	
Sale leaseback transactions		568		262	
Other				—	
Net cash provided by financing activities		(34)		795	
Net increase (decrease) in cash		88		150	
Cash at beginning of period		283		168	
Cash at end of period	\$	371	\$	318	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

AMR CORPORATION DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. Chapter 11 Reorganization

Overview

On November 29, 2011 (the Petition Date), AMR Corporation (AMR or the Company) and certain of the Company's direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries (collectively, the Debtors) filed voluntary petitions for relief (the Chapter 11 Cases) under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the Bankruptcy Code), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the Bankruptcy Court). The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered under the caption "in re AMR Corporation, et al, Case No. 11-15463-SHL."

The Company and the other Debtors are operating as "debtors in possession" under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. In general, as debtors in possession under the Bankruptcy Code, we are authorized to continue to operate as an ongoing business but may not engage in transactions outside the ordinary course of business without the prior approval of the Bankruptcy Court. The Bankruptcy Code enables the Company to continue to operate its business without interruption, and the Bankruptcy Court has granted additional relief covering, among other things, obligations to (i) employees, (ii) taxing authorities, (iii) insurance providers, (iv) independent contractors for improvement projects, (v) foreign vendors, (vi) other airlines pursuant to certain interline agreements, and (vii) certain vendors deemed critical to the Debtors' operations.

While operating as debtors in possession under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors may sell or otherwise dispose of or liquidate assets or settle liabilities, subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court or otherwise as permitted in the ordinary course of business. The Debtors have not yet prepared or filed with the Bankruptcy Court a plan of reorganization. On March 23, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order pursuant to Section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code extending the exclusivity periods during which only the Debtors have the right to file a plan of reorganization and solicit and obtain acceptances of such plan. The date until which the Debtors have to file a plan of reorganization has been extended through and including September 28, 2012. If the Debtors file a plan of reorganization on or prior to such date, the Debtors have an exclusive period to solicit and obtain acceptances for such plan through and including November 29, 2012. On July 3, 2012 the Debtors file a joint motion with the statutory official committee of unsecured creditors appointed by the U.S. Trustee on December 5, 2011 (the Creditors' Committee) seeking to extend such exclusivity periods to December 28, 2012 and February 28, 2013, respectively. These extensions are without prejudice to the Debtors' right to seek further extensions of such exclusivity periods. The ultimate plan of reorganization, which would be subject to acceptance by the requisite majorities of empowered creditors under the Bankruptcy Code and approved by the Bankruptcy Court, could materially change the amounts and classifications in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company's Chapter 11 Cases followed an extended effort by the Company to restructure its business to strengthen its competitive and financial position. However, the Company's substantial cost disadvantage compared to its larger competitors, all of which restructured their costs and debt through Chapter 11, became increasingly untenable given the accelerating impact of global economic uncertainty and resulting revenue instability, volatile and rising fuel prices, and intensifying competitive challenges.

No assurance can be given as to the value, if any, that may be ascribed to the Debtors' various prepetition liabilities and other securities. The Company cannot predict what the ultimate value of any of its securities may be or whether holders of any such securities will receive any distribution in the Debtors' reorganization. However, it is likely that the Company's common stock will have little or no value at the time of the Company's emergence from bankruptcy, and the common stock could be canceled entirely upon the approval of the Bankruptcy Court. In the event of such cancellation, amounts invested in the Company's common stock will not be recoverable. Accordingly, the Debtors urge that caution be exercised with respect to existing and future investments in any of these securities (including the Company's common stock) or other Debtor claims. Trading in the Company's common stock and certain debt securities on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) was suspended on January 5, 2012, and the Company's common stock and such debt securities were delisted by the SEC from the NYSE on January 30, 2012. On January 5, 2012, the Company's common stock began trading under the symbol "AAMRQ" on the OTCQB marketplace, operated by OTC Markets Group (www.otcmarkets.com).

General Information

Notices to Creditors; Effect of Automatic Stay. The Debtors have notified all known current or potential creditors that the Chapter 11 Cases were filed. Subject to certain exceptions under the Bankruptcy Code, the filing of the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases automatically enjoined, or stayed, the continuation of most judicial or administrative proceedings or filing of other actions against

the Debtors or their property to recover on, collect or secure a claim arising prior to the Petition Date. Thus, for example, most creditor actions to obtain possession of property from the Debtors, or to create, perfect or enforce any lien against the property of the Debtors, or to collect on monies owed or otherwise exercise rights or remedies with respect to a prepetition claim, are enjoined unless and until the Bankruptcy Court lifts the automatic stay as to any such claim. Vendors are being paid for goods furnished and services provided after the Petition Date in the ordinary course of business.

Appointment of Creditors' Committee. On December 5, 2011, the U.S. Trustee appointed the Creditors' Committee for the Chapter 11 Cases.

Appointment of Retiree Committee. On March 23, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered a Stipulation and Order providing for the appointment of a committee of retired independent and unionized AMR employees (the Retiree Committee). On April 20, 2012, the U.S. Trustee recommended the appointment of five persons to the Retiree Committee: two non-union retirees and one representative from each of the Association of Professional Flight Attendants (APFA), Transportation Workers Union (TWU) and Allied Pilots Association (APA). On May 3, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court appointed the authorized individuals to the Retiree Committee from the respective employee groups.

Rejection of Executory Contracts. Under Section 365 and other relevant sections of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors may assume, assume and assign, or reject certain executory contracts and unexpired leases, including, without limitation, agreements relating to aircraft and aircraft engines (collectively, Aircraft Property) and leases of real property, subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court and certain other conditions. The Debtors' rights to assume, assume and assign, or reject unexpired leases of non-residential real estate had been extended by order of the Bankruptcy Court through June 26, 2012. On June 20, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered orders granting the Debtors' motions to assume 463 unexpired leases of non-residential real property. On June 21, 2012 the Bankruptcy Court entered an order extending, by the Debtors' agreement with certain landlords, the date by which the Debtors must assume or reject an additional 88 unexpired lease of non-residential real property. In general, rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease is treated as a prepetition breach of the executory contract or unexpired lease in question and, subject to certain exceptions, relieves the Debtors from performing their future obligations under such executory contract or unexpired lease but entitles the contract counterparty or lessor to a prepetition general unsecured claim for damages caused by such deemed breach. Counterparties to such rejected contracts or leases have the right to file claims against the Debtors' estate for such damages. Generally, the assumption of an executory contract or unexpired lease.

In accordance with the Bankruptcy Code, as of June 30, 2012, the Company had rejected ten ground leases and filed motions to reject facility agreements supporting special facility revenue bonds at Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, Fort Worth Alliance Airport and Luis Muñoz Marín International Airport in San Juan, Puerto Rico. See "Reorganization Items, net" in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Any description of an executory contract or unexpired lease elsewhere in these Notes or in the report to which these Notes are attached, including where applicable the Debtors' express termination rights or a quantification of their obligations, must be read in conjunction with, and is qualified by, any rights the Debtors or counterparties have under Section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code.

The Debtors expect that liabilities subject to compromise and resolution in the Chapter 11 Cases will arise in the future as a result of damage claims created by the Debtors' rejection of various executory contracts and unexpired leases. Due to the uncertain nature of many of the potential rejection claims, the magnitude of such claims is not reasonably estimable at this time. Such claims may be material (see "Liabilities Subject to Compromise" in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

Special Protection Applicable to Leases and Secured Financing of Aircraft and Aircraft Equipment. Notwithstanding the general discussion above of the impact of the automatic stay, under Section 1110 of the Bankruptcy Code, beginning 60 days after filing a petition under Chapter 11, certain secured parties, lessors and conditional sales vendors may have a right to take possession of certain qualifying Aircraft Property that is leased or subject to a security interest or conditional sale contract, unless the Debtors, subject to approval by the Bankruptcy Court, agree to perform under the applicable agreement, and cure any defaults as provided in Section 1110 (other than defaults of a kind specified in Section 365(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code). Taking such action does not preclude the Debtors from later rejecting the applicable lease or abandoning the Aircraft Property subject to the related security agreement, or from later seeking to renegotiate the terms of the related financing.

The Debtors may extend the 60-day period by agreement of the relevant financing party, with Bankruptcy Court approval. In the absence of an agreement or cure as described above or such an extension, the financing party may take possession of the Aircraft Property and enforce any of its contractual rights or remedies to sell, lease or otherwise retain or dispose of such equipment.

The 60-day period under Section 1110 in the Chapter 11 Cases expired on January 27, 2012. In accordance with the Bankruptcy Court's Order Authorizing the Debtors to (i) Enter into Agreements Under Section 1110(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, (ii) Enter into Stipulations to Extend the Time to Comply with Section 1110 of the Bankruptcy Code and (iii) File Redacted Section 1110(b)

Stipulations, dated December 23, 2011, the Debtors have entered into agreements to extend the automatic stay or agreed to perform and cure defaults under financing agreements with respect to certain aircraft in their fleet and other Aircraft Property. With respect to certain Aircraft Property, the Debtors have reached agreements on, or agreements on key aspects of, renegotiated terms of the related financings, and the Debtors are continuing to negotiate terms with respect to many of their other Aircraft Property financings. The ultimate outcome of these negotiations cannot be predicted with certainty. To the extent the Debtors are unable to reach definitive agreements with Aircraft Property financing parties, those parties may seek to repossess the subject Aircraft Property. The loss of a significant number of aircraft could result in a material adverse effect on the Debtors' financial and operating performance.

In accordance with Section 1110 of the Bankruptcy Code, as of June 30, 2012, the Company had (i) rejected 40 leases relating to 21 MD-80 aircraft, four Fokker 100 aircraft, seven Boeing 757-200 aircraft and eight spare engines; (ii) relinquished one Airbus A300-600R aircraft that was subject to a mortgage; (iii) made elections under Section 1110(a) of the Bankruptcy Code to retain 340 aircraft and 87 spare engines, including Boeing 737-800, Boeing 757-200, Boeing 767-300ER, Boeing 777-200ER, Bombardier CRJ-700, and McDonnell Douglas MD-80 aircraft, on the terms provided in the related financing documents; and (iv) reached agreement on revised economic terms of the financings of 146 aircraft, comprising 74 MD-80 aircraft, nine Boeing 737-800 aircraft, 36 Boeing 757-200 aircraft, 11 Boeing 767-200ER aircraft, 13 Boeing 767-300ER aircraft and 3 Boeing 777-200 aircraft (which agreements are subject to reaching agreement on definitive documentation). In addition, the Company reached an agreement with the lessor to modify the leases of 39 Super ATR aircraft. As of June 30, 2012, 22 of the Super ATR aircraft had been returned to the lessor as allowed under the modified agreement. The remaining 17 Super ATR aircraft are expected to be returned to the lessor during the remainder of 2012 and 2013. Lastly, the Company reached an agreement with the lender with respect to 18 Embraer RJ-135 aircraft pursuant to which the Company surrendered such aircraft to the lender on June 22, 2012, and the lender agreed that the Company would have no further obligations under the related mortgage documents.

Magnitude of Potential Claims. On February 27, 2012, the Debtors filed with the Bankruptcy Court schedules and statements of financial affairs setting forth, among other things, the assets and liabilities of the Debtors, subject to the assumptions filed in connection therewith. All of the schedules are subject to further amendment or modification.

Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3) requires the Bankruptcy Court to fix the time within which proofs of claim must be filed in a Chapter 11 case pursuant to Section 501 of the Bankruptcy Code. This Bankruptcy Rule also provides that any creditor who asserts a claim against the Debtors that arose prior to the Petition Date and whose claim (i) is not listed on the Debtors' schedules or (ii) is listed on the schedules as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated, must file a proof of claim. On May 4, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order that established July 16, 2012 at 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) as the deadline to file proofs of claim against any Debtor. More information regarding the filing of proofs of claim can be obtained at www.amrcaseinfo.com.

As of July 16, 2012, approximately 8,876 claims totaling about \$95.1 billion have been filed with the Bankruptcy Court against the Debtors, and we expect new and amended claims to be filed in the future, including claims amended to assign values to claims originally filed with no designated value. Through the claims resolution process we expect to identify many claims that we believe should be disallowed by the Bankruptcy Court because they are duplicative, are without merit, are overstated or for other reasons.

Differences between amounts scheduled by the Debtors and claims by creditors will be investigated and resolved in connection with the claims resolution process. In light of the expected number of creditors, the claims resolution process may take considerable time to complete. Accordingly, the ultimate number and amount of allowed claims is not presently known, nor can the ultimate recovery with respect to allowed claims be presently ascertained.

Collective Bargaining Agreements. The Bankruptcy Code provides a process for the modification and/or rejection of collective bargaining agreements (CBAs). In particular, Section 1113(c) of the Bankruptcy Code permits a debtor to reject its CBAs if the debtor satisfies a number of statutorily prescribed substantive and procedural prerequisites and obtains the Bankruptcy Court's approval to reject the CBAs. The Section 1113(c) process requires that a debtor must make proposals to its unions to modify existing CBAs based on the most complete and reliable information available at the time the proposals are made. The proposed modifications must be necessary to permit the reorganization of the debtor and must assure that all the affected parties are treated fairly and equitably. The debtor must provide the unions with all information necessary to evaluate the proposals, and meet at reasonable times and confer in good faith with the unions in an effort to reach mutually agreeable modifications to the CBAs. American Airlines, Inc. (American) commenced the Section 1113(c) process with its unions (APA, APFA and TWU) on February 1, 2012, and has been negotiating in good faith with the unions for consensual agreements that achieve the necessary level of labor cost savings. Because consensual agreements had not been reached, and given American's need to restructure its labor costs expeditiously, the Debtors filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court on March 27, 2012 requesting approval to reject the CBAs. Rejection of the CBAs is appropriate if the Bankruptcy Court finds the Debtor's proposals are necessary for its reorganization, are fair and equitable, and that the unions refused to agree to the proposals without good cause.

The Court hearing on the Debtors' request to reject the CBAs began on April 23, 2012 with the presentation of the Debtors' case

and concluded the week of May 21, 2012 with the presentation of the unions' cases and rebuttal evidence from the Debtors. The hearing record is now complete and the parties currently expect a decision from the Court on August 15, 2012. Since the filing of its request to reject its CBAs, American and the unions have continued to negotiate in good faith toward consensual agreements. These negotiations have resulted in ratified agreements with five of the seven TWU-represented groups (Fleet Service Clerks, Dispatchers, Ground School Instructors, Maintenance Control Technicians, and Simulator Technicians). Significant progress has also been made with other workgroups. On June 27, 2012, the APA Board of Directors voted in favor of sending a tentative agreement with American to its membership for a ratification vote; and on July 10, 2012, American and the TWU reached tentative agreements covering the two remaining TWU-represented workgroups, Mechanics & Related and Stores, and those agreements will be voted on by the members of those groups. American continues to negotiate with the APFA toward a consensual agreement. The results of the pilot ratification vote will be known on August 8, 2012, and it is anticipated that the results of the TWU ratification votes will be known around that same date. Following the announcement of the pilot tentative agreement and schedule for membership voting, the Court decided to delay its decision on American's request to reject the CBAs until August 15, 2012. AMR Eagle Holding Corporation and its primary subsidiaries, American Eagle Airlines, Inc. and Executive Airlines, Inc. (collectively, American Eagle) commenced the Section 1113(c) process with its unions on March 21, 2012, and continue to negotiate toward consensual agreements with the Air Line Pilots Association of Flight Attendants and TWU. The ultimate resolution of American's and AMR Eagle's union negotiations cannot be determined at this time.

Plan of Reorganization. On March 23, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order pursuant to Section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code extending the exclusivity periods during which only the Debtors have the right to file a plan of reorganization and solicit and obtain acceptances of such plan. The date until which the Debtors have the exclusive right to file a plan of reorganization has been extended through and including September 28, 2012. If the Debtors file a plan of reorganization on or prior to such date, the Debtors have an exclusive period to solicit and obtain acceptances for such plan through and including November 29, 2012. On July 3, 2012 the Debtors file a plan of reorganization or prior to such date, the Debtors' Committee seeking to extend such exclusivity periods to December 28, 2012 and February 28, 2013, respectively. These extensions are without prejudice to the Debtors' right to seek further extensions of such exclusivity periods. If the Debtors' exclusivity period lapses, any party in interest may file a plan of reorganization must satisfy certain requirements of the Bankruptcy Code and must be approved, or confirmed, by the Bankruptcy Court in order to become effective. A plan of reorganization has been accepted by holders of claims against and equity interests in the Debtors if (1) at least one-half in number and two-thirds in dollar amount of claims actually voting in each impaired class of equity interests has voted to accept the plan and (2) at least two-thirds in amount of equity interests actually voting in each impaired class of equity interests has voted to accept the plan.

Under certain circumstances set forth in Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Court may confirm a plan even if such plan has not been accepted by all impaired classes of claims and equity interests. A class of claims or equity interests that does not receive or retain any property under the plan on account of such claims or interests is deemed to have voted to reject the plan. The precise requirements and evidentiary showing for confirming a plan notwithstanding its rejection by one or more impaired classes of claims or equity interests depends upon a number of factors, including the status and seniority of the claims or equity interests in the rejecting class (i.e., secured claims or unsecured claims, subordinated or senior claims, preferred or common stock). Generally, with respect to common stock interests, a plan may be "crammed down" even if the shareowners receive no recovery if the proponent of the plan demonstrates that (1) no class junior to the common stock is receiving or retaining property under the plan and (2) no class of claims or interests senior to the common stock is being paid more than in full.

Availability and Utilization of Net Operating Losses. The availability and utilization of net operating losses (and utilization of alternative minimum tax credits) after the Debtors' emergence from Chapter 11 is uncertain at this time and will be highly influenced by the composition of the plan of reorganization that is ultimately pursued. On January 27, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court issued a Final Order Establishing Notification Procedures for Substantial Claimholders and Equityholders and Approving Restrictions on Certain Transfers of Interests in the Debtors' Estates, which restricts trading in the Company's common stock and claims. The order is intended to prevent certain transfers of the Company's common stock and certain transfers of claims against the Debtors that could impair the ability of one or more of the Debtors' estates to use their net operating loss carryovers and certain other tax attributes currently or on a reorganized basis. Any acquisition, disposition, or other transfer of equity or claims on after November 29, 2011 in violation of the restrictions set forth in the order will be null and void *ab initio* and/or subject to sanctions as an act in violation of the automatic stay under sections 105(a) and 362 of the Bankruptcy Code. The order applies to (i) "Substantial Equityholders," *i.e.*, persons who are, or as a result of a transaction become, the beneficial owner of unsecured claims in excess of a threshold amount of unsecured claims, but which may be subsequently increased or decreased under certain circumstances in connection with the Debtors' filing of a Chapter 11 plan). In the case of Substantial Equityholders, the order imposes current restrictions with respect to the acquisition or disposition of the Company's stock, and cartain notifications may be required. In the case of Substantial Claimholders, the order imposes a procedure pursuant to which, under certain circumstances, the claims accuired

Table of Contents

during the Chapter 11 Cases may have to be resold, and certain notifications may be required.

Liabilities Subject to Compromise

The following table summarizes the components of liabilities subject to compromise included on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2012: (in millions)

Long-term debt	\$ 2,309
Aircraft lease and facility bond related obligations	2,892
Pension and postretirement benefits	9,488
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	479
Other	(20)
Total liabilities subject to compromise	\$ 15,148

Long-term debt, including undersecured debt, classified as subject to compromise as of June 30, 2012 consisted of (in millions):

Secured variable and fixed rate indebtedness due through 2023 (effective rates from 1.00% - 13.00% at June 30, 2012)	1,2	83
6.00%—8.50% special facility revenue bonds due through 2036	1	86
6.25% senior convertible notes due 2014	4	60
9.0%—10.20% debentures due through 2021	2	14
7.88%—10.55% notes due through 2039	1	66
\$	2,3	.09

Liabilities subject to compromise refers to prepetition obligations which may be impacted by the Chapter 11 reorganization process. These amounts represent the Debtors' current estimate of known or potential prepetition obligations to be resolved in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases.

In accordance with ASC 852, substantially all of the Company's unsecured debt has been classified as liabilities subject to compromise. Additionally, certain of the Company's undersecured debt instruments have also been classified as liabilities subject to compromise.

As a result of the announcements discussed in Note 8 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company's Pension and postretirement benefits liability has been classified as liabilities subject to compromise.

Differences between liabilities the Debtors have estimated and the claims filed, or to be filed, will be investigated and resolved in connection with the claims resolution process. The Company will continue to evaluate these liabilities throughout the Chapter 11 Cases and adjust amounts as necessary. Such adjustments may be material. In light of the expected number of creditors, the claims resolution process may take considerable time to complete. Accordingly, the ultimate number and amount of allowed claims is not presently known.

Reorganization Items, net

(in millions)

Reorganization items refer to revenues, expenses (including professional fees), realized gains and losses and provisions for losses that are realized or incurred as a direct result of the Chapter 11 Cases. The following table summarizes the components included in reorganization items, net on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012:

	Three M	Three Months Ended (2)		nths Ended ⁽³⁾
	June 30, 2012			
Aircraft financing renegotiations and rejections ⁽¹⁾	\$	98	\$	1,114
Rejection of facility bond related obligations		60		399
Professional fees		72		117
Total reorganization items, net	\$	230	\$	1,630

- (1) The Debtors record an estimated claim associated with the rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease when a motion is filed with the Bankruptcy Court to reject such contract or lease and the Debtors believe that it is probable the motion will be approved and there is sufficient information to estimate the claim. The Debtors record an estimated claim associated with the renegotiation of an executory contract or unexpired lease when the renegotiated terms of such contract or lease are not opposed or are otherwise approved by the Bankruptcy Court and there is sufficient information to estimate the claim.
- (2) Estimated allowed claims from (i) filing motions to modify the leases and revise the economic terms of the financing of certain aircraft and (ii) rejecting facility agreements supporting special facility revenue bonds at Luis Muñoz Marín International Airport in San Juan, Puerto Rico. The modification of leases and financing relating to such aircraft has been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. See above, "Special Protection Applicable to Leases and Secured Financing of Aircraft and Aircraft Equipment," for further information.
- (3) Estimated allowed claims for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from (i) rejecting 16 leases of seven Boeing 757-200 aircraft, one McDonnell Douglas MD-80 aircraft, and eight spare engines, (ii) relinquishing one Airbus A300-600R aircraft that was subject to a mortgage, (iii) filing motions to reject facility agreements supporting special facility revenue bonds at Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, Fort Worth Alliance Airport and Luis Muñoz Marín International Airport in San Juan, Puerto Rico, and (iv) filing motions to modify the leases of 168 aircraft, and 63 McDonnell Douglas MD-80 aircraft. The rejections of the leases of such aircraft and spare engines and the modification of the leases relating to such aircraft have been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. See above, "Special Protection Applicable to Leases and Secured Financing of Aircraft and Aircraft Equipment," for further information.

Claims related to reorganization items are reflected in liabilities subject to compromise on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2012.

Retirement Benefit Plans

On March 7, 2012, the Company announced that, in working with Creditors' Committee and the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC), it developed a solution that would allow the Company to pursue a freeze of its defined benefit pension plans for non-pilot employees instead of seeking termination. The Company and the PBGC have since reached an agreement on freezing three of the airline's four defined benefit plans. The agreement was filed with the Bankruptcy Court on May 4, 2012.

In addition, the Company is continuing to work with the PBGC, the Creditors' Committee and the Allied Pilots Association on a solution that could allow the Company to freeze the defined benefit pension plan for pilots instead of seeking termination. On June 20, 2012, the U.S. Department of Treasury published a proposed regulation, which, if finalized, would create a process by which American would seek to remove certain impediments to freezing the defined benefit plan for pilots. The proposed regulation has a 60 day comment period at which time the U.S. Department of Treasury could issue a final ruling.

Additional information about the Company's Chapter 11 filing is also available on the Internet at aa.com/restructuring. Court filings and claims information are available at amrcaseinfo.com.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States (U.S.) generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, these financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods indicated. Results of operations for the periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for the entire year. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of AMR and its wholly owned subsidiaries, including (i) its principal subsidiary American and (ii) its regional airline subsidiary, AMR Eagle. The condensed consolidated financial statements also include the accounts of variable interest entities for which the Company is the primary beneficiary. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in AMR's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 15, 2012 (2011 Form 10-K).

In accordance with GAAP, the Debtors have applied ASC 852 "Reorganizations" (ASC 852), in preparing the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. ASC 852 requires that the financial statements, for periods subsequent to the Chapter 11 Cases, distinguish transactions and events that are directly associated with the reorganization from the ongoing operations of the business.

Accordingly, certain revenues, expenses (including professional fees), realized gains and losses and provisions for losses that are realized or incurred in the Chapter 11 Cases are recorded in reorganization items, net on the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations. In addition, prepetition obligations that may be impacted by the Chapter 11 reorganization process have been classified on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in liabilities subject to compromise. These liabilities are reported at the amounts expected to be allowed by the Bankruptcy Court, even if they may be settled for lesser amounts.

Certain of our non-U.S. subsidiaries were not part of the Chapter 11 filings. Since the non-US subsidiaries not part of the bankruptcy filing do not have significant transactions, we do not separately disclose the condensed combined financial statements of the Debtors in accordance with the requirements of reorganization accounting.

These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have also been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates continuity of operations, realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. Accordingly, the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability of assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Debtors be unable to continue as a going concern.

As a result of the Chapter 11 Cases, the satisfaction of our liabilities and funding of ongoing operations are subject to uncertainty and, accordingly, there is a substantial doubt of the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements do not purport to reflect or provide for the consequences of the Chapter 11 Cases, other than as set forth under "liabilities subject to compromise" on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and "income (loss) before reorganization items" and "reorganization items, net" on the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations (see Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). In particular, the financial statements do not purport to show (1) as to assets, their realizable value on a liquidation basis or their availability to satisfy liabilities; (2) as to prepetition liabilities, the amounts that may be allowed for claims or contingencies, or the status and priority thereof; (3) as to shareowners' equity accounts, the effect of any changes that may be made to the Debtors' capitalization; or (4) as to operations, the effect of any changes that may be made to the Debtors' business.

3. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

American had total aircraft acquisition commitments as of June 30, 2012 as follows:

			Boeing		Airbus		
		737 Family1	777-200ER	777-300ER	A320 Family	NEO	Total
Remainder of 2012	Purchase	16		2			18
	Lease						0
2013	Purchase	15		8			23
	Lease	16			20		36
2014	Purchase	5	2				7
	Lease	15			35		50
2015	Purchase		2				2
	Lease	20			30		50
2016	Purchase		2				2
	Lease	20			25		45
2017 and beyond	Purchase					130	130
	Lease	20			20		40
Total	Purchase	36	6	10	0	130	182
	Lease	91	0	0	130	0	221

^{1.} As of June 30, 2012, American had elected to purchase nine Boeing 737 Next Generation aircraft using the sale-leaseback financing arranged directly by American with a third party leasing company. These aircraft are therefore reflected as purchases in the above table.

The assumption of agreements related to the Company's aircraft commitments is subject to collaboration with the Company's key stakeholders and, in some instances, approval of the Bankruptcy Court. The Company cannot predict what the outcome of these discussions and the Bankruptcy Court process will be.

As of June 30, 2012, and subject to assumption of the related agreements, payments for the above purchase commitments and certain engines will approximate \$694 million in the remainder of 2012, \$1.5 billion in 2013, \$583 million in 2014, \$335 million in 2015, \$362 million in 2016, and \$7.4 billion for 2017 and beyond. These amounts are net of purchase deposits currently held by the manufacturers. American has granted Boeing a security interest in American's purchase deposits with Boeing. The Company's purchase deposits totaled \$759 million as of June 30, 2012.

As of June 30, 2012, and subject to assumption of the related agreements, total future lease payments for all leased aircraft, including aircraft not yet delivered, will approximate \$291 million in the remainder of 2012, \$698 million in 2013, \$903 million in 2014, \$1.2 billion in 2015, \$1.4 billion in 2016, and \$13.5 billion in 2017 and beyond.

In 2008, American entered into a purchase agreement with Boeing (subject to certain reconfirmation rights) to acquire 42 Boeing 787-9 aircraft, with the right to acquire an additional 58 Boeing 787-9 aircraft. American's first Boeing 787-9 aircraft was previously scheduled to deliver (subject to reconfirmation rights) in 2014; however, due to production issues such delivery has been delayed. American has selected GE Aviation as the exclusive provider of engines for its expected order of Boeing 787-9 aircraft. The assumption of the agreements related to our Boeing 787-9 aircraft order is subject to collaboration with the Company's key stakeholders and, in some instances, approval of the Bankruptcy Court. The Company cannot predict what the outcome of discussions with these stakeholders and of the Bankruptcy Court process will be.

In 2010, American and Japan Airlines (JAL) entered into a Joint Business Agreement (JBA) to enhance their scope of cooperation on routes between North America and Asia through adjustments to their respective networks, flight schedules, and other business activities. American and JAL began implementing the JBA on April 1, 2011. American and JAL entered into a Revenue Sharing Agreement, effective April 1, 2011, as envisaged by the JBA. Under the agreement, American and JAL share certain revenues of their operations. In addition, American provided JAL a guarantee of certain minimum incremental revenue resulting from the successful operation of the joint business for the first three years following its implementation, subject to certain terms and conditions. In June 2012, American and JAL amended the Revenue Sharing Agreement. Under the amended agreement American's guarantee to JAL of certain minimum incremental revenue commences July 1, 2012 and continues for three years thereafter. The amount required to be paid by the Company under the guarantee in any one of such years may not exceed \$100 million, and is reduced if capacity for one of such years is less than a defined base year period capacity. Based on current Trans-Pacific capacity, the guarantee in any one of such years may not exceed \$100 million, As of June 30, 2012, based on an expected probability model, American had recorded a guarantee liability that is not material.

The Company announced the principal terms of a new business plan on February 1, 2012 which contemplates, among other things, significantly reducing positions. The Company currently expects to reduce the number of positions by approximately 10,000. The Company has incurred and may incur additional significant accounting charges, including employee severance charges (see Note 9, Special Charges and Restructuring Activities). The business plan will require continued collaboration with the Creditors' Committee, various economic stakeholders and union representatives, and in some instances, approval of the Bankruptcy Court. The Company cannot predict whether, or to what extent, the business plan will be implemented. As such, at this time, the Company is not able to reasonably estimate the amount and timing of such charges or the portion of these charges that will result in future cash expenditures.

As a result of the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases, attempts to prosecute, collect, secure or enforce remedies with respect to prepetition claims against the Debtors are subject to the automatic stay provisions of Section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, except in such cases where the Bankruptcy Court has entered an order modifying or lifting the automatic stay. Notwithstanding the general application of the automatic stay described above, governmental authorities, both domestic and foreign, may determine to continue actions brought under their regulatory powers. Therefore, the automatic stay may have no effect on certain matters, and the Debtors cannot predict the impact, if any, that its Chapter 11 Cases might have on its commitments and obligations.

4. Depreciation and Amortization

Accumulated depreciation of owned equipment and property at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$10.3 billion and \$10.1 billion, respectively. Accumulated amortization of equipment and property under capital leases at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$197 million and \$448 million, respectively.

5. Income Taxes

The Company provides a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of its deferred tax assets will not be realized. The Company's deferred tax asset valuation allowance increased from \$4.1 billion as of December 31, 2011 to \$4.8 billion as of June 30, 2012, including the impact of comprehensive income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and changes from other adjustments.

Under current accounting rules, the Company is required to consider all items (including items recorded in other comprehensive

income) in determining the amount of tax benefit that results from a loss from continuing operations and that should be allocated to continuing operations. The Company generally does not record any such tax benefit allocation in interim reporting periods as the Company concluded the potential benefit is not considered realizable because the change in the pension liability, a material component of other comprehensive income, is determined annually. Thus, any such interim tax benefit allocation may subsequently be subject to reversal.

6. Indebtedness

Long-term debt classified as not subject to compromise consisted of (in millions):

	June 30, 2012]	December 31, 2011
Secured variable and fixed rate indebtedness due through 2023 (effective rates from 1.00%—13.00% at June 30, 2012)	\$ 2,769	\$	2,952
Enhanced equipment trust certificates due through 2021 (rates from 5.10%—10.375% at June 30, 2012)	1,869		1,942
6.00%—8.50% special facility revenue bonds due through 2036			
	1,437		1,436
7.50% senior secured notes due 2016	1,000		1,000
AAdvantage Miles advance purchase (net of discount of \$110 million) (effective rate 8.3%)	846		890
6.25% senior convertible notes due 2014			—
9.0%—10.20% debentures due through 2021			
7.88%—10.55% notes due through 2039			—
	 7,921		8,220
Less current maturities	1,598		1,518
Long-term debt, less current maturities	\$ 6,323	\$	6,702

The financings listed in the table above are considered not subject to compromise. For information regarding the liabilities subject to compromise, see Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company's future long-term debt and operating lease payments have changed as its ordered aircraft are delivered and such deliveries have been financed. As of June 30, 2012, maturities of long-term debt (including sinking fund requirements) for the next five years are:

 Years Ending December 31 (in millions)	Principal Not Subject to Compromise	Principal Subject to Compromise	Total Principal Amount
Remainder of 2012	\$ 1,079	\$ 173	\$ 1,252
2013	882	192	1,074
2014	744	765	1,509
2015	646	161	807
2016	1,637	226	1,863

Future minimum lease payments required under operating leases that have initial or remaining non-cancelable lease terms in excess of a year as of June 30, 2012, were: remainder of 2012 – \$472 million, 2013 – \$906 million, 2014 – \$830 million, 2015 – \$758 million, 2016 – \$678 million, and 2017 and beyond – \$4.3 billion.

As of June 30, 2012, AMR had issued guarantees covering approximately \$1.6 billion of American's tax-exempt bond debt (and interest thereon) and \$4.9 billion of American's secured debt (and interest thereon). American had issued guarantees covering approximately \$842 million of AMR's unsecured debt (and interest thereon). AMR also guarantees \$6.7 million of American's leases of certain Super ATR aircraft, which are subleased to AMR Eagle.

American has entered into sale-leaseback arrangements with certain leasing companies to finance 31 Boeing 737-800 aircraft scheduled to be delivered from July 2012 through 2014. The financings of each aircraft under these arrangements are subject to certain terms and conditions.

During the first six months of 2012, American financed 14 Boeing 737-800 aircraft under sale-leaseback arrangements, which are accounted for as operating leases.

Certain of American's debt financing agreements contain loan to value ratio covenants and require American to periodically

appraise the collateral. Pursuant to such agreements, if the loan to value ratio exceeds a specified threshold, American is required to subject additional qualifying collateral (which in some cases may include cash collateral) or, in the alternative, to pay down such financing, in whole or in part, with premium (if any).

Specifically, American is required to meet certain collateral coverage tests on a periodic basis on three financing transactions: (1) 10.5% \$450 million Senior Secured Notes due 2012 (the 10.5% Notes), (2) Senior Secured Notes, and (3) 2005 Spare Engine EETC due in 2012, as described below:

	10.5% Notes	Senior Secured Notes	2005 Spare Engine EETC				
Frequency of Appraisals	Semi-Annual (April and October)	Semi-Annual (June and December, commencing December 2011)	Semi-Annual (April and October)				
LTV Requirement	43%; failure to meet collateral test requires posting of additional collateral	1.5x Collateral valuation to amount of debt outstanding (67% LTV); failure to meet collateral test results in American paying 2% additional interest until the ratio is at least 1.5x; additional collateral can be posted to meet this requirement	31.6% applicable to the one Tranche only; failure to meet collateral test requires posting of additional cash collateral				
LTV as of Last Measurement Date	47.5%	37.8%	31.6%				
Description	143 aircraft consisting of: # of Type Aircraft MD-80 74 B757-200 41 B767-200ER 3 B767-300ER 25 TOTAL 143	Generally, certain route authorities, take- off and landing slots, and rights to airport facilities used by American to operate certain services between the U.S. and London Heathrow, Tokyo Narita/Haneda, and China	87 spare aircraft engines consisting of: Engine/Associated Aircraft JT8D-219/MD-80 RB211-535E4B/B757-200 CF6-80A/B767-200ER CF6-80C2 B6/B767-300ER CF6-80C2 A5/A300	# of Engines 47 22 3 12 3			

At June 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with the most recently completed collateral coverage tests for the Senior Secured Notes and the 2005 Spare Engine EETC. As of June 30, 2012, American had \$41 million of cash collateral posted with respect to the 10.5% notes but was not in compliance with the most recently completed collateral coverage test for that transaction. The Company has not remedied its non-compliance with that test due to the ongoing Chapter 11 Cases.

Almost all of the Company's aircraft assets (including aircraft and aircraft-related assets eligible for the benefits of Section 1110 of the Bankruptcy Code) are encumbered, and the Company has a very limited quantity of assets which could be used as collateral in financing.

The Chapter 11 petitions triggered defaults on substantially all debt obligations of the Debtors. However, under Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code, the commencement of a Chapter 11 case automatically stays most creditor actions against the Debtors' estates.

The Debtors cannot predict the impact, if any, that the Chapter 11 Cases might have on these obligations. For further information regarding the Chapter 11 Cases, see Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

7. Fair Value Measurements

The Company utilizes the market approach to measure fair value for its financial assets and liabilities. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities. The Company's short-term investments classified as Level 2 primarily utilize broker quotes in a non-active market for valuation of these securities. The Company's fuel derivative contracts, primarily call options, collars (consisting of a purchased call option and a sold put option) and call spreads (consisting of a purchased call option and a sold call option), are valued using energy and commodity market data which is derived by combining raw inputs with quantitative models and processes to generate forward curves and volatilities. Heating oil, jet fuel and crude oil are the primary underlying commodities in the hedge portfolio. No changes in valuation techniques or inputs occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

(in millions)		Fair Value Measureme	nts as of J	une 30, 2012			
Description	Total	Level 1		Level 2	Level 3		
Short-term investments ^{1, 2}							
Money market funds	\$ 490	\$ 490	\$		\$		_
Government agency investments	613			613			—
Repurchase investments	360			360			_
Corporate obligations	2,309			2,309			—
Bank notes / Certificates of deposit / Time deposits	837			837			_
	4,609	490		4,119			—
Restricted cash and short-term investments ¹	772	772					_
Fuel derivative contracts, net ¹	24	—		24			—
Total	\$ 5,405	\$ 1,262	\$	4,143	\$		_

^{1.} Unrealized gains or losses on short-term investments, restricted cash and short-term investments and derivatives qualifying for hedge accounting are recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI) at each measurement date.

2. The Company's short-term investments mature in one year or less except for \$262 million of Bank notes/Certificates of deposit/Time deposits, \$613 million of U.S. Government agency investments and \$524 million of Corporate obligations which have maturity dates exceeding one year.

No significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The Company's policy regarding the recording of transfers between levels is to reflect any such transfers at the end of the reporting period.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had no exposure to European sovereign debt.

The fair values of the Company's long-term debt classified as Level 2 were estimated using quoted market prices or discounted cash flow analyses, based on the Company's current estimated incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements. All of the Company's long term debt not classified as subject to compromise is classified as Level 2.

The carrying value and estimated fair values of the Company's long-term debt, including current maturities, not classified as subject to compromise, were (in millions):

		June 3	0, 2012		December 31, 2011				
	Carrying Value			Fair Value		Carrying Value		Fair Value	
Secured variable and fixed rate indebtedness	\$	2,769	\$	2,665	\$	2,952	\$	2,647	
Enhanced equipment trust certificates		1,869		1,972		1,942		1,927	
6.0%—8.5% special facility revenue bonds		1,437		1,478		1,436		1,230	
7.50% senior secured notes		1,000		941		1,000		711	
AAdvantage Miles advance purchase		846		848		890		902	
6.25% senior convertible notes		—		_		_		_	
9.0%—10.20% debentures		_		_		_		_	
7.88%—10.55% notes		—		—		—		—	
	\$	7,921	\$	7,904	\$	8,220	\$	7,417	

The carrying value and estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt, including current maturities, classified as subject to compromise, were (in millions):

	June 3	0, 2012		December 31, 2011					
	 Carrying Value		Fair Value		Carrying Value		Fair Value		
Secured variable and fixed rate indebtedness	\$ 1,283	\$	1,133	\$	1,456	\$	1,123		
Enhanced equipment trust certificates			_				_		
6.0%—8.5% special facility revenue bonds	186		99		186		37		
7.50% senior secured notes	—		_				—		
AAdvantage Miles advance purchase	—		—		—		—		
6.25% senior convertible notes	460		281		460		101		
9.0%—10.20% debentures	214		110		214		46		
7.88%—10.55% notes	166		30		166		34		
	\$ 2,309	\$	1,653	\$	2,482	\$	1,341		

All of the Company's long term debt classified as subject to compromise is classified as Level 2.

8. Retirement Benefits

The following tables provide the components of net periodic benefit cost for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (in millions):

			Pension	Benefi	ts		
	 Three Mo Jun	ed		Six Mor Jur	ed		
	2012		2011		2012		2011
Components of net periodic benefit cost							
Service cost	\$ 104	\$	97	\$	208	\$	192
Interest cost	191		189		382		379
Expected return on assets	(166)		(165)		(332)		(328)
Amortization of:							
Prior service cost	3		3		7		7
Unrecognized net (gain) loss	63		39		124		76
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 195	\$	163	\$	389	\$	326

			I	Retiree Medical	and Ot	her Benefits				
		Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2	012		2011	2012			2011		
Components of net periodic benefit cost	-									
Service cost	\$	15	\$	15	\$	30	\$	30		
Interest cost		38		44		76		88		
Expected return on assets		(4)		(5)		(8)		(10)		
Amortization of:										
Prior service cost		(7)		(7)		(14)		(14)		
Unrecognized net (gain) loss		(2)		(2)		(4)		(4)		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	40	\$	45	\$	80	\$	90		

The Company is required to make minimum contributions to its defined benefit pension plans under the minimum funding requirements of ERISA, the Pension Funding Equity Act of 2004, the Pension Protection Act of 2006, and the Pension Relief Act of 2010.

As a result of the Chapter 11 Cases, AMR contributed \$6.5 million to its defined benefit pension plans on January 13, 2012 to cover the post-petition period of November 29, 2011 to December 31, 2011. As a result of only contributing the post-petition portion of the required contribution, the PBGC filed a lien against certain assets of the Company's non-debtor subsidiaries. On

April 13, 2012, the Company contributed \$86 million to its defined benefit pension plans to cover the post-petition period of January 1, 2012 to March 31, 2012. Additionally, the Company contributed \$86 million on July 13, 2012 to its defined benefit pension plans to cover the post-petition period of April 1, 2012 to June 30, 2012. The Company's 2012 contributions to its defined benefit pension plans is subject to the Chapter 11 Cases, as discussed below.

On March 7, 2012, the Company announced that, in working with Creditors' Committee and the PBGC, it developed a solution that would allow the Company to pursue a freeze of its defined benefit pension plans for non-pilot employees instead of seeking termination. The Company and the PBGC have since reached an agreement on freezing three of the airline's four defined benefit plans. The agreement was filed with the Bankruptcy Court on May 4, 2012.

In addition, the Company is continuing to work with the PBGC, the Creditors' Committee and the APA on a solution that could allow the Company to freeze the defined benefit pension plan for pilots instead of seeking termination. On June 20, 2012, the U.S. Department of Treasury published a proposed regulation, which, if finalized, would create a process by which American would seek to remove certain impediments to freezing the defined benefit plan for pilots. The proposed regulation has a 60 day comment period at which time the U.S. Department of Treasury could issue a final ruling.

As a result of these announcements, the Company's Pension and postretirement benefits liability has been classified as liabilities subject to compromise.

The Company may incur significant pension related curtailment or settlement charges upon modification of the retirement plans. Such modifications will require continued collaboration with the Creditors' Committee, various economic stakeholders and union representatives, and in some instances, approval of the Bankruptcy Court. The Company cannot predict whether, or to what extent, the modifications will be implemented. As such, at this time, the Company is not able to reasonably estimate the amount and timing of such charges or the portion of these charges that will result in future cash expenditures.

9. Special Charges and Restructuring Activities

The Company's business plan as announced on February 1, 2012 contemplates, among other things, significantly reducing the number of positions. Based on ratified and tentative agreements reached with various workgroups we now expect to reduce a total of approximately 10,000 positions. During the second quarter, the Company commenced both voluntary and involuntary employee separations from the Company. Consequently, in the second quarter the Company recorded charges of approximately \$93 million for severance related costs associated with the planned reduction in certain work groups. The majority of the severance charges will be paid through the end of 2012. The Company expects to incur additional significant severance charges as changes are finalized in other workgroups (See Note 12 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). Implementing the Company's business plan will require continued collaboration with the Creditors' Committee, various economic stakeholders and union representatives, and in some instances, approval of the Bankruptcy Court. The Company cannot predict whether, or to what extent, its business plan will be implemented. As such, at this time, the Company is not able to reasonably estimate the amount and timing of such additional charges or the portion of these charges that will result in future cash expenditures.

In 2008 and 2009, the Company announced capacity reductions due to unprecedented high fuel costs at that time and the other challenges facing the industry. In connection with these capacity reductions, the Company incurred special charges related to aircraft and certain other charges.

The following table summarizes the components of the Company's special charges, the remaining accruals for these charges and the capacity reduction related charges (in millions) as of June 30, 2012:

	Aircraft Charges	Facility Exit Costs	Employee Charges	Total
Remaining accrual at December 31, 2011	\$ 49	\$ 16		\$ 65
Special Charges	11	13	93	117
Non-cash charges	(11)	(13)	—	(24)
Adjustments	(47)	(11)	—	(58)
Payments	(2)	(1)	(4)	(7)
Remaining accrual at June 30, 2012	\$ 	\$ 4	\$ 89	\$ 93

10. Financial Instruments and Risk Management

As part of the Company's risk management program, it uses a variety of financial instruments, primarily heating oil, jet fuel, and WTI crude option and collar contracts, as cash flow hedges to mitigate commodity price risk. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had fuel derivative contracts outstanding covering 22 million barrels of jet fuel that will be settled over the next 16 months. A deterioration of the Company's liquidity position and its Chapter 11 filing may negatively affect the Company's ability to hedge fuel in the future.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recognized an increase of approximately \$9 million and a decrease of approximately \$20 million, respectively, in fuel expense on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations related to its fuel hedging agreements, including the ineffective portion of the hedges. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized a decrease of approximately \$136 million and \$237 million, respectively, in fuel expense on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations related to its fuel hedging agreements, including the ineffective portion of the hedges. The net fair value of the Company's fuel hedging agreements at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, representing the amount the Company would receive upon termination of the agreements (net of settled contract assets), totaled \$6 million and \$80 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, the Company estimates that during the next twelve months it will reclassify from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into earnings approximately \$52 million in net losses.

The impact of cash flow hedges on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements is depicted below (in millions):

Fair Value of Aircraft Fuel Derivative Instruments (all cash flow hedges)

	Asset Derivatives as of						Liability Derivatives as of							
June 30, 2012 De			December 31, 2	December 31, 2011 June 30, 24					0, 2012 December 31, 2011					
Balance Sheet Location		Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Sheet			Fair Value		Balance Sheet Location		Fair	Value		
Fuel derivative contracts	\$	24	Fuel derivative contracts	\$	97	Accrued liabilities			17	Accrued liabilities		\$	2	
conducto	Ŷ	- ·		Ψ	57		Ŷ		11	i icci de d'hubilities		Ŷ	-	

Effect of Aircraft Fuel Derivative Instruments on Statements of Operations (all cash flow hedges)

	(Loss) OCI o	mount of Gain s) Recognized in I on Derivative ¹ ss of June 30,		Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income ¹		Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income ¹ for the six months ended June 30,		Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative ²	Incom	n 1 in he six months			
	2012		2011		2	2012		2011			2012		2011
\$	(56)	\$	294	Aircraft Fuel	\$	25	\$	230	Aircraft Fuel	\$	(5)	\$	7
	Accumu Income	ssified i lated O	from CI into quarter	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative ²	Incor	Amoun (Loss) Re ne on Deriva ended	cogniz tive ²	ed in for the quarter					
	2012		2011		2	2012		2011					
\$	(1)	\$	132	Aircraft Fuel	\$	(8)	\$	4					
1.	Effe	ctive p	oortion of ga	in (loss)									

^{2.} Ineffective portion of gain (loss)

The Company is also exposed to credit losses in the event of non-performance by counterparties to these financial instruments, and although no assurances can be given, the Company does not expect any of the counterparties to fail to meet its obligations. The credit exposure related to these financial instruments is represented by the fair value of contracts with a positive fair value at the reporting date, reduced by the effects of master netting agreements. To manage credit risks, the Company selects counterparties based on credit ratings, limits its exposure to a single counterparty under defined guidelines, and monitors the market position of the program and its relative market position with each counterparty. The Company also maintains industry-standard security agreements with a number of its counterparties which may require the Company or the counterparty to post collateral if the value of selected instruments exceed specified mark-to-market thresholds or upon certain changes in credit ratings.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had posted cash collateral of \$41 million (which is included in Other assets).

11. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

The following table sets forth the computations of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share (in millions, except per share data):

	 Three Mo Jun	nths Er e 30,	nded		Six Mon Jun	ths Ene e 30,	ded
	2012		2011		2012		2011
Numerator:							
Net earnings (loss)—numerator for basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ (241)	\$	(286)	\$	(1,900)	\$	(722)
Interest on senior convertible notes	—		—		—		—
Net earnings (loss) adjusted for interest on senior convertible notes	\$ (241)	\$	(286)	\$	(1,900)	\$	(722)
Denominator:	 					-	
Denominator for basic earnings (loss) per share – weighted-average shares	335		335		335		334
Effect of dilutive securities:							
Senior convertible notes	—		—		—		—
Employee options and shares	—				—		
Assumed treasury shares purchased	—		—		—		—
Dilutive potential common shares	 335		335		335		334
Denominator for diluted earnings (loss) per share—adjusted weighted-average shares	335		335		335		334
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.72)	\$	(0.85)	\$	(5.67)	\$	(2.16)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.72)	\$	(0.85)	\$	(5.67)	\$	(2.16)
The following were excluded from the calculation:		-		_			
Convertible notes, employee stock options and deferred stock because inclusion would be anti- dilutive	46		53		46		55
Employee stock options because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price of shares	24		14		24		12

12. Subsequent Events

On July 6, 2012, the Company commenced a proceeding in the Bankruptcy Court seeking a declaratory judgment that under the relevant plan documents for its retiree groups, the Company has the unilateral right to modify retiree health and welfare benefits or, in the alternative, never made a promise of lifetime health and welfare benefits under such plans. The ultimate outcome of these proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty.

On July 10, 2012, the Company reached tentative agreements with the TWU Mechanic & Related and Stores workgroups. If the tentative agreements are ratified, which will be known around August 8, 2012, severance cost would be incurred that could be significant. At this time, the Company is unable to estimate the amount of severance charges.

Also on July 10, 2012 Thomas W. Horton, Chairman and CEO of the Company, sent a letter to all AMR employees regarding the Company's restructuring progress and the Company's decision to begin to evaluate a range of strategic options, including potential business combination transactions. It is too early to predict the outcome of these evaluations and when, or if, any transaction might occur.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Information

Statements in this report contain various forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which represent the Company's expectations or beliefs concerning future events. When used in this document and in documents incorporated herein by reference, the words "expects," "estimates," "plans," "anticipates," "indicates," "believes," "forecast," "guidance," "outlook," "may," "will," "should," "seeks," "targets" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Similarly, statements that describe the Company's objectives, plans or goals, or actions the Company may take in the future, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, without limitation, the Company's expectations concerning the Chapter 11 Cases; the Company's operations and financial conditions, including changes in capacity, revenues, and costs; future financing plans and needs; discussions regarding potential consolidation or other strategic alternatives; the amounts of its unencumbered assets and other sources of liquidity; fleet plans; overall economic and industry conditions; plans and objectives for future operations; regulatory approvals and actions; and the impact on the Company of its results of operations in recent years and the sufficiency of its financial resources to absorb that impact. Other forward-looking statements include statements which do not relate solely to historical facts, such as, without limitation, statements which discuss the possible future effects of current known trends or uncertainties, or which indicate that the future effects of known trends or uncertainties cannot be predicted, guaranteed or assured. All forward-looking statements in this report are based upon information available to the Company on the date of this report. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new info

Guidance given in this report regarding capacity, fuel consumption, fuel prices, fuel hedging and unit costs are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of factors that could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from the Company's expectations. The following factors, in addition to other possible factors not listed, could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements: risks arising from the Chapter 11 Cases, including reorganization risks, liquidity risks, and common stock risks; the materially weakened financial condition of the Company, resulting from its significant losses in recent years; weak demand for air travel resulting from the severe global economic downturn; the potential requirement for the Company to maintain reserves under its credit card processing agreements, which could materially adversely impact the Company's liquidity; the ability of the Company to generate additional revenues and reduce its costs; continued high and volatile fuel prices and further increases in the price of fuel, and the availability of fuel; the resolution of pending litigation with certain global distribution systems and business discussions with certain on-line travel agents; the Company's substantial indebtedness and other obligations; the ability of the Company to satisfy certain covenants and conditions in certain of its financing and other agreements; changes in economic and other conditions beyond the Company's control, and the volatile results of the Company's operations; the fiercely and increasingly competitive business environment faced by the Company; industry consolidation and alliance changes; competition with reorganized carriers; low fare levels by historical standards and the Company's reduced pricing power; changes in the Company's corporate or business strategy; extensive government regulation of the Company's business; conflicts overseas or terrorist attacks; uncertainties with respect to the Company's international operations; outbreaks of a disease (such as SARS, avian flu or the H1N1 virus) that affects travel behavior; labor costs that are higher than those of the Company's competitors; uncertainties with respect to the Company's relationships with unionized and other employee work groups; higher than normal number of pilot retirements; increased insurance costs and potential reductions of available insurance coverage; the Company's ability to retain key management personnel; potential failures or disruptions of the Company's computer, communications or other technology systems; losses and adverse publicity resulting from any accident involving the Company's aircraft; interruptions or disruptions in service at one or more of the Company's primary market airports; and the heavy taxation of the airline industry. The Risk Factors contained in the Company's Securities and Exchange Commission filings, including the 2011 Form 10-K, could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from historical results and from those expressed in forward-looking statements.

Chapter 11 Proceedings

Overview

As previously discussed, on November 29, 2011, AMR Corporation (AMR or the Company) and certain of the Company's direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries (collectively, the Debtors) filed voluntary petitions for relief (the Chapter 11 Cases) under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the Bankruptcy Code) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the Bankruptcy Court). The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered under the caption "in re AMR Corporation, et al, Case No. 11-15463-SHL."

The Company and the other Debtors are operating as "debtors in possession" under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. In general, as debtors in possession under the Bankruptcy Code, we are authorized to continue to operate as an ongoing business but may not engage in transactions outside the ordinary course of business without

the prior approval of the Bankruptcy Court. The Bankruptcy Code enables the Company to continue to operate its business without interruption, and the Bankruptcy Court has granted additional relief covering, among other things, obligations to (i) employees, (ii) taxing authorities, (iii) insurance providers, (iv) independent contractors for improvement projects, (v) foreign vendors, (vi) other airlines pursuant to certain interline agreements, and (vii) certain vendors deemed critical to the Debtors' operations.

While operating as debtors in possession under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors may sell or otherwise dispose of or liquidate assets or settle liabilities, subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court or otherwise as permitted in the ordinary course of business. The Debtors have not yet prepared or filed with the Bankruptcy Court a plan of reorganization. On March 23, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order pursuant to Section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code extending the exclusivity periods during which only the Debtors have the right to file a plan of reorganization and solicit and obtain acceptances of such plan. The date until which the Debtors have to file a plan of reorganization has been extended through and including September 28, 2012. If the Debtors file a plan of reorganization on or prior to such date, the Debtors have an exclusive period to solicit and obtain acceptances for such plan through and including November 29, 2012. On July 3, 2012 the Debtors file a joint motion with the statutory official committee of unsecured creditors appointed by the U.S. Trustee on December 5, 2011 (the Creditors' Committee) seeking to extend the exclusivity periods to December 28, 2012 and February 28, 2013, respectively. These extensions are without prejudice to the Debtors' right to seek further extensions of the exclusivity periods. The ultimate plan of reorganization, which would be subject to acceptance by the requisite majorities of empowered creditors under the Bankruptcy Code and approved by the Bankruptcy Court, could materially change the amounts and classifications in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company's Chapter 11 Cases followed an extended effort by the Company to restructure its business to strengthen its competitive and financial position. However, the Company's substantial cost disadvantage compared to its larger competitors, all of which restructured their costs and debt through Chapter 11, became increasingly untenable given the accelerating impact of global economic uncertainty and resulting revenue instability, volatile and rising fuel prices, and intensifying competitive challenges.

No assurance can be given as to the value, if any, that may be ascribed to the Debtors' various prepetition liabilities and other securities. The Company cannot predict what the ultimate value of any of its securities may be or whether holders of any such securities will receive any distribution in the Debtors' reorganization. However, it is likely that the Company's common stock will have little or no value at the time of the Company's emergence from bankruptcy, and the common stock could be canceled entirely upon the approval of the Bankruptcy Court. In the event of such cancellation, amounts invested in the Company's common stock will not be recoverable. Accordingly, the Debtors urge that caution be exercised with respect to existing and future investments in any of these securities (including the Company's common stock) or other Debtor claims. Trading in the Company's common stock and certain debt securities on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) was suspended on January 5, 2012, and the Company's common stock and such debt securities were delisted by the SEC from the NYSE on January 30, 2012. On January 5, 2012, the Company's common stock began trading under the symbol "AAMRQ" on the OTCQB marketplace, operated by OTC Markets Group (www.otcmarkets.com).

General Information

Notices to Creditors; Effect of Automatic Stay. The Debtors have notified all known current or potential creditors that the Chapter 11 Cases were filed. Subject to certain exceptions under the Bankruptcy Code, the filing of the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases automatically enjoined, or stayed, the continuation of most judicial or administrative proceedings or filing of other actions against the Debtors or their property to recover on, collect or secure a claim arising prior to the Petition Date. Thus, for example, most creditor actions to obtain possession of property from the Debtors, or to create, perfect or enforce any lien against the property of the Debtors, or to collect on monies owed or otherwise exercise rights or remedies with respect to a prepetition claim, are enjoined unless and until the Bankruptcy Court lifts the automatic stay as to any such claim. Vendors are being paid for goods furnished and services provided after the Petition Date in the ordinary course of business.

Appointment of Creditors' Committee. On December 5, 2011, the U.S. Trustee appointed the Creditors' Committee for the Chapter 11 Cases.

Appointment of Retiree Committee. On March 23, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered a Stipulation and Order providing for the appointment of a committee of retired independent and unionized AMR employees (the Retiree Committee). On April 20, 2012, the U.S. Trustee recommended the appointment of five persons to the Retiree Committee: two non-union retirees and one representative from each of the Association of Professional Flight Attendants (APFA), Transportation Workers Union (TWU) and Allied Pilots Association (APA). On May 3, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court appointed the authorized individuals to the Retiree Committee from the respective employee groups.

Rejection of Executory Contracts. Under Section 365 and other relevant sections of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors may assume, assume and assign, or reject certain executory contracts and unexpired leases, including, without limitation, agreements relating to aircraft and aircraft engines (collectively, Aircraft Property) and leases of real property, subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy

Court and certain other conditions. The Debtors' rights to assume, assume and assign, or reject unexpired leases of non-residential real estate had been extended by order of the Bankruptcy Court through June 26, 2012. On June 20, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered orders granting the Debtors' motions to assume 463 unexpired leases of non-residential real property. On June 21, 2012 the Bankruptcy Court entered an order extending, by the Debtors' agreement with certain landlords, the date by which the Debtors must assume or reject an additional 88 unexpired leases of non-residential real property. In general, rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease is treated as a prepetition breach of the executory contract or unexpired lease in question and, subject to certain exceptions, relieves the Debtors from performing their future obligations under such executory contract or unexpired lease but entitles the contract counterparty or lessor to a prepetition general unsecured claim for damages caused by such deemed breach. Counterparties to such rejected contracts or leases have the right to file claims against the Debtors' estate for such damages. Generally, the assumption of an executory contract or unexpired lease requires the Debtors to cure existing defaults under such executory contract or unexpired lease.

In accordance with the Bankruptcy Code, as of June 30, 2012, the Company had rejected ten ground leases and filed motions to reject facility agreements supporting special facility revenue bonds at Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, Fort Worth Alliance Airport and Luis Muñoz Marín International Airport in San Juan, Puerto Rico. See "Reorganization Items, net" in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Any description of an executory contract or unexpired lease elsewhere in these Notes or in the report to which these Notes are attached, including where applicable the Debtors' express termination rights or a quantification of their obligations, must be read in conjunction with, and is qualified by, any rights the Debtors or counterparties have under Section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code.

The Debtors expect that liabilities subject to compromise and resolution in the Chapter 11 Cases will arise in the future as a result of damage claims created by the Debtors' rejection of various executory contracts and unexpired leases. Due to the uncertain nature of many of the potential rejection claims, the magnitude of such claims is not reasonably estimable at this time. Such claims may be material (see "Liabilities Subject to Compromise" in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

Special Protection Applicable to Leases and Secured Financing of Aircraft and Aircraft Equipment. Notwithstanding the general discussion above of the impact of the automatic stay, under Section 1110 of the Bankruptcy Code, beginning 60 days after filing a petition under Chapter 11, certain secured parties, lessors and conditional sales vendors may have a right to take possession of certain qualifying Aircraft Property that is leased or subject to a security interest or conditional sale contract, unless the Debtors, subject to approval by the Bankruptcy Court, agree to perform under the applicable agreement, and cure any defaults as provided in Section 1110 (other than defaults of a kind specified in Section 365(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code). Taking such action does not preclude the Debtors from later rejecting the applicable lease or abandoning the Aircraft Property subject to the related security agreement, or from later seeking to renegotiate the terms of the related financing.

The Debtors may extend the 60-day period by agreement of the relevant financing party, with Bankruptcy Court approval. In the absence of an agreement or cure as described above or such an extension, the financing party may take possession of the Aircraft Property and enforce any of its contractual rights or remedies to sell, lease or otherwise retain or dispose of such equipment.

The 60-day period under Section 1110 in the Chapter 11 Cases expired on January 27, 2012. In accordance with the Bankruptcy Court's Order Authorizing the Debtors to (i) Enter into Agreements Under Section 1110(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, (ii) Enter into Stipulations to Extend the Time to Comply with Section 1110 of the Bankruptcy Code and (iii) File Redacted Section 1110(b) Stipulations, dated December 23, 2011, the Debtors have entered into agreements to extend the automatic stay or agreed to perform and cure defaults under financing agreements with respect to certain aircraft in their fleet and other Aircraft Property. With respect to certain Aircraft Property, the Debtors have reached agreements on, or agreements on key aspects of, renegotiated terms of the related financings, and the Debtors are continuing to negotiate terms with respect to many of their other Aircraft Property financings. The ultimate outcome of these negotiations cannot be predicted with certainty. To the extent the Debtors are unable to reach definitive agreements with Aircraft Property financing parties, those parties may seek to repossess the subject Aircraft Property. The loss of a significant number of aircraft could result in a material adverse effect on the Debtors' financial and operating performance.

In accordance with Section 1110 of the Bankruptcy Code, as of June 30, 2012, the Company had (i) rejected 40 leases relating to 21 MD-80 aircraft, four Fokker 100 aircraft, seven Boeing 757-200 aircraft and eight spare engines; (ii) relinquished one Airbus A300-600R aircraft that was subject to a mortgage; (iii) made elections under Section 1110(a) of the Bankruptcy Code to retain 340 aircraft and 87 spare engines, including Boeing 737-800, Boeing 757-200, Boeing 767-300ER, Boeing 777-200ER, Bombardier CRJ-700, and McDonnell Douglas MD-80 aircraft, on the terms provided in the related financing documents; and (iv) reached agreement on revised economic terms of the financings of 146 aircraft, comprising 74 MD-80 aircraft, nine Boeing 737-800 aircraft, 36 Boeing 757-200 aircraft, 11 Boeing 767-200ER aircraft, 13 Boeing 767-300ER aircraft and 3 Boeing 777-200 aircraft (which agreements are subject to reaching agreement on definitive documentation). In addition, the Company reached an agreement with the lessor to modify the leases of 39 Super ATR aircraft. As of June 30, 2012, 22 of the Super ATR aircraft had been returned

to the lessor as allowed under the modified agreement. The remaining 17 Super ATR aircraft are expected to be returned to the lessor during the remainder of 2012 and 2013. Lastly, the Company reached an agreement with the lender with respect to 18 Embraer RJ-135 aircraft pursuant to which the Company surrendered such aircraft to the lender on June 22, 2012, and the lender agreed that the Company would have no further obligations under the related mortgage documents.

Magnitude of Potential Claims. On February 27, 2012, the Debtors filed with the Bankruptcy Court schedules and statements of financial affairs setting forth, among other things, the assets and liabilities of the Debtors, subject to the assumptions filed in connection therewith. All of the schedules are subject to further amendment or modification.

Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3) requires the Bankruptcy Court to fix the time within which proofs of claim must be filed in a Chapter 11 case pursuant to Section 501 of the Bankruptcy Code. This Bankruptcy Rule also provides that any creditor who asserts a claim against the Debtors that arose prior to the Petition Date and whose claim (i) is not listed on the Debtors' schedules or (ii) is listed on the schedules as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated, must file a proof of claim. On May 4, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order that established July 16, 2012 at 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) as the deadline to file proofs of claim against any Debtor. More information regarding the filing of proofs of claim can be obtained at www.amrcaseinfo.com.

Differences between amounts scheduled by the Debtors and claims by creditors will be investigated and resolved in connection with the claims resolution process. In light of the expected number of creditors, the claims resolution process may take considerable time to complete. Accordingly, the ultimate number and amount of allowed claims is not presently known, nor can the ultimate recovery with respect to allowed claims be presently ascertained.

Collective Bargaining Agreements. The Bankruptcy Code provides a process for the modification and/or rejection of collective bargaining agreements (CBAs). In particular, Section 1113(c) of the Bankruptcy Code permits a debtor to reject its CBAs if the debtor satisfies a number of statutorily prescribed substantive and procedural prerequisites and obtains the Bankruptcy Court's approval to reject the CBAs. The Section 1113(c) process requires that a debtor must make proposals to its unions to modify existing CBAs based on the most complete and reliable information available at the time the proposals are made. The proposed modifications must be necessary to permit the reorganization of the debtor and must assure that all the affected parties are treated fairly and equitably. The debtor must provide the unions with all information necessary to evaluate the proposals, and meet at reasonable times and confer in good faith with the unions in an effort to reach mutually agreeable modifications to the CBAs. American Airlines, Inc. (American) commenced the Section 1113(c) process with its unions (APA, APFA and TWU) on February 1, 2012, and has been negotiating in good faith with the unions for consensual agreements that achieve the necessary level of labor cost savings. Because consensual agreements had not been reached, and given American's need to restructure its labor costs expeditiously, the Debtors filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court on March 27, 2012 requesting approval to reject the CBAs. Rejection of the CBAs is appropriate if the Bankruptcy Court finds the debtor's proposals are necessary for its reorganization, are fair and equitable, and that the unions refused to agree to the proposals without good cause.

The Court hearing on the Debtors' request to reject the CBAs began on April 23, 2012 with the presentation of the Debtors' case and concluded the week of May 21, 2012 with the presentation of the unions' cases and rebuttal evidence from the Debtors. The hearing record is now complete and the parties currently expect a decision from the Court on August 15, 2012. Since the filing of its request to reject its CBAs, American and the unions have continued to negotiate in good faith toward consensual agreements. These negotiations have resulted in ratified agreements with five of the seven TWU-represented groups (Fleet Service Clerks, Dispatchers, Ground School Instructors, Maintenance Control Technicians, and Simulator Technicians). Significant progress has also been made with other workgroups. On June 27, 2012, the APA Board of Directors voted in favor of sending a tentative agreement with American to its membership for a ratification vote; and on July 10, 2012, American and the TWU reached tentative agreements covering the two remaining TWU-represented workgroups, Mechanics & Related and Stores, and those agreements will be voted on by the members of those groups. American continues to negotiate with the APFA toward a consensual agreement. The results of the pilot ratification vote will be known on August 8, 2012, and it is anticipated that the results of the TWU ratification votes will be known around that same date. Following the announcement of the pilot tentative agreement and schedule for membership voting, the Court decided to delay its decision on American's request to reject the CBAs until August 15, 2012. AMR Eagle Holding Corporation and its primary subsidiaries, American Eagle Airlines, Inc. and Executive Airlines, Inc. (collectively, AMR Eagle) commenced the Section 1113(c) process with its unions on March 21, 2012, and continue to negotiate toward consensual agreements with the Air Line Pilots Association, Association of Flight Attendants and TWU. The ultimate resolution of American's and AMR Eagle's

Plan of Reorganization. On March 23, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order pursuant to Section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code extending the exclusivity periods during which only the Debtors have the right to file a plan of reorganization and solicit and obtain acceptances of such plan. The date until which the Debtors have the exclusive right to file a plan of reorganization has been extended through and including September 28, 2012. If the Debtors file a plan of reorganization on or prior to such date, the Debtors have an exclusive period to solicit and obtain acceptances for such plan through and including November 29, 2012. On July 3, 2012 the Debtors filed a joint motion with the Creditors' Committee seeking to extend such exclusivity periods to December

28, 2012 and February 28, 2013, respectively. These extensions are without prejudice to the Debtors' right to seek further extensions of such exclusivity periods. If the Debtors' exclusivity period lapses, any party in interest may file a plan of reorganization for any of the Debtors. In addition to being voted on by holders of impaired claims and equity interests, a plan of reorganization must satisfy certain requirements of the Bankruptcy Code and must be approved, or confirmed, by the Bankruptcy Court in order to become effective. A plan of reorganization has been accepted by holders of claims against and equity interests in the Debtors if (1) at least one-half in number and two-thirds in dollar amount of claims actually voting in each impaired class of claims have voted to accept the plan and (2) at least two-thirds in amount of equity interests has voted to accept the plan.

Under certain circumstances set forth in Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Court may confirm a plan even if such plan has not been accepted by all impaired classes of claims and equity interests. A class of claims or equity interests that does not receive or retain any property under the plan on account of such claims or interests is deemed to have voted to reject the plan. The precise requirements and evidentiary showing for confirming a plan notwithstanding its rejection by one or more impaired classes of claims or equity interests depends upon a number of factors, including the status and seniority of the claims or equity interests in the rejecting class (i.e., secured claims or unsecured claims, subordinated or senior claims, preferred or common stock). Generally, with respect to common stock interests, a plan may be "crammed down" even if the shareowners receive no recovery if the proponent of the plan demonstrates that (1) no class junior to the common stock is receiving or retaining property under the plan and (2) no class of claims or interests senior to the common stock is being paid more than in full.

Availability and Utilization of Net Operating Losses. The availability and utilization of net operating losses (and utilization of alternative minimum tax credits) after the Debtors' emergence from Chapter 11 is uncertain at this time and will be highly influenced by the composition of the plan of reorganization that is ultimately pursued. On January 27, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court issued a Final Order Establishing Notification Procedures for Substantial Claimholders and Equityholders and Approving Restrictions on Certain Transfers of Interests in the Debtors' Estates, which restricts trading in the Company's common stock and claims. The order is intended to prevent certain transfers of the Company's common stock and certain transfers of claims against the Debtors that could impair the ability of one or more of the Debtors' estates to use their net operating loss carryovers and certain other tax attributes currently or on a reorganized basis. Any acquisition, disposition, or other transfer of equity or claims on after November 29, 2011 in violation of the restrictions set forth in the order will be null and void *ab initio* and/or subject to sanctions as an act in violation of the automatic stay under sections 105(a) and 362 of the Bankruptcy Code. The order applies to (i) "Substantial Equityholders," *i.e.*, persons who are, or as a result of a transaction become, the beneficial owner of unsecured claims in excess of a threshold amount of unsecured claims (initially \$190 million of unsecured claims, but which may be subsequently increased or decreased under certain circumstances in connection with the Debtors' filling of a Chapter 11 plan). In the case of Substantial Claimholders, the order imposes current restrictions with respect to the acquisition or disposition of the Company's stock, and certain notifications may be required. In the case of Substantial Claimholders, the order imposes a procedure pursuant to which, under certain circumstances, the claims acquired during the Chapter 11 Cases may have to be

Liabilities Subject to Compromise. The Debtors have incurred and will continue to incur significant costs associated with their reorganization. The amount of these costs, which are being expensed as incurred, are expected to significantly affect the Debtors' results of operations. Claims related to reorganization items are reflected in liabilities subject to compromise on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2012. For additional information, see Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Further Information. For further information regarding the Chapter 11 Cases, see Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Additional information about the Company's Chapter 11 filing is also available on the Internet at aa.com/restructuring. Court filings and claims information are available at amrcaseinfo.com.

Business Plan

On February 1, 2012, we announced the principal terms of a new business plan that is designed to transform the Company and restore it to industry leadership, profitability and growth. The chief components of this business plan include targets of an annual \$2 billion in cost savings and \$1 billion in revenue enhancement. Management expects that the additional cash flow generated from these improvements will enable us to renew American's fleet and to invest several hundred million dollars per year in ongoing improvements in products and services to deliver a world-class travel experience for our customers. The improved cash flow is also expected to enable us to become financially stronger in the years after we emerge from the restructuring process.

We expect that implementing the business plan will require collaboration with the Creditors' Committee, various economic stakeholders and union representatives, and in some instances, approval of the Bankruptcy Court. As noted above under "Chapter 11 Proceedings—Overview", we will be required to seek Bankruptcy Court approval in order to implement any action that we take in connection with the business plan that is out of the ordinary course of business. We intend to utilize the Chapter 11 restructuring process to realize additional savings over the next six years by restructuring debt, leases and certain other agreements, grounding older planes, improving supplier contract terms and undertaking other initiatives.

The business plan has been designed to build on initiatives already in place that reduced costs over the past several years, including major changes in American's route structure, network, capacity and fleet. The business plan contemplates significant reductions in both non-labor and labor costs, including reducing positions by approximately 10,000 based on ratified and tentative agreements reached with the various workgroups, outsourcing a portion of American's aircraft maintenance work (including seeking the closure of our Fort Worth Alliance Airport maintenance base) and certain airport fleet service clerk work, and modifying our subsidized retiree medical coverage. On March 7, 2012, the Company announced that, in working with Creditors' Committee and the Pension Benefit Guarantee Corporation (PBGC), it developed a solution that would allow the Company to pursue a freeze of its defined benefit pension plans for non-pilot employees instead of seeking termination. The Company and the PBGC have since reached an agreement on freezing three of the airline's four defined benefit plans. The agreement was filed with the Bankruptcy Court on May 4, 2012.

In addition, the Company is continuing to work with the PBGC, the Creditors' Committee and the APA on a solution that could allow the Company to freeze the defined benefit pension plan for pilots instead of seeking termination. On June 20, 2012, the U.S. Department of Treasury published a proposed regulation, which, if finalized, would create a process by which American would seek to remove certain impediments to freezing the defined benefit plan for pilots. The proposed regulation has a 60 day comment period at which time the U.S. Department of Treasury could issue a final ruling.

Many of our competitors took similar actions when they went through the bankruptcy process. We hope to implement these cost reductions and other changes consensually; however, there can be no assurance that we will be able to do so. In certain circumstances described under "Chapter 11 Proceedings – General Information" above, we may be able, by complying with various provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and with Bankruptcy Court approval, to reject executory contracts and unexpired leases, collective bargaining agreements and financing agreements with respect to American's Aircraft Property.

Our business plan also targets approximately \$1 billion in annual revenue enhancements by 2017 by renewing and optimizing American's fleet, building network scale and alliances, and modernizing American's brand, products and services. With the aircraft commitments discussed in Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we anticipate that American's mainline jet fleet will be the youngest in North America by 2017. This new fleet would provide more profitable flying due to markedly improved fuel and maintenance costs and enhanced versatility to better match aircraft size to the markets American serves. We intend to build network scale and alliances by increasing departures across American's five key markets – Dallas/Fort Worth, Chicago, Miami, Los Angeles and New York – by approximately 20% over the next five years and by increasing international flying. Finally, we plan to invest several hundred million dollars annually to enhance the customer experience and attract high-value customers.

Additionally, to ensure that employee performance is rewarded and aligned with successful operations after we emerge from the Chapter 11 process, the Company expects that all employees will participate in a profit sharing plan which, beginning with the first dollar of pre-tax income, would pay awards totaling 5% of all pre-tax income.

Our business plan, as noted above, will require collaboration with the Creditors' Committee, various economic stakeholders and union representatives, and in some instances, approval of the Bankruptcy Court. We cannot at this point predict whether discussions with these groups will be successful or whether the Creditors' Committee or others will support our positions regarding the elements of the business plan. Further, there can be no assurance that we will be able to implement the business plan successfully and return the Company to profitability.

GDS Discussion

Over the past several years, American has been developing a direct connection technology, designed to distribute its fare content and bookings capability directly to travel agents in order to achieve greater efficiencies, cost savings, and technological advances in the distribution of our services. Historically, approximately 60% of American's bookings are booked through travel agencies, which typically use one or more global distribution systems, or "GDSs", to view fare content from American and other industry participants. American is currently in litigation with two of the GDSs, Sabre and Travelport, and with Orbitz, a large online travel agency that is affiliated with Travelport. In that litigation, American alleges, among other things, that the one or more of the defendants (1) engaged in anticompetitive business practices to preserve GDS monopoly power in the distribution of airlines services through travel agencies; (2) conspired with each other to preserve the existing GDS business model; (3) engaged in numerous actions intended to punish American for supporting a competitive alternative to the GDSs, including biasing displays against American's services and imposed large price increases, (4) organized, supported, and monitored a boycott of American services among travel agencies; and (5) interfered with American's contractual relationships, including an obligation owed by Orbitz to cooperatively work with American to receive American's content through a direct connect.

On November 1, 2010, after Orbitz refused to receive American's content through American's newest version of direct connect, American notified Orbitz that it intended to terminate its contracts and agency relationship. On November 5, 2010, Travelport, the GDS used by Orbitz, filed a lawsuit against American seeking a ruling that a notice of termination delivered by American to Orbitz breached American's content distribution agreement with Travelport, and Travelport subsequently obtained a preliminary injunction which precluded American from terminating its relationship with Orbitz prior to September 1, 2011. On December 3, 2010, Travelport doubled the booking fees it charges American for some international point-of-sale bookings through Travelport, and made it more difficult for travel agents to find American's fares on the Travelport system display. We believe these actions violate our agreement with Travelport. In response, American filed counterclaims against Travelport for breach of contract, and announced that it would charge travel agencies for bookings through Travelport in an effort to offset the booking fee increase. That surcharge was never implemented. American and Travelport subsequently entered into a short term extension of its agreement, which also provides that neither American nor Orbitz will terminate their agency relationship during the term of this short term extension. There can be no assurance that we will ultimately prevail in the lawsuit filed by Travelport or on our counterclaims, or that American, Travelport, and Orbitz will enter into acceptable long term agreements. The litigation initiated by Travelport in response to American's decision to terminate Orbitz is currently stayed as a result of the Chapter 11 filing. We will vigorously pursue our counterclaims and rights in the litigation.

On January 1, 2011, Expedia discontinued selling American tickets on its website. Prior to that date, approximately 5.4% of American's passenger revenue, on an annualized basis, was booked through Expedia. On April 4, 2011, American and Expedia entered into a new agreement which returned American's fares to Expedia's web site, and Expedia agreed to transition its American bookings to American's direct connect via integration services provided by a GDS.

In late 2010, and in direct response to the perceived threat of American's direct connect, Sabre began biasing its display against American. On January 5, 2011, Sabre instituted pervasive and massive bias against American throughout it system, making it substantially more difficult for travel agents to find American's fares on the Sabre system display. Sabre also doubled the fees it charges American for bookings through its GDS, and purported to terminate its agreement with American, effective July 2011. Sabre alleges that our contract allowed it to take these actions in response to statements that American made in the press concerning our direct connection technology. Sabre is the largest non-direct source of American's bookings. In 2010, over \$7 billion of American's passenger revenues were generated from bookings made through the Sabre GDS. In response to Sabre's actions, on January 10, 2011, American filed a lawsuit against Sabre in Texas state court on several grounds. The court temporarily enjoined Sabre from "biasing" or making it more difficult to find American's fares on the Sabre GDS, and set a preliminary injunction hearing for February 14, 2011. On January 23, 2011, American and Sabre entered into a Stand Down Agreement that suspended the litigation until June 1, 2011 and vacated the February 14 hearing date. During this period, Sabre agreed (1) not to take any actions to bias the display of American's services; (2) to return to the pricing in effect on January 4, 2011; and (3) withdraw its notice of termination of certain parts of the agreement. Following the expiration of this Stand Down agreement, American filed new antitrust claims in both federal and Texas state courts, and Sabre has filed breach of contract and antitrust claims against American. Travelport has also filed antitrust claims against American. On August 29, 2011, Sabre and American entered into an agreement that extended their agreement, subject to certain pricing and other adjustments, during the period in which American's Texas s

While we believe that some of the bookings through Orbitz, Travelport, and Sabre have transitioned or will transition to other distribution channels, such as other travel agencies, metasearch sites and American's AA.com web site, it is not possible at this time to estimate what the ultimate impact would be to our business if we are unsuccessful in resolving one or more of these matters. If as a result of these matters it becomes more difficult for our customers to find and book flights on American, we could be put at a competitive disadvantage against our competitors and this may result in lower bookings. If we are unable to sell American inventory through any or all of these channels, our level of bookings, business and results of operations could be materially

adversely affected. We also believe the actions taken by Travelport and Sabre described above are not permitted by the applicable contracts. We intend to vigorously pursue our claims and defenses in the lawsuits described above, but there can be no assurance of the outcome of any such lawsuit.

Contingencies

The Company has certain contingencies resulting from litigation and claims incident to the ordinary course of business. Management believes, after considering a number of factors, including (but not limited to) the information currently available, the views of legal counsel, the nature of contingencies to which the Company is subject and prior experience, that the ultimate disposition of the litigation (except as noted in "Legal Proceedings" in Part II, item 1) and claims will not materially affect the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations. When appropriate, the Company accrues for these contingencies based on its assessments of the likely outcomes of the related matters. The amounts of these contingencies could increase or decrease in the near term, based on revisions to those assessments.

As a result of the Chapter 11 Cases, virtually all prepetition pending litigation against the Company is stayed. However, the Company has entered into a stipulation with Sabre to permit the Sabre related litigation to proceed.

Other Events

On December 7, 2011, the Communications Workers of America (CWA) filed an application with the National Mediation Board (NMB) requesting an election among American's passenger service employee group. On February 14, 2012, before the NMB completed its analysis of whether the CWA had submitted a sufficient showing of interest to permit an NMB-authorized election, a new law was enacted that increased the minimum required showing of interest from 35 percent to 50 percent. On April 19, 2012, the NMB issued its final determination on the list of eligible voters in the group, and authorized an election based on the 35 percent showing of interest requirement in effect prior to the change in the law. On April 23, 2012, American filed a request with the NMB asking it to reconsider its decision to order an election in light of the new law. On May 2, 2012, American filed a lawsuit in a Fort Worth federal district court, asking the court to declare that the new law prohibits the NMB from ordering an election unless the union has submitted a showing of interest of at least 50 percent. On May 3, 2012, the NMB, by a vote of 2-1, rejected American's April 23 reconsideration request. The dissenting NMB member agreed with American's legal position that an election is not permitted without the union having demonstrated at least a 50 percent showing of interest.

On June 6, 2012, while the court litigation was pending the NMB issued a determination that it would proceed with the election, and that the election process would commence on June 14, with the mailing of election notices. American filed a request for and was granted a temporary restraining order, prohibiting the NMB from proceeding with the election. On June 21, a hearing was held on the merits of American's legal claim and the Company's request for permanent injunctive relief. On June 22, the court issued a decision in American's favor on the merits of its claim, declaring that the new 50 percent standard governs the NMB's conduct with respect to the CWA's election application. The court also permanently enjoined the NMB from proceeding with any of its pre-election processes unless it determines the CWA's application is supported by a showing of interest of at least fifty percent. On June 25, the NMB filed a notice of appeal indicating its intent to appeal this decision to the Fifth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals. On July 10, the NMB filed a request for expedited treatment of its appeal, and the Court of Appeals granted that request.

Financial Highlights

The Company recorded a consolidated net loss of \$241 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to a net loss of \$286 million in the same period last year. The Company's consolidated net loss reflects \$230 million of charges to reorganization items and \$93 million of severance related costs, offset by higher operating revenues. Consolidated passenger revenue increased by \$359 million to \$5.6 billion for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same period last year driven by a strong yield environment and increased international load factors. Cargo and other revenues decreased by \$21 million to \$825 million for the second quarter of 2012 due to a 6.8 percent increase in passenger yield year-over-year. This also reflects an increase in load factor of approximately 1.5 points compared to the second quarter of 2011.

Operating expenses increased \$118 million during the second quarter primarily due to special charges of \$106 million for severance related cost associated with the planned reduction of employees in certain work groups and write off of leasehold improvements at airport facilities that have been rejected through the Chapter 11 process. Charges to reorganization items, net, of \$230 million for the second quarter of 2012 are primarily from estimated claims associated with restructuring the financing arrangements for certain aircraft and rejecting certain special facility revenue bonds.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The matters described herein, to the extent that they relate to future events or expectations, may be significantly affected by the Chapter 11 Cases. Those proceedings will involve, or may result in, various restrictions on our activities, limitations on financing, the need to consult with the Creditors' Committee and other key stakeholders and to obtain Bankruptcy Court approval for various matters, and uncertainty as to relationships with vendors, suppliers, customers, labor and others with whom we may conduct or seek to conduct business. The Debtors cannot predict the impact, if any, that its Chapter 11 Cases might have on these obligations. For further information regarding the Chapter 11 Cases, see Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Cash, Short-Term Investments and Restricted Assets

At June 30, 2012, the Company had \$5.0 billion in unrestricted cash and short-term investments and \$772 million in restricted cash and short-term investments, both at fair value, versus \$4.0 billion in unrestricted cash and short-term investments and \$738 million in restricted cash and short-term investments at December 31, 2011.

The Company's unrestricted short-term investment portfolio consists of a variety of what the Company believes are highly liquid, lower risk instruments including money market funds, government agency investments, repurchase investments, short-term obligations, corporate obligations, bank notes, certificates of deposit and time deposits. AMR's objectives for its investment portfolio are (1) the safety of principal, (2) liquidity maintenance, (3) yield maximization, and (4) the full investment of all available funds. The Company's risk management policy further emphasizes superior credit quality (primarily based on short-term ratings by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations) in selecting and maintaining investments in its portfolio and enforces limits on the proportion of funds invested with one issuer, one industry, or one type of instrument. The Company regularly assesses the market risks of its portfolio, and believes that its established policies and business practices adequately limit those risks. As a result, the Company does not anticipate any material adverse impact from these risks.

Significant Indebtedness and Future Financing

Indebtedness is a significant risk to the Company as discussed more fully in the Risk Factors included under Item 1A of the 2011 Form 10-K.

The Chapter 11 petitions triggered defaults on substantially all debt obligations of the Debtors. However, under Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code, the commencement of a Chapter 11 case automatically stays most creditor actions against the Debtors' estates.

The Company has financing commitments covering all of the aircraft scheduled to be delivered to it through 2016, except eleven Boeing 737 aircraft for which it is currently seeking to arrange financing, and 16 widebody aircraft that it expects to finance at a later date.

In the remainder of 2012, including liabilities subject to compromise, the Company will be contractually required to make approximately \$1.3 billion of principal payments on long-term debt and approximately \$19 million in principal payments on capital leases, and the Company expects to spend approximately \$1.1 billion on capital expenditures, including aircraft commitments.

As discussed above under "Chapter 11 Proceedings", we intend to use the benefits afforded by the Bankruptcy Code to restructure the terms of much of our indebtedness. It is still early in our Chapter 11 Cases, and we cannot predict at this time the outcome of our efforts to restructure our indebtedness. It is possible that holders of our unsecured indebtedness may lose all or a substantial portion of their investment in our unsecured indebtedness upon the implementation of any plan of reorganization that is ultimately accepted by the requisite majority of creditors and approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a schedule of the Company's aircraft commitments and payments.

Credit Ratings

AMR's and American's credit ratings are significantly below investment grade. The outcome of the Chapter 11 Cases, which cannot be determined at this time, could further increase the Company's borrowing or other costs and further restrict the availability of future financing.

Credit Card Processing and Other Reserves

American has agreements with a number of credit card companies and processors to accept credit cards for the sale of air travel and other services. Under certain of these agreements, the credit card processor may hold back a reserve from American's credit card receivables following the occurrence of certain events, including the failure of American to maintain certain levels of liquidity (as specified in each agreement).

Under such agreements, the amount of the reserve that may be required generally is based on the processor's exposure to the Company under the applicable agreement and, in the case a reserve is required because of AMR's failure to maintain a certain level of liquidity, the amount of such liquidity. As of June 30, 2012, the Company was not required to maintain any reserve under such agreements. If circumstances were to occur that would allow the credit card processor to require the Company to maintain a reserve, the Company's liquidity would be negatively impacted.

Pension Funding Obligation

The Company is required to make minimum contributions to its defined benefit pension plans under the minimum funding requirements of ERISA, the Pension Funding Equity Act of 2004, the Pension Protection Act of 2006, and the Pension Relief Act of 2010.

As a result of the Chapter 11 Cases, AMR contributed \$6.5 million to its defined benefit pension plans on January 13, 2012 to cover the post-petition period of November 29, 2011 to December 31, 2011. As a result of only contributing the post-petition portion of the required contribution, the PBGC filed a lien against certain assets of the Company's non-debtor subsidiaries. On April 13, 2012, the Company contributed \$86 million to its defined benefit pension plans to cover the post-petition period of January 1, 2012 to March 31, 2012. Additionally, the Company contributed \$86 million on July 13, 2012 to its defined benefit pension plans to cover the post-petition period of April 1, 2012 to June 30, 2012. The Company's 2012 contributions to its defined benefit pension plans is subject to the Chapter 11 Cases, as discussed above in Note 8 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Cash Flow Activity

At June 30, 2012, the Company had \$5.0 billion in unrestricted cash and short-term investments, which is an increase of \$979 million from the balance as of December 31, 2011. Net cash provided by operating activities in the six month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$1.7 billion, as compared to \$653 million over the same period in 2011. The increase is primarily the result of a stronger year over year revenue environment and the Company's Chapter 11 Cases as described in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company made debt and capital lease payments of \$602 million and invested \$733 million in capital expenditures in the first six months of 2012. Capital expenditures primarily consisted of new aircraft and certain aircraft modifications.

Due to the current value of the Company's derivative contracts, some agreements with counterparties require collateral to be deposited by the counterparty or the Company. As of June 30, 2012, the cash collateral from AMR held by counterparties was \$41 million as compared to cash collateral held by AMR from counterparties of \$0.5 million at December 31, 2011. Cash held by counterparties at June 30, 2012 is included in Other assets. Cash held at December 31, 2011 from counterparties is included in short-term investments. As a result of movements in fuel prices, the cash collateral amounts held by AMR or the counterparties to such contracts, as the case may be, can vary significantly.

Certain of the Company's debt financing agreements contain loan to value ratio covenants and require the Company to periodically appraise the collateral. Pursuant to such agreements, if the loan to value ratio exceeds a specified threshold, the Company may be required to subject additional qualifying collateral (which in some cases may include cash collateral) or, in the alternative, to pay down such financing, in whole or in part, with premium (if any). See Note 6 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

War-Risk Insurance

The U.S. government has agreed to provide commercial war-risk insurance for U.S. based airlines through September 30, 2012, covering losses to employees, passengers, third parties and aircraft. If the U.S. government were to cease providing such insurance in whole or in part, it is likely that the Company could obtain comparable coverage in the commercial market, but the Company would incur substantially higher premiums and more restrictive terms. There can be no assurance that comparable war-risk coverage will be available in the commercial market. If the Company is unable to obtain adequate war-risk coverage at commercially reasonable rates, the Company would be adversely affected.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

REVENUES

The Company's revenues increased approximately \$338 million, or 5.5 percent, to \$6.5 billion in the second quarter of 2012 from the same period last year driven by a strong yield environment and increased international load factors. American's passenger revenues increased by 6.1 percent, or \$280 million, on 2.4 percent lower capacity of 38.3 billion available seat miles (ASM). American's passenger load factor increased 1.5 points while passenger yield increased by 6.8 percent to 14.8 cents. This resulted in an increase in passenger revenue per available seat mile (RASM) of 8.7 percent to 12.6 cents. American derived approximately 60 percent of its passenger revenues from domestic operations and approximately 40 percent from international operations (flights serving international destinations). Following is additional information regarding American's domestic and international RASM and capacity:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012									
	RASM (cents)	Y-O-Y Change	ASMs (billions)	Y-O-Y Change						
DOT Domestic	12.75	8.6%	22.7	(1.9)%						
International	12.46	9.0	15.6	(3.0)						
DOT Latin America	13.61	6.7	7.3	1.3						
DOT Atlantic	11.76	8.5	6.0	(9.2)						
DOT Pacific	10.67	18.1	2.3	1.3						

The Company's Regional Affiliates include two wholly owned subsidiaries, American Eagle Airlines, Inc. and Executive Airlines, Inc. (collectively, AMR Eagle), and an independent carrier with which American has a capacity purchase agreement, Chautauqua Airlines, Inc. (Chautauqua).

Regional Affiliates' passenger revenues, which are based on industry standard proration agreements for flights connecting to American flights, increased \$79 million, or 11.1 percent, to \$790 million as a result of higher yield and increased traffic. Regional Affiliates' traffic increased 3.8 percent to 2.7 billion revenue passenger miles (RPMs), on a capacity increase of 1.0 percent to 3.4 billion ASMs, resulting in a 2.1 point increase in passenger load factor to 77.8 percent.

Cargo revenues decreased 6.6 percent, or \$12 million, to \$175 million primarily as a result of decreased freight and mail yields.

Other revenues decreased 1.2 percent, or \$9 million, to \$650 million due to fewer third party ground handling contracts.

OPERATING EXPENSES

The Company's total operating expenses increased 1.9 percent, or \$118 million, to \$6.3 billion in the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. American's mainline operating expenses per ASM increased 5.0 percent to 14.6 cents. The increase in operating expense was largely due to employee charges of approximately \$93 million for severance related cost associated with the planned reduction of employees in certain work groups. Other increases in operating expenses were largely offset by decreased aircraft and facility rent as leases are modified during the Chapter 11 restructuring process.

(in millions) Operating Expenses	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	Change from 2011	Percentage Change	
Aircraft fuel	\$ 2,209	\$ 7	0.3 %	
Wages, salaries and benefits	1,778	14	0.8	
Other rentals and landing fees	333	(22)	(6.2) (a)	
Maintenance, materials and repairs	357	23	6.8 (b)	1
Depreciation and amortization	261	(5)	(2.0)	
Commissions, booking fees and credit card expense	263	(5)	(2.1)	
Aircraft rentals	130	(28)	(18.0) (c)	
Food service	130	(3)	(1.6)	
Special charges	106	106	— (d)	1
Other operating expenses	743	31	4.4	
Total operating expenses	\$ 6,310	\$ 118	1.9 %	



- (a) Other rentals and landing fees decreased primarily as a result of the Company's Chapter 11 Cases as described in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (b) Maintenance, materials and repairs increased primarily due to timing of materials and repairs expenses.
- (c) Aircraft rental expense decreased primarily as a result of the Company's Chapter 11 Cases as described in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (d) Special charges consist of \$106 million of severance related charges and write off of lease hold improvements at airport facilities that were rejected during the Chapter 11 process.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

Other income (expense) consists of interest income and expense, interest capitalized and miscellaneous-net.

A decrease in short-term investment balances caused a decrease in interest income of \$0.3 million, or 3.9 percent, to \$7 million for the second quarter 2012 compared to the same period last year. Interest expense decreased \$51 million, or 23.8 percent, to \$164 million primarily as a result of the Company's Chapter 11 Cases as described in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

REORGANIZATION ITEMS, NET

Reorganization items refer to revenues, expenses (including professional fees), realized gains and losses and provisions for losses that are realized or incurred as a direct result of the Chapter 11 Cases. The following table summarizes the components included in reorganization items, net on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended June 30, 2012:

Total reorganization items, net	\$ 230
Professional fees	72
Rejection of facility bond related obligations ⁽²⁾	60
Aircraft financing renegotiations and rejections ^{(1) (2)}	\$ 98
(in millions)	

- (1) The Debtors record an estimated claim associated with the rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease when a motion is filed with the Bankruptcy Court to reject such contract or lease and the Debtors believe that it is probable the motion will be approved and there is sufficient information to estimate the claim. The Debtors record an estimated claim associated with the renegotiation of an executory contract or unexpired lease when the renegotiated terms of such contract or lease are not opposed or are otherwise approved by the Bankruptcy Court and there is sufficient information to estimate the claim.
- (2) Estimated allowed claims from (i) filing motions to modify the leases and revise the economic terms of the financing of certain aircraft and (ii) rejecting facility agreements supporting special facility revenue bonds at Luis Muñoz Marín International Airport in San Juan, Puerto Rico. The modification of leases and financing relating to such aircraft has been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. See above, "Special Protection Applicable to Leases and Secured Financing of Aircraft and Aircraft Equipment," for further information.

Claims related to reorganization items are reflected in liabilities subject to compromise on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2012.

INCOME TAX

The Company did not record a net tax provision (benefit) associated with its net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2012 or June 30, 2011 due to the Company providing a valuation allowance, as discussed in Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

OPERATING STATISTICS

The following table provides statistical information for American and Regional Affiliates for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
— American Airlines, Inc. Mainline Jet Operations		
Revenue passenger miles (millions)	32,586	32,788
Available seat miles (millions)	38,289	39,228
Cargo ton miles (millions)	456	459
Passenger load factor	85.1%	83.6%
Passenger revenue yield per passenger mile (cents)	14.84	13.90
Passenger revenue per available seat mile (cents)	12.63	11.62
Cargo revenue yield per ton mile (cents)	38.34	40.76
Operating expenses per available seat mile, excluding Regional Affiliates (cents) (*)	14.55	13.85
Fuel consumption (gallons, in millions)	604	627
Fuel price per gallon (dollars)	3.24	3.11
Operating aircraft at period-end	608	612
Regional Affiliates		
Revenue passenger miles (millions)	2,683	2,585
Available seat miles (millions)	3,447	3,412
Passenger load factor	77.8%	75.8%

(*)Excludes \$756 million and \$793 million of expense incurred related to Regional Affiliates in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Operating aircraft at June 30, 2012, included:

American Airlines Aircraft		AMR Eagle Aircraft	
Boeing 737-800	179	Bombardier CRJ-700	47
Boeing 757-200	109	Embraer RJ-135	21
Boeing 767-200 Extended Range	15	Embraer RJ-140	59
Boeing 767-300 Extended Range	58	Embraer RJ-145	118
Boeing 777-200 Extended Range	47	Super ATR	17
McDonnell Douglas MD-80	200	Total	262
Total	608	_	

The average aircraft age for American's and AMR Eagle's aircraft is 14.9 years and 10.0 years, respectively.

Almost all of the Company's owned aircraft are encumbered by liens granted in connection with financing transactions entered into by the Company.

Of the operating aircraft listed above, one Boeing 757-200 aircraft was in temporary storage as of June 30, 2012.

Owned and leased aircraft not operated by the Company at June 30, 2012, included:

American Airlines Aircraft	AMR Eagle Aircraft	
Boeing 737-800	1 Saab 340B	41
Boeing 757-200	5 Total	41
McDonnell Douglas MD-80	35	
Total	41	

All aircraft, including those operated by AMR Eagle, are owned or leased by American as of June 30, 2012.

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

REVENUES

The Company's revenues increased approximately \$843 million, or 7.2 percent, to \$12.5 billion in the first six months of 2012 from the same period last year driven by a strong yield environment and increased international load factors. American's passenger revenues increased by 8.1 percent, or \$703 million, on capacity reduction of 1.1 percent to 76.2 billion available seat miles (ASM). American's passenger load factor increased 1.7 points while passenger yield increased by 7.1 percent to 15.0 cents. This resulted in an increase in passenger revenue per available seat mile (RASM) of 9.3 percent to 12.3 cents. American derived approximately 60 percent of its passenger revenues from domestic operations and approximately 40 percent from international operations (flights serving international destinations). Following is additional information regarding American's domestic and international RASM and capacity:

		Six Months Ended June 30, 2012			
	RASM (cents)	Y-O-Y Change	ASMs (billions)	Y-O-Y Change	
DOT Domestic	12.35	9.1%	45.2	(1.7)%	
International	12.29	9.6	31.0	(0.3)	
DOT Latin America	13.83	8.9	15.8	3.2	
DOT Atlantic	10.96	8.8	10.7	(7.4)	
DOT Pacific	10.09	12.3	4.6	6.3	

Regional Affiliates' passenger revenues, which are based on industry standard proration agreements for flights connecting to American flights, increased \$172 million, or 13.3 percent, to \$1.5 billion as a result of higher yield and increased traffic. Regional Affiliates' traffic increased 7.1 percent to 5.1 billion revenue passenger miles (RPMs), on a capacity increase of 3.2 percent to 6.8 billion ASMs, resulting in a 2.7 point increase in passenger load factor to 74.5 percent.

Cargo revenues decreased 3.7 percent, or \$13 million, to \$343 million primarily as a result of decreased freight and mail yields.

Other revenues decreased 1.4 percent, or \$19 million, to \$1.3 billion primarily as a result of insurance proceeds related to casualty events in the first quarter of 2011 and fewer third party ground handling contracts.

OPERATING EXPENSES

The Company's total operating expenses increased 4.0 percent, or \$481 million, to \$12.4 billion in the first six months of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. American's mainline operating expenses per ASM increased 5.5 percent to 14.4 cents. The increase in operating expense was largely due to a year-over-year increase in fuel prices from \$2.93 per gallon in the first half of 2011 to \$3.24 per gallon in the first half of 2012, including the impact of fuel hedging. Fuel expense was the Company's largest single expense category in the first six months of 2012 and the price increase resulted in \$402 million in incremental year-over-year fuel expense in the first six months of 2012 (based on the year-over-year increase in the average price per gallon multiplied by gallons consumed, inclusive of the impact of fuel hedging). Further increases in fuel prices and/or disruptions in the supply of fuel would further materially adversely affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations. The Company also incurred employee charges of \$93 million for severance related costs associated with planned reductions in certain work groups. Other increases in operating expenses were largely offset by decreased aircraft and facility rent as leases are modified during the Chapter 11 restructuring process.

Table of Contents

(in millions) Operating Expenses	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	Change from 2011	Percentage Change	
Aircraft fuel	\$ 4,375	\$ 331	8.2 % (a))
Wages, salaries and benefits	3,560	74	2.1 (b))
Other rentals and landing fees	661	(46)	(6.5) (c))
Maintenance, materials and repairs	700	61	9.5 (d))
Depreciation and amortization	521	(21)	(3.9)	
Commissions, booking fees and credit card expense	529	5	0.9	
Aircraft rentals	272	(46)	(14.4) (e))
Food service	255	2	0.6	
Special charges	117	117	— (f))
Other operating expenses	1,447	4	0.3	
Total operating expenses	\$ 12,437	\$ 481	4.0 %	

- (a) Aircraft fuel expense increased primarily due to a 10.1 percent increase in the Company's price per gallon of fuel (net of the impact of hedging gains of \$20 million).
- (b) Increase in wages, salaries and benefits is driven by increased pension cost as a result of amortization of unrealized losses.
- (c) Other rentals and landing fees decreased primarily as a result of the Company's Chapter 11 Cases as described in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (d) Maintenance, materials and repairs increased primarily due to timing of materials and repairs expenses.
- (e) Aircraft rental expense decreased primarily as a result of the Company's Chapter 11 Cases as described in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (f) Special charges consist of \$117 million of severance related charges and write off of lease hold improvements on aircraft and at airport facilities that were rejected during the Chapter 11 process.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

Other income (expense) consists of interest income and expense, interest capitalized and miscellaneous—net.

A decrease in short-term investment balances caused a decrease in interest income of \$1.0 million, or 6.8 percent, to \$13 million for the first six months of 2012 compared to the same period last year. Interest expense decreased \$73 million, or 17.6 percent, to \$342 million primarily as a result of the Company's Chapter 11 Cases as described in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

REORGANIZATION ITEMS, NET

(in millions)

Reorganization items refer to revenues, expenses (including professional fees), realized gains and losses and provisions for losses that are realized or incurred as a direct result of the Chapter 11 Cases. The following table summarizes the components included in reorganization items, net on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012:

(in initions)	
Aircraft financing renegotiations and rejections ^{(1) (2)}	\$ 1,114
Rejection of facility bond related obligations ⁽²⁾	399
Professional fees	117
Total reorganization items, net	\$ 1,630

(1) The Debtors record an estimated claim associated with the rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease when a motion is filed with the Bankruptcy Court to reject such contract or lease and the Debtors believe that it is probable the motion will be approved and there is sufficient information to estimate the claim. The Debtors record an estimated claim associated with the renegotiation of an executory contract or unexpired lease when the renegotiated terms of such contract or lease are not opposed or are otherwise approved by the Bankruptcy Court and there is sufficient information to estimate the claim.

(2) Estimated allowed claims from (i) rejecting 16 leases of seven Boeing 757-200 aircraft, one McDonnell Douglas MD-80 aircraft, and eight spare engines, (ii) relinquishing one Airbus A300-600R aircraft that was subject to a mortgage, (iii) filing motions to reject facility agreements supporting special facility revenue bonds at Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, Fort Worth Alliance Airport, and Luis Muñoz Marín International Airport in San Juan, Puerto Rico and (iv) filing motions to modify the leases of 168 aircraft, including 39 Super ATR aircraft, nine Boeing 737-800 aircraft, 33 Boeing 757-200 aircraft, 11 Boeing 767-200ER aircraft, 13 Boeing 767-300ER aircraft, and 63 McDonnell Douglas MD-80 aircraft. The rejections of the leases of such aircraft and spare engines and the modification of the leases relating to such aircraft have been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. See above, "Special Protection Applicable to Leases and Secured Financing of Aircraft and Aircraft Equipment," for further information.

INCOME TAX

The Company did not record a net tax provision (benefit) associated with its net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2012 or June 30, 2011 due to the Company providing a valuation allowance, as discussed in Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

OPERATING STATISTICS

The following table provides statistical information for American and Regional Affiliates for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	
American Airlines, Inc. Mainline Jet Operations			
Revenue passenger miles (millions)	62,546	61,953	
Available seat miles (millions)	76,207	77,078	
Cargo ton miles (millions)	901	898	
Passenger load factor	82.1%	80.4%	
Passenger revenue yield per passenger mile (cents)	15.02	14.03	
Passenger revenue per available seat mile (cents)	12.33	11.28	
Cargo revenue yield per ton mile (cents)	38.07	39.66	
Operating expenses per available seat mile, excluding Regional Affiliates (cents) (*)	14.38	13.63	
Fuel consumption (gallons, in millions)	1,196	1,224	
Fuel price per gallon (dollars)	3.24	2.93	
Regional Affiliates			
Revenue passenger miles (millions)	5,054	4,720	
Available seat miles (millions)	6,781	6,567	
Passenger load factor	74.5%	71.9%	

(*)Excludes \$1.5 billion and \$1.5 billion of expense incurred related to Regional Affiliates in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. The Company believes its estimates and assumptions are reasonable; however, actual results and the timing of the recognition of such amounts could differ from those estimates. The Company has identified the following critical accounting policies and estimates used by management in the preparation of the Company's financial statements: long-lived assets, international slot and route authorities, passenger revenue, frequent flyer program, stock compensation, pensions and retiree medical and other benefits, income taxes and derivatives. These policies and estimates are described in the 2011 Form 10-K.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

There have been no material changes in market risk from the information provided in <u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> of the Company's 2011 Form 10-K. The change in market risk for aircraft fuel is discussed below for informational purposes.

The risk inherent in the Company's market risk sensitive instruments and positions is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in the price of fuel, foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates as discussed below. The sensitivity analyses presented do not consider the effects that such adverse changes may have on overall economic activity, nor do they consider additional actions management may take to mitigate the Company's exposure to such changes. Therefore, actual results may differ. The

Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

Aircraft Fuel The Company's earnings are substantially affected by changes in the price and availability of aircraft fuel. In order to provide a measure of control over price and supply, the Company trades and ships fuel and maintains fuel storage facilities to support its flight operations. The Company also manages the price risk of fuel costs through the use of hedging contracts, primarily call options, collars (consisting of a purchased call option and a sold put option) and call spreads (consisting of a purchased call option and a sold call option). Heating oil, jet fuel and crude oil are the primary underlying commodities in the hedge portfolio. Market risk is estimated as a hypothetical 10 percent increase in the June 30, 2012 and 2011 cost per gallon of fuel. Based on projected fuel usage for the next twelve months, such an increase would result in an increase to Aircraft fuel expense of approximately \$739 million, inclusive of the impact of effective fuel hedge instruments outstanding at June 30, 2012, and assumes the Company's fuel hedging program remains effective. Such an increase would have resulted in an increase to projected Aircraft fuel expense of approximately \$730 million, inclusive of the impact of effective fuel hedge instruments outstanding at June 30, 2012, and assumes the Company had cash flow hedges covering approximately 40 percent of its estimated remaining 2012 fuel requirements. Comparatively, as of June 30, 2011, the Company had hedged approximately 46 percent of its estimated remaining 2011 fuel requirements. The consumption hedged for the remainder of 2012 is capped at an average of \$3.57 per gallon of jet fuel. The Company's collars represent approximately 36 percent of its estimated consumption, through the use of sold call options, at an average of \$3.57 per gallon of jet fuel. The Company's collars represent approximately 36 percent of its estimated remaining 2012 fuel requirements and have an average floor price of approximately \$2.44 per gallon of jet fuel (both the capped

Ineffectiveness is inherent in hedging jet fuel with derivative positions based in crude oil or other crude oil related commodities. The Company assesses, both at the inception of each hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in its hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of the hedged items. In doing so, the Company uses a regression model to determine the correlation of the change in prices of the commodities used to hedge jet fuel (e.g., NYMEX Heating oil) to the change in the price of jet fuel. The Company also monitors the actual dollar offset of the hedges' market values as compared to hypothetical jet fuel hedges. The fuel hedge contracts are generally deemed to be "highly effective" if the R-squared is greater than 80 percent and the dollar offset correlation is within 80 percent to 125 percent. The Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively if it determines that a derivative is no longer expected to be highly effective as a hedge or if it decides to discontinue the hedging relationship.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The term "disclosure controls and procedures" is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). This term refers to the controls and procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission. An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2011. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2012.

Since filing the Chapter 11 Cases, except as set forth below, the Company made no change in its internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

 The Company's Chapter 11 Cases have a significant impact on its business processes and internal control over financial reporting related to (1) the proper separation and payment of prepetition and post-petition obligations and (2) the preparation of consolidated financial statements reflecting the accounting required for the restructuring activities and reorganization expenses resulting from the Chapter 11 Cases. Management continues to take actions necessary to address the resources, processes and controls related to these restructuring activities, while maintaining controls over routine daily operations.

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As previously discussed, on November 29, 2011, the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under the Bankruptcy Code. Each of the Debtors continues to operate its business and manage its property as a debtor-in-possession pursuant to Sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. As a result of the current Chapter 11 filings, attempts to prosecute, collect, secure or enforce remedies with respect to prepetition claims against the Debtors are subject to the automatic stay provisions of Section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, including, except in such cases where the Bankruptcy Court has entered an order modifying or lifting the automatic stay, the litigation described below. Notwithstanding the general application of the automatic stay described above, governmental authorities, both domestic and foreign, may determine to continue actions brought under their regulatory powers. Therefore, the automatic stay may have no effect on certain matters described below.

On February 14, 2006, the DOJ served the Company with a grand jury subpoena as part of an ongoing investigation into possible criminal violations of the antitrust laws by certain domestic and foreign air cargo carriers. At this time, the Company does not believe it is a target of the DOJ investigation. The New Zealand Commerce Commission notified the Company on February 17, 2006 that it is investigating whether the Company and certain other cargo carriers entered into agreements relating to fuel surcharges, security surcharges, war-risk surcharges, and customs clearance surcharges. On February 22, 2006, the Company received a letter from the Swiss Competition Commission informing the Company that it is investigating whether the Company and certain other cargo carriers entered into agreements relating to fuel surcharges, security surcharges, war-risk surcharges, and customs clearance surcharges. On March 11, 2008, and on June 22, 2012, the Company received requests for information from the Swiss Competition Commission concerning, among other things, the scope and organization of the Company's activities in Switzerland and its Swiss revenues . On June 27, 2007 and October 31, 2007, the Company received requests for information from the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission seeking information regarding fuel surcharges imposed by the Company on cargo shipments to and from Australia and regarding the structure of the Company's cargo operations. On September 1, 2008, the Company received a request from the Korea Fair Trade Commission seeking information regarding cargo rates and surcharges and the structure of the Company's activities in Korea. On January 23, 2007, the Brazilian competition authorities, as part of an ongoing investigation, conducted an unannounced search of the Company's cargo facilities in Sao Paulo, Brazil. On April 24, 2008, the Brazilian competition authorities charged the Company with violating Brazilian competition laws. On December 31, 2009, the Brazilian competition authorities made a non-binding recommendation to the Brazilian competition tribunal that it find the Company in violation of competition laws. The authorities are investigating whether the Company and certain other foreign and domestic air carriers violated Brazilian competition laws by illegally conspiring to set fuel surcharges on cargo shipments. The Company is vigorously contesting the allegations and the preliminary findings of the Brazilian competition authorities. The Company intends to cooperate fully with all pending investigations. In the event that any investigations uncover violations of the U.S. antitrust laws or the competition laws of some other jurisdiction, or if the Company were named and found liable in any litigation based on these allegations, such findings and related legal proceedings could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

Forty-five purported class action lawsuits have been filed in the U.S. against the Company and certain foreign and domestic air carriers alleging that the defendants violated U.S. antitrust laws by illegally conspiring to set prices and surcharges on cargo shipments. These cases, along with other purported class action lawsuits in which the Company was not named, were consolidated in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York as <u>In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation</u>, 06-MD-1775 on June 20, 2006. Plaintiffs are seeking trebled money damages and injunctive relief. To facilitate a settlement on a class basis, the Company agreed to be named in a separate class action complaint, which was filed on July 26, 2010. The settlement of that complaint, in which the Company does not admit and denies liability, was approved by the court and final judgment was entered on April 6, 2011. Approximately 40 members of the class have elected to opt out, thereby preserving their rights to sue the Company separately. Any adverse judgment could have a material adverse impact on the Company. Also, on January 23, 2007, the Company was served with a purported class action complaint filed against the Company, American, and certain foreign and domestic air carriers in the Supreme Court of British Columbia in Canada (<u>McKay v. Ace Aviation Holdings, et al</u>.). The plaintiff alleges that the defendants violated Canadian competition laws by illegally conspiring to set prices and surcharges on cargo shipments. The complaint seeks compensatory and punitive damages under Canadian law. On June 22, 2007, the plaintiffs agreed to dismiss their claims against the Company. The dismissal is without prejudice and the Company could be brought back into the litigation at a future date. If litigation is recommenced against the Company in the Canadian courts, the Company will vigorously defend itself; however, any adverse judgment could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

On June 20, 2006, the DOJ served the Company with a grand jury subpoena as part of an ongoing investigation into possible criminal violations of the antitrust laws by certain domestic and foreign passenger carriers. At this time, the Company does not believe it is a target of the DOJ investigation. The Company intends to cooperate fully with this investigation. On September 4, 2007, the Attorney General of the State of Florida served the Company with a Civil Investigative Demand as part of its investigation of possible violations of federal and Florida antitrust laws regarding the pricing of air passenger transportation. In the event that this or other investigations uncover violations of the U.S. antitrust laws or the competition laws of some other jurisdiction, such findings and related legal proceedings could have a material adverse impact on the Company. Approximately 52 purported class

action lawsuits have been filed in the U.S. against the Company and certain foreign and domestic air carriers alleging that the defendants violated U.S. antitrust laws by illegally conspiring to set prices and surcharges for passenger transportation. On October 25, 2006, these cases, along with other purported class action lawsuits in which the Company was not named, were consolidated in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California as <u>In re International Air Transportation</u> <u>Surcharge Antitrust Litigation</u>, Civ. No. 06-1793 (the Passenger MDL). On July 9, 2007, the Company was named as a defendant in the Passenger MDL. On August 25, 2008, the plaintiffs dismissed their claims against the Company in this action. On March 13, 2008 and March 14, 2008, an additional purported class action complaint, Turner v. American Airlines, et al., Civ. No. 08-1444 (N.D. Cal.), was filed against the Company, alleging that the Company violated U.S. antitrust laws by illegally conspiring to set prices and surcharges for passenger transportation in Japan and certain European countries, respectively. The Turner plaintiffs have failed to perfect service against the Company, and it is unclear whether they intend to pursue their claims. In the event that the Turner plaintiffs pursue their claims, the Company will vigorously defend these lawsuits, but any adverse judgment in these actions could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

On August 21, 2006, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed against American and American Beacon Advisors, Inc. (then a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company) in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (<u>Ronald A. Katz Technology Licensing, L.P. v. American Airlines, Inc., et al.</u>). This case has been consolidated in the Central District of California for pre-trial purposes with numerous other cases brought by the plaintiff against other defendants. The plaintiff alleges that American infringes a number of the plaintiff's patents, each of which relates to automated telephone call processing systems. The plaintiff is seeking past and future royalties, injunctive relief, costs and attorneys' fees. On December 1, 2008, the court dismissed with prejudice all claims against American Beacon. On May 22, 2009, following its granting of summary judgment to American based on invalidity and non-infringement, the court dismissed all claims against American. Plaintiff appealed, and on February 18, 2011, the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals issued a decision affirming in part and reversing in part and remanding the case back to the District Court for further proceedings. Plaintiff's petition for a rehearing of the appeal en banc before the Federal Circuit was denied. Although the Company believes that the plaintiff's claims are without merit and is vigorously defending the lawsuit, a final adverse court decision awarding substantial money damages or placing material restrictions on existing automated telephone call system operations would have a material adverse impact on the Company. This case has been staved as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases.

On January 10, 2011, the Company filed a lawsuit in Tarrant County, Texas State Court against Sabre alleging, among other claims, that Sabre's actions of introducing bias against the display of American's services in its global distribution system (GDS) and substantially increasing the rates that it would charge the Company for bookings made through the Sabre GDS breached its agreement with the Company. That same day, the Company successfully obtained a temporary restraining order that prohibited Sabre from continuing to bias the display of American's services. From July 2011 to January 2012, the Company filed a number of amended complaints adding new breach of contract and Texas antitrust claims. These claims, which are brought under Texas state law, contain allegations of anticompetitive activity similar to those alleged in the federal antitrust action described below. On June 8, 2011 and October 7, 2011, Sabre filed counterclaims against the Company alleging that American has breached its agreement and that American violated antitrust laws. On August 29, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement with Sabre that will allow American to continue to participate in the Sabre GDS until American's antitrust claims in the Texas state court are resolved. Trial in that case is now set to begin October 3, 2012. The Company intends to vigorously pursue its claims, but there can be no assurance of the outcome, and if the Court does not further enjoin Sabre from introducing bias against American's services or allowing Sabre to remove American services from its system, actions taken by Sabre could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

On April 12, 2011, the Company filed an antitrust lawsuit against Travelport and Orbitz in Federal District Court for the Northern District of Texas. On June 1, 2011, Sabre filed a request to intervene in this action and stated that it intended to file its own claims against American alleging that American violated the antitrust laws by withholding certain content from the Sabre GDS. On June 1, 2011, the Company amended its lawsuit to add Sabre as a defendant. On October 20, 2011, American sought leave to file new antitrust claims against the defendants based on facts learned through discovery. The lawsuit, as amended, alleges, among other things, that the defendants (1) engaged in anticompetitive practices to preserve their monopoly power over American's ability to distribute its products through their subscribers; (2) conspired with each other, as well as other third parties, to preserve the existing GDS business model; (3) undertook actions against American, such as biasing and increasing prices, to punish American for supporting a competitive alternative, and (4) organized, supported, and monitored a boycott of American services among travel agencies. The lawsuit further alleges that these actions have prevented American from employing new competing technologies and have allowed the defendants to continue to charge American alleging that American's direct connect efforts violate the antitrust laws by preserving American's monopoly power on certain city pairs. In addition, all defendants filed motions requesting that the court dismiss American's claims. On November 21, 2011, the court granted those motions as to certain claims, and on February 28, 2012, the court granted that motion in

part and denied it in part. The defendants have each filed new motions to dismiss certain claims asserted in American's amended complaint.

American intends to vigorously pursue these claims, which are not stayed by our Chapter 11 filing, but there can be no assurance of the outcome, and if the Court does not enjoin Sabre or other defendants from taking actions against American, including removing American's services from their systems, actions taken by the defendants could have a material adverse impact on the Company. Furthermore, the Bankruptcy Court granted motions filed by Sabre and Travelport to lift the automatic stay with respect to their counterclaims; American did not oppose these motions.

Disclosure regarding the Company's adversary proceedings with respect to its retiree health and welfare benefit plans can be found in Part I, Item 1 (Financial Statements) under Note 12, "Subsequent Events", and is incorporated herein by reference.

Disclosure regarding American's litigation relating to the Communications Workers of America's application with the National Mediation Board requesting an election among American's passenger service employee group can be found in Part I, Item 2 (Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations) under "Other Events" and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K. Where the amount of securities authorized to be issued under any of AMR's long-term debt agreements does not exceed 10 percent of AMR's assets, pursuant to paragraph (b) (4) of Item 601 of Regulation S-K, in lieu of filing such as an exhibit, AMR hereby agrees to furnish to the Commission upon request a copy of any agreement with respect to such long-term debt.

The following exhibits are included herein:

- 10.1 Supplemental Agreement No. 28 to Purchase Agreement No. 1980 by and between American Airlines, Inc. and The Boeing Company dated as of June 1, 2012. Portions of this Exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a confidential treatment request under Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- ^{10.2} Supplemental Agreement No. 30 to Purchase Agreement No. 1980 by and between American Airlines, Inc. and The Boeing Company dated June 29, 2012. Portions of this Exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a confidential treatment request under Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 12 Computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18, United States Code).
- 101 The following materials from AMR Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.*
- * Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AMR CORPORATION

Date: July 18, 2012

BY: [/s/ Isabella D. Goren]

Isabella D. Goren Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Supplemental Agreement No. 28

to

Purchase Agreement No. 1980

between

The Boeing Company

and

American airlines, inc

Relating to Boeing Model 777 Aircraft

THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT, entered into as of the <u>1st</u> day of <u>June</u>, 2012, (*SA-28*) by and between THE BOEING COMPANY, a Delaware corporation with offices in Seattle, Washington, (*Boeing*) and American Airlines, Inc. (*Customer*);

RECITALS:

WHEREAS, Boeing and Customer entered into Purchase Agreement No. 1980 dated as of October 31, 1997, as amended and supplemented relating to Boeing Model 777 aircraft (the *Purchase Agreement*) (capitalized terms used herein without definition shall have the meanings specified therefor in such Purchase Agreement); and

WHEREAS, Customer has provided notice to exercise as a 777-323ER Aircraft a MADP Right with delivery scheduled for [*CTR]; and

WHEREAS, Boeing and Customer mutually agree to the final configuration of its 777-323ER Aircraft as herein set forth (*Options*).

<u>NOW THEREFORE</u>, In consideration of the mutual covenants herein contained, the parties agree to amend the Purchase Agreement as follows:

1. <u>Table of Contents</u>:

The <u>"Table of Contents</u>" to the Purchase Agreement is deleted in its entirety and a revised "<u>Table of Contents</u>," attached hereto and identified with an "SA-28" legend, is substituted in lieu thereof to reflect the changes made by this SA-28. 2. <u>Table 1-7</u>:

Table 1-7 entitled 777-323ER Aircraft Delivery, Description, Price and Advance Payments is deleted in its entirety and a revised Table 1-7, attached hereto, is substituted in lieu thereof to set forth the 777-323ER aircraft with delivery scheduled for [*CTR].

P.A. No. 1980 Page 1 SA-28 BOEING PROPRIETARY

3. Exhibit A2.

Exhibit A2 entitled "Aircraft Configuration between The Boeing Company and American Airlines, Inc. Relating to 777-323ER Aircraft" (Exhibit A2) attached hereto and identified with an "SA-28" legend is added to the Purchase Agreement to document Buyer's decisions for Options comprising the configuration of its 777-323ER Aircraft unless and until later changed in accordance with the Purchase Agreement.

4. Supplemental Exhibit BFE1-2:

Supplemental Exhibit BFE1-2 entitled "Buyer Furnished Equipment Variables relating to Boeing Model 777-323ER Aircraft" is deleted in its entirety and a revised BFE1-2, attached hereto, is substituted in lieu thereof to set forth the preliminary BFE on-dock dates for the [*CTR] 777-323ER Aircraft.

5. Letter Agreement No. 6-1162-AKP-110R3:

Attachment B to Letter Agreement No. 6-1162-AKP-110R3 entitled "Aircraft Purchase Rights and Substitution Rights" which itself is entitled "Information Regarding MADP Rights" (Attachment B) is deleted in its entirety and a revised Attachment B, attached hereto, is substituted in lieu thereof to set forth the exercise of the [*CTR] MADP Right via this SA-28.

Nothing in this SA-28 precludes Customer from hereafter exercising its Substitution Right in accordance with Paragraph 5 of Letter Agreement No. 6-1162-AKP-110R3 entitled Aircraft Purchase Rights and Substitution Rights.

Letter Agreement No. AAL-PA-1980-LA-04205R3: 6.

Letter Agreement No. AAL-PA-1980-LA-04205R3 entitled "Aircraft Performance Guarantees - 777-323ER" is deleted in its entirety and a revised Letter Agreement No. AAL-PA-1980-LA-04205R4, attached hereto, is substituted in lieu thereof to apply the performance guarantees stated therein to the [*CTR] Aircraft, which is being exercised via this SA-28, in addition to all Aircraft set forth in Table 1-7, which were exercised via Supplemental Agreement Numbers 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26.

7. Letter Agreement No. AAL-PA-1980-LA-1105629:

The parties mutually agree that Customer's exercise of its rights for the [*CTR] 777-323ER Aircraft and execution of this SA-28 as of June 1, 2012 is (i) [*CTR] established by subparagraph (b) of Article 1.4 of Letter Agreement No. AAL-PA-1980-LA-1105629 entitled "Advance Payments and Permitted Transactions" (*Purchase Rights Requirements*) and that (ii) as of the effective date of this SA-28, June 1, 2012, [*CTR].

P.A. No. 1980 SA-28 Page 2 **BOEING PROPRIETARY**

8. <u>Customer Chapter 11:</u>

Boeing acknowledges that Customer is a debtor in possession under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the *Bankruptcy Code*) in the cases styled "In re AMR Corporation, et al." pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, case no. 11-15643 (SHL) (Jointly Administered). Customer believes that it has all requisite authority to enter into this Supplemental Agreement No. 28. The parties agree that this Supplemental Agreement No. 28 does not constitute an assumption, rejection, or assumption and assignment of the Purchase Agreement or cause the Purchase Agreement as a whole to become a new post-petition agreement and that entry into this Supplemental Agreement No. 28 shall not affect any rights of Customer or Boeing under the Bankruptcy Code.

The Purchase Agreement will be deemed to be amended to the extent provided herein and as so amended will continue in full force and effect. In the event of any inconsistency between the above provisions and the provisions contained in the referenced exhibits to this SA-28, the terms of the exhibits will control. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the provisions of Paragraph 8 of this Supplemental Agreement No. 28 shall control in all instances.

EXECUTED IN DUPLICATE as of the day and year first above written.

THE BOEING COMPANY AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.

By: <u>/s/ The Boeing Company</u> By: <u>/s/ American Airlines, Inc.</u>

Its: <u>Attorney-In-Fact</u> Its: <u>VP Corporate Development & Treasurer</u>

P.A. No. 1980 Page 3 SA-28

BOEING PROPRIETARY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLES		<u>SA NUMBER</u>
1.	Quantity, Model and Description	SA-20
2.	Delivery Schedule	SA-20
3.	Price	SA-20
4. r	Payment	SA-20
5. <u>TABLE</u>	Miscellaneous	SA-20
	777-200ER Aircraft Information Table: [*CTR]	
	Jul. [*CTR] Airframe Base Year	Original Purchase
1.	Jul. [*CTR] Engine Base Year	Agreement, SA-3, SA-17, SA-18 & SA-26
	777-200IGW Aircraft Information Table: 1999 Deliveries	
1-1.	Jul. 1995 Airframe & Engine Base Year	SA-1
	777-200IGW Aircraft Information Table: 2000-2001 Deliveries	
1-2.	Jul. 1995 Airframe & Engine Base Year	SA-2 & SA-6
	777-200IGW Aircraft Information Table: 2000-2001 Deliveries	
	Jul. 1995 Airframe Base Year	
1-3.	Jul. 1997 Engine Base Year	SA-4, SA-5, SA-6, SA-7 & SA-9
	777-200IGW Aircraft Information Table: 2000-2001 Deliveries	
	Jul. 1995 Airframe Base Year	
1-4.	Jul. 1998 Engine Base Year	SA-5, SA-6 & SA-9
	777-223IGW Aircraft Information Table: 2001-2002 Deliveries	
	Jul. 1995 Airframe Base Year	SA 10 SA 11 SA 17 8-
1-5.	Jul. 1999 Engine Base Year	SA-10, SA-11, SA-12 & SA-15
	777-200IGW Aircraft Information Table: 2003 Deliveries	
	Jul. 1995 Airframe Base Year	
1-6.	Jul. 1995 Engine Base Year	SA-13
1-7.	777-323ER Aircraft Jul. [*CTR] Base Year	SA-25, SA-26, SA-28
1-7.	777-223IGW Aircraft Information Table: 2009-2010 Deliveries	,,
	Jul. 1995 Airframe Base Year	
2.	Jul. 2001 Engine Base Year	SA-15 & SA-16
	777-223IGW Aircraft Information Table: 2006-2010 Deliveries	
	Jul. 1995 Airframe Base Year [*CTR]	
3.	Jul. 2001 Engine Base Year	SA-15 & SA-16
	P.A. No. 1980 SA-28	
	Table of Contents, Page i	
	BOEING PROPRIETARY	

<u>EXHIBIT</u>			<u>SA NUI</u>	MBER
А.	Aircraft Configuration	l de la construcción de la constru		
A1.	Aircraft Configuration	- 777-323ER	SA-20	
A2	Aircraft Configuration		SA-28	
В.	Aircraft Delivery Requ	uirements and Responsibilities	SA-20	
С.	Defined Terms		SA-20	
SUPPLEMEN	ITAL EXHIBITS		<u>SA NUI</u>	MBER
AE1.	Escalation Adjustment	t Airframe and Optional Features - 777-323ER	SA-20	
BFE1.	BFE Variables			
BFE1-2.	BFE Variables - 777-	323ER	SA-25,	SA-26, SA-28
CS1.	Customer Support Var	iables		
CS1-2	Customer Support Var	iables - 777-323ER	SA-20	
SLP1	Service Life Policy Co	omponents		
EE1-BR1.	Engine Escalation and	Engine Warranty	SA-15	
EE1-2.	Engine Escalation, En	gine Warranty and Patent Indemnity - 777-323ER	SA-20	
LETTER AG	DEEMENITS			<u>PA or SA</u> NUMBER
LETTER AG	<u>KEEWIEN I 5</u>	Miscellaneous Commitments for		NOMBER
C 11C2 AVD	070	Model 737, 757, 767 and 777 Aircraft		
<u>6-1162-AKP-</u>				DA 2210
<u>6-1162-AKP-</u>		Purchase Obligations		PA3219
6-1162-AKP-		[*CTR]		SA-20
6-1162-AKP-		Accident Claims and Litigation		PA3219
6-1162-AKP-		Business Considerations		SA-20
6-1162-AKP-		Aircraft Purchase Rights and Substitution Rights		SA-20
Attachment Attachmen		Description and Price for Eligible Models		SA-20 SA-28
		Information Regarding MADP Rights		
Attachment Attachment		Information Regarding QADP Rights		SA-27 SA-20
Attachment		Forms of Purchase Agreement Supplement		SA-20 SA-20
		Letter Agreements	L	
Attachment		Information regarding MADP and QADP Rights if no 787s are reconfirme Aircraft Performance Guarantees	a	SA-20
6-1162-AKP-				C A 20
	0-LA-1003346	Aircraft Performance Guarantees - 777-323ER		SA-20
AAL-PA-198	0-LA-04205R4	Aircraft Performance Guarantees- 777-323ER		SA-28

P.A. No. 1980 SA-28 Table of Contents, Page ii BOEING PROPRIETARY

			PA or SA
LETTER AGREEMENTS, continued	<u>1</u>		<u>NUMBER</u>
6-1162-AKP-112	Spares Matters		
6-1162-AKP-113	Model 777 Miscellaneous Commitme	nts	
6-1162-AKP-114R1	Installation of Cabin Systems Equipm	ent	SA-22
AAL-PA-1980-LA-1003493	Installation of Cabin Systems Equipm	ent - 777-323ER	SA-20
6-1162-AKP-115	Component and System Reliability Co	ommitments	
6-1162-AKP-116	Price Adjustment on Rolls-Royce Eng	gines	
6-1162-AKP-117	Delivery Schedule		
6-1162-AKP-118R2	Confidentiality		SA-20
6-1162-AKP-204	Multiple Operating Weight Program M	Model 777-200IGW Aircraft	SA-6
AAL-PA-1980-LA-1003536R1	Multiple Operating Weight Program, 1	Model 777-323ER Aircraft	SA-21
AAL-PA-1980-LA-1003344	Open Configuration Matters -777-323	BER	SA-20
AAL-PA-1980-LA-1104563	Performance Guarantees for Rights A	ircraft	SA-23
AAL-PA-1980-LA-1105629	Advance Payments and Permitted Tra	nsactions	SA-25
	P.A. No. 1980	SA-28	

Table of Contents, Page iii BOEING PROPRIETARY

Table 1-7 to Purchase Agreement No. PA-01980 777-323ER Aircraft Delivery, Description, Price and Advance Payments

Airframe Model/MTOW:	777-300ER	775000 pounds	Detail Specification:	[*CTR	1	
Engine Model/Thrust:	GE90-115BL	115300 pounds	Airframe Price Base Year/Escalation Formula:		[*CTR]	ECI-MFG/CPI
Airframe Price:		[*CTR]	Engine Price Base Year/Escalation Formula:		N/A	N/A
Optional Features:		[*CTR]				
Sub-Total of Airframe and H	'eatures:	[*CTR]	Airframe Escalation Data:			
Engine Price (Per Aircraft):		[*CTR]	Base Year Index (ECI):		[*0	TR]
Aircraft Basic Price (Exclud	ing BFE/SPE):	[*CTR]	Base Year Index (CPI):		[*0	TR]
Buyer Furnished Equipment	(BFE) Estimate:	[*CTR]				
Seller Purchased Equipment	(SPE) Estimate:	[*CTR]				

[*CTR]

Deposit per Aircraft:

		Escalation			Escalation Estimate	Adva	nce Payment Per Ai	rcraft (Amts. Due/Mos.	Prior to Delivery)
Delivery Date	Number of Aircraft		Manufactur er Serial Number	Exercised Via	Adv Payment Base Price Per A/P**	[*CTR] [*CTR]	[*CTR]Mos. [*CTR]	[*CTR]Mos. [*CTR]	Total [*CTR]
[*CTR]-20	12 1	[*CTR]	31543	SA-20	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
[*CTR]-20	12 1	[*CTR]	41665	SA-20	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
[*CTR]-20	13 1	[*CTR]	41668	SA-23	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
[*CTR]-20	13 1	[*CTR]	33522	SA-26	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
[*CTR]-20	.3 1	[*CTR]	31546	SA-22	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
[*CTR]-20	13 1	[*CTR]	31547	SA-23	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
[*CTR]-20	3 1	[*CTR]	33125	SA-24	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
[*CTR]-20	13 1	[*CTR]	31548	SA-25	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
[*CTR]-20	13 1	[*CTR]	41666	SA-25	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
[*CTD] 20		[#CTTD]	21550	61.20	[*CTD]	[#CTD]	[#CTD]	[#CUTD]	[#CITD]

 [*CTR]
 31550
 SA-28
 [*CTR]
 [*CTR]
 [*CTR]
 [*CTR]
 [*CTR]

 **** - Since the last date for payment of Advance Payments has passed for all Aircraft other than the Aircraft scheduled for delivery in [*CTR] of 2013 (*Pre-SA-28 Aircraft*), the Advance Payment Base Prices for the Pre-SA-28 Aircraft that are reflected in the table are reflected at values that existed prior to this SA-28.

P.A. No. 1980, APR 60227

Table 1-7, Page 1 BOEING PROPRIETARY

[*CTR]= [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT]

SA-28

AIRCRAFT CONFIGURATION

between

THE BOEING COMPANY

and

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.

Exhibit A2 to Purchase Agreement Number 1980

relating to

BOEING MODEL 777-323ER AIRCRAFT

Purchase Agreement No. 1980Supplemental Agreement No. 28Exhibit A2Page 1

BOEING PROPRIETARY

Exhibit A2

AIRCRAFT CONFIGURATION

Dated _____, 2012

relating to

BOEING MODEL 777-300ER AIRCRAFT

The Detail Specification is Boeing document number [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT]. The Detail Specification provides further description of Customer's configuration set forth in this Exhibit A. Such Detail Specification will be comprised of Boeing configuration specification [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT] as amended to incorporate the optional features (**Options**) listed below, including the effects on Manufacturer's Empty Weight (**MEW**) and Operating Empty Weight (**OEW**). As soon as practicable, Boeing will furnish to Customer copies of the Detail Specification, which copies will reflect such Options. The Aircraft [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT].

> Purchase Agreement No. 1980 Exhibit A2

Supplemental Agreement No. 28

Page 2

BOEING PROPRIETARY

CR	Title	[*CTR]Price Per A/C	Follow On Price Per A/C
[CONFIDENTIAL	[CONFIDENTIAL	IB	IB
		IB	IB
PORTION	PORTION	NC	NC
OMITTED	OMITTED	[*CTR]	NC
AND	AND	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
FILED	FILED	NC	NC
		NC	NC
SEPARATELY	SEPARATELY	NC	NC
WITH	WITH	NC	NC
		NC	NC
THE	THE	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
COMMISSION	COMMISSION	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC
PURSUANT	PURSUANT	NC	NC
		NC	NC
ТО	ТО	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC
A	А	NC	NC
		NC	NC
REQUEST	REQUEST	NC	NC
		NC	NC
FOR	FOR	NC	NC
		NC	NC
CONFIDENTIAL	CONFIDENTIAL	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC
TREATMENT]	TREATMENT]	NC	NC

Supplemental Agreement No. 28

Exhibit A2

Page 3

CR	Title	[*CTR] Price Per A/C	Follow On Price Per A/C
[CONFIDENTIAL	[CONFIDENTIAL	NC	NC
PORTION	PORTION	NC	NC
OMITTED	OMITTED	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
AND	AND	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
FILED	FILED	NC	NC
SEPARATELY	SEPARATELY	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
WITH	WITH	NC	NC
THE	THE	NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
COMMISSION	COMMISSION	NC	NC
		NC	NC
PURSUANT	PURSUANT	NC	NC
		NC	NC
ТО	ТО	NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
A	А	NC	NC
		NC	NC
REQUEST	REQUEST	NC	NC
		NC	NC
FOR	FOR	NC	NC
		NC	NC
CONFIDENTIAL	CONFIDENTIAL	NC	NC
		NC	NC
TREATMENT]	TREATMENT]	NC	NC

Supplemental Agreement No. 28 Page 4

Exhibit A2

BOEING PROPRIETARY

CR	Title	[*CTR] Price Per A/C	Follow On Price Per A/C
		I	
[CONFIDENTIAL	[CONFIDENTIAL	NC	NC
PORTION	PORTION	NC	NC
OMITTED	OMITTED	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
AND	AND	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
FILED	FILED	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
SEPARATELY	SEPARATELY	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
WITH	WITH	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
THE	THE	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
COMMISSION	COMMISSION	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
PURSUANT	PURSUANT	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
ТО	ТО	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
А	А	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
REQUEST	REQUEST	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
FOR	FOR	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
CONFIDENTIAL	CONFIDENTIAL	NC	NC
		NC	NC
TREATMENT]	TREATMENT]	NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC
		NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC

Purchase Agreement No. 1980 Exhibit A2

Supplemental Agreement No. 28 Page 5

BOEING PROPRIETARY

CR	Title	[*CTR] Price Per A/C	Follow On Price Per A/C
[CONFIDENTIAL	[CONFIDENTIAL	NC	NC
PORTION	PORTION	NC	NC
OMITTED	OMITTED	NC	NC
AND	AND	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
FILED	FILED	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
SEPARATELY	SEPARATELY	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
WITH	WITH	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
THE	THE	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
COMMISSION	COMMISSION	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
PURSUANT	PURSUANT	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
ТО	ТО	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
A	А	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
REQUEST	REQUEST	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
FOR	FOR	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
CONFIDENTIAL	CONFIDENTIAL	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
TREATMENT]	TREATMENT]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]

Supplemental Agreement No. 28 Page 6

Exhibit A2

BOEING PROPRIETARY

CR	Title	[*CTR] Price Per A/C	Follow On Price Per A/C
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
[CONFIDENTIAL	[CONFIDENTIAL	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
PORTION	PORTION	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
OMITTED	OMITTED	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
AND	AND	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
FILED	FILED	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
SEPARATELY	SEPARATELY	NC	NC
WITH	WITH	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
THE	THE	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC
COMMISSION	COMMISSION	NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
PURSUANT	PURSUANT	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
ТО	ТО	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
А	А	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
REQUEST	REQUEST	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
FOR	FOR	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
CONFIDENTIAL	CONFIDENTIAL	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
TREATMENT]	TREATMENT]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC
		NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]

Supplemental Agreement No. 28 Page 7

Exhibit A2 BOEING PROPRIETARY

CR	Title	[*CTR] Price Per A/C	Follow On Price Per A/C
[CONFIDENTIAL	[CONFIDENTIAL	NC	NC
PORTION	PORTION	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
OMITTED	OMITTED	NC	NC
AND	AND	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
FILED	FILED	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
SEPARATELY	SEPARATELY	NC	NC
WITH	WITH	NC	NC
THE	THE	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
COMMISSION	COMMISSION	NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
PURSUANT	PURSUANT	NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
ТО	ТО	NC	NC
		NC	NC
А	A	NC	NC
REQUEST	REQUEST	NC	NC
FOR	FOR	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
CONFIDENTIAL	CONFIDENTIAL	NC	NC
		NC	NC
TREATMENT]	TREATMENT]	NC	NC
		NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC

Exhibit A2

Supplemental Agreement No. 28 Page 8

CR	Title	[*CTR] Price Per A/C	Follow On Price Per A/C
[CONFIDENTIAL	[CONFIDENTIAL	NC	NC
PORTION	PORTION	NC	NC
OMITTED	OMITTED	NC	NC
AND	AND	NC	NC
FILED	FILED	NC	NC
SEPARATELY	SEPARATELY	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
WITH	WITH	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
THE	THE	NC	NC
		NC	NC
COMMISSION	COMMISSION	NC	NC
		NC	NC
PURSUANT	PURSUANT	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	NC
ТО	ТО	NC	NC
		NC	NC
А	А	NC	NC
REQUEST	REQUEST	NC	NC
FOR	FOR	NC	NC
CONFIDENTIAL	CONFIDENTIAL	NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
TREATMENT]	TREATMENT]	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC
		NC	NC
		NC	NC

Exhibit A2

Supplemental Agreement No. 28 Page 9

BOEING PROPRIETARY

CR	Title	[*CTR] Price Per A/C	Follow On Price Per A/C
[CONFIDENTIAL	[CONFIDENTIAL	NC	NC
PORTION	PORTION	NC	NC
OMITTED	OMITTED	NC	NC
AND	AND	NC	NC
FILED	FILED	NC	NC
SEPARATELY	SEPARATELY	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
WITH	WITH	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
THE	THE	NC	NC
		NC	NC
COMMISSION	COMMISSION	NC	NC
		NC	NC
PURSUANT	PURSUANT	NC	NC
		NC	NC
ТО	ТО	NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
A	А	NC	NC
REQUEST	REQUEST	NC	NC
FOR	FOR	NC	NC
CONFIDENTIAL	CONFIDENTIAL	NC	NC
		NC	NC
TREATMENT]	TREATMENT]	NC	NC
		NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC

Supplemental Agreement No. 28 Page 10

Exhibit A2

BOEING PROPRIETARY

CR	Title	[*CTR] Price Per A/C	Follow On Price Per A/C
[CONFIDENTIAL	[CONFIDENTIAL	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
PORTION		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
OMITTED	PORTION	NC	NC
AND	OMITTED	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
FILED	AND	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
SEPARATELY	FILED	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
WITH		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
THE	SEPARATELY	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
	WITH	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
COMMISSION	THE	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
PURSUANT	COMMISSION	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
ТО	PURSUANT	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
		NC	NC
A	ТО	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
REQUEST	А	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
FOR	REQUEST	NC	NC
CONFIDENTIAL	FOR	NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
TREATMENT]	CONFIDENTIAL	NC	NC
		IB	IB
		IB	IB
	TREATMENT]	NC	NC
		[*CTR]	[*CTR]
	TOTALS:	[*CTR]	[*CTR]

Supplemental Agreement No. 28

Exhibit A2

Page 11

BOEING PROPRIETARY

BUYER FURNISHED EQUIPMENT VARIABLES

between

THE BOEING COMPANY

and

American Airlines, Inc.

Supplemental Exhibit BFE1-2 to Purchase Agreement Number 1980

P.A. No. 1980 BFE1-2 SA-28

BOEING PROPRIETARY

Page 1

BUYER FURNISHED EQUIPMENT VARIABLES

relating to

BOEING MODEL 777-323ER AIRCRAFT

This Supplemental Exhibit BFE1-2 contains supplier selection dates, on-dock dates and other requirements applicable to the Model 777-323ER aircraft (Aircraft).

1. <u>Supplier Selection</u>. Customer will:

Select and notify Boeing of the suppliers and part numbers of the following BFE items by the following dates:

Galley System	August 1, 2011**
Galley Inserts	August 1, 2011**
Seats (Suites)	N/A
Seats (F/C, B/C, Premium E/C)	March 1, 2011**
Seats (Economy class)	August 1, 2011**
Overhead & Audio System	August 1, 2011**
In-Seat Video System	***
Miscellaneous Emergency Equipment	August 1, 2011

** Part numbers can be provided at a later date.

*** IFE Supplier Selection is concurrent with the longest lead passenger seat program.

P.A. No. 1980 BFE1-2 SA-28

Page 2

BOEING PROPRIETARY

2. On-dock Dates and Other Information.

Boeing has provided Customer with the BFE requirements electronically in My Boeing Fleet (**MBF**) through My Boeing Configuration (**MBC**). These requirements may be periodically revised, setting forth the items, quantities, on-dock dates and shipping instructions and other requirements relating to the in-sequence installation of BFE. For planning purposes, preliminary BFE on-dock dates are set forth below:

	Preliminary On-Dock Dates	
Item	[<u>*CTR] 2012</u>	[<u>*CTR] 2012</u>
	<u>Aircraft</u>	<u>Aircraft</u>
Seats	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Galleys/Furnishings	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Antennas & Mounting Equipment	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Avionics	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Cabin Systems Equipment	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Miscellaneous Emergency Equipment	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Textiles/Raw Material	[*CTR]	[*CTR]

	Preliminary On-Dock Dates	
<u>Item</u>	[<u>*CTR] 2013</u>	[<u>*CTR] 2013</u>
	<u>Aircraft</u>	<u>Aircraft</u>
Seats	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Galleys/Furnishings	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Antennas & Mounting Equipment	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Avionics	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Cabin Systems Equipment	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Miscellaneous Emergency Equipment	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Textiles/Raw Material	[*CTR]	[*CTR]

BOEING PROPRIETARY

[*CTR]= [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT]

P.A. No. 1980 BFE1-2 SA-28

Page 3

	<u>ary On-Dock Dates</u>
[<u>*CTR] 2013</u>	[<u>*CTR] 2013</u>
<u>Aircraft</u>	<u>Aircraft</u>
[*CTR]	[*CTR]
	[*CTR] 2013 Aircraft [*CTR] [*CTR] [*CTR] [*CTR] [*CTR] [*CTR] [*CTR]

	Preliminary On-Dock Dates	
Item	[<u>*CTR] 2013</u>	[<u>*CTR]</u> 2013
	<u>Aircraft</u>	<u>Aircraft</u>
Seats	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Galleys/Furnishings	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Antennas & Mounting Equipment	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Avionics	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Cabin Systems Equipment	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Miscellaneous Emergency Equipment	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Textiles/Raw Material	[*CTR]	[*CTR]

[*CTR]= [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT]

P.A. No. 1980 BFE1-2 SA-28

Page 4 BOEING PROPRIETARY

	Prelimin	<u>ary On-Dock Dates</u>
Item	[<u>*CTR] 2013</u>	[<u>*CTR]</u> 2013
	<u>Aircraft</u>	<u>Aircraft</u>
Seats	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Galleys/Furnishings	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Antennas & Mounting Equipment	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Avionics	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Cabin Systems Equipment	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Miscellaneous Emergency Equipment	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
Textiles/Raw Material	[*CTR]	[*CTR]

[*CTR]= [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT]

1. Additional Delivery Requirements - Import.

Customer will be the "**importer of record**" (as defined by the U.S. Customs and Border Protection) for all BFE imported into the United States, and as such, it has the responsibility to ensure all of Customer's BFE shipments comply with U.S. Customs Service regulations. In the event Customer requests Boeing, in writing, to act as importer of record for Customer's BFE, and Boeing agrees to such request, Customer is responsible for ensuring Boeing can comply with all U.S. Customs Import Regulations by making certain that, at the time of shipment, all BFE shipments comply with the requirements in the "International Shipment Routing Instructions", including the Customs Trade Partnership Against Terrorism (**C-TPAT**), as set out on the Boeing website referenced below. Customer agrees to include the International Shipment Routing Instructions, including C-TPAT requirements, in each contract between Customer and BFE supplier.

http://www.boeing.com/companyoffices/doingbiz/supplier_portal/index_general.html

P.A. No. 1980 BFE1-2 SA-28

Page 5

BOEING PROPRIETARY

Attachment B to Letter Agreement 6-1162-AKP-110R3 (Model 777) Information Regarding MADP Rights

MSN	Exercise Date	Delivery Month/Year
41,665	SA-20	[*CTR]
31,546	SA-22	[*CTR]
31,547	SA-23	[*CTR]
33,125	SA-24	[*CTR]
41,666	SA-25	[*CTR]
67,680	SA-28	[*CTR]
	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
71,938	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
71,939	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
71,940	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
101,794	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
71,942	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
67,655	[*CTR]	[*CTR]

SA-29 was executed on March 12, 2012 and provided the following:

In addition to (i) revising the delivery position of MSN 67655 from [*CTR] to [*CTR] and (ii) adding the MADP Right for MSN 101794 due to its conversion to MADP Right from a QADP Right (as described in Revised Attachment C), SA-29 eliminated the following two MADP Rights from Revised Attachment B (due to their conversion to QADP Rights and being added to Revised Attachment C):

67,660	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
101,795	[*CTR]	[*CTR]

[*CTR]= [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT]

PA No. 1980 SA No. 28 Attachment B to Letter Agreement 6-1162-AKP-110R3

Page 1 of 1



The Boeing Company P.O. Box 3707 Seattle, WA 98124-2207

AAL-PA-1980-LA-04205R4

American Airlines, Inc. P.O. Box 619616 Dallas-Fort Worth Airport, Texas 75261-9616

Subject: Aircraft Performance Guarantees – 777-323ER

Reference: Purchase Agreement No. PA-1980 (**Purchase Agreement**) between The Boeing Company (**Boeing**) and American Airlines, Inc. (**Customer**) relating to Model 777-323ER aircraft (**Aircraft**)

This letter agreement (Letter Agreement) amends and supplements the Purchase Agreement. All terms used but not defined in this Letter Agreement shall have the same meaning as in the Purchase Agreement.

For the Aircraft set forth in Table 1-7, which are exercised via Supplemental Agreements No. 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 28, Boeing agrees to provide Customer with the guarantees set forth in Attachment A, a copy of which was attached to Letter Agreement AAL-PA-1980-LA-04205, itself made a part of Supplemental Agreement No. 22. These guarantees are exclusive and will expire upon delivery of the Aircraft to Customer.

1. Assignment.

Notwithstanding any other provisions of the Purchase Agreement, the rights and obligations described in this Letter Agreement are provided to Customer in consideration of Customer's becoming the operator of the Aircraft and cannot be assigned in whole or, in part without the prior written consent of Boeing.

2. Confidential Treatment.

The information contained herein represents confidential business information and has value precisely because it is not available generally or to other parties. Customer will limit the disclosure of its contents to employees of Customer with a need to know the contents for purposes of helping Customer perform its obligations under the Purchase Agreement and who understand they are not to disclose its contents to any other person or entity without the prior written consent of Boeing.

AAL-PA-1980-LA-04205R4 Performance Guarantees – 777-323ER BOEING PROPRIETARY SA-28 Page 1

BOEING

Very truly yours,

THE BOEING COMPANY

By _/s/ The Boeing Company

Its Attorney-In-Fact

ACCEPTED AND AGREED TO this

Date:

American Airlines, Inc.

By _/s/ American Airlines, Inc.

Its VP Corporate Development & Treasurer

AAL-PA-1980-LA-04205R4 Performance Guarantees – 777-323ER BOEING PROPRIETARY

SA-28 Page 2

to

Purchase Agreement No. 1980

between

The Boeing Company

and

American airlines, inc

Relating to Boeing Model 777 Aircraft

THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT, entered into this <u>29th</u> day of <u>June</u> , 2012, (*SA-30*) by and between THE BOEING COMPANY, a Delaware corporation with offices in Seattle, Washington, (*Boeing*) and American Airlines, Inc. (*Customer*);

RECITALS:

WHEREAS, Boeing and Customer entered into Purchase Agreement No. 1980 dated as of October 31, 1997, as amended and supplemented relating to Boeing Model 777 aircraft (the *Purchase Agreement*) (capitalized terms used herein without definition shall have the meanings specified therefore in such Purchase Agreement);

WHEREAS, Customer and Boeing mutually desire:

a) to convert one MADP Right (*Former MADP Right*) into a QADP Right (*New QADP Right*):

Prior to SA-30	Pursuant to SA-30
[*CTR]	[*CTR] quarter of [*CTR]

b) to revise the exercise date for two [*CTR] quarter [*CTR] delivery QADP Rights (*Two Revised Exercise Date QADP Rights*) as follows:

Prior to SA-30	Pursuant to SA-30
[*CTR]	[*CTR]

WHEREAS, Customer and Boeing mutually desire to eliminate future offerability of [*CTR] for the Aircraft (understood to be Boeing Model 777-223ER

P.A. No. 1980Page 1 SA-30

BOEING PROPRIETARY

aircraft and Boeing Model 777-323ER aircraft to be hereafter delivered to Customer); and

WHEREAS, Customer and Boeing mutually desire to provide certain flexibility for five (5) [*CTR] Purchase Rights.

NOW THEREFORE, In consideration of the mutual covenants herein contained, the parties agree to amend the Purchase Agreement as follows:

1. Table of Contents:

The "Table of Contents," to the Purchase Agreement is deleted in its entirety and a revised "Table of Contents," attached hereto and identified with an "SA-30" legend, is substituted in lieu thereof to reflect the changes made by this SA-30. 2.

Revision of Attachments B and C to Letter Agreement No. 6-1162-AKP-110R3:

1. Attachment B entitled "Information Regarding MADP Rights to Letter Agreement No. 6-1162-AKP-110R3" is deleted in its entirety and a revised Attachment B (*Revised Attachment B*), attached hereto, is substituted in lieu thereof to eliminate the Former MADP Right from Revised Attachment B; and

Attachment C entitled "Information Regarding QADP Rights to Letter Agreement No. 6-1162-AKP-110R3" is deleted in its 2. entirety and a revised Attachment C (*Revised Attachment C*), attached hereto, is substituted in lieu thereof to:

incorporate the conversion of the Former MADP Right into the New QADP Right with the Exercise Date as specified 1. in Revised Attachment C; and

2. incorporate the revision of the Exercise Date for the Two Revised Exercise Date OADP Rights as specified in Revised Attachment C.

3. New Letter Agreements:

Boeing and Customer mutually agree to incorporate Letter Agreement AAL-PA-1980-LA-1207588, entitled "[*CTR] for 1. Undelivered Aircraft" providing the terms and conditions that eliminate future offerability of [*CTR] for the Aircraft specified therein (understood to be Boeing Model 777-223ER aircraft and Boeing Model 777-323ER aircraft to be hereafter delivered to Customer).

Boeing and Customer mutually agree to incorporate Letter Agreement AAL-PA-1980-LA-1208920, entitled "Delivery 2. Flexibility for [*CTR] Purchase Rights" providing the terms and conditions for a Slide (as defined in such letter agreement) of any of the five (5) [*CTR] Purchase Rights specified therein.

Customer Chapter 11: 4.

> P.A. No. 1980Page 2 SA-30

BOEING PROPRIETARY

Boeing acknowledges that Customer is a debtor in possession under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the *Bankruptcy Code*) in the cases styled "In re AMR Corporation, et al." pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, case no. 11-15643 (SHL) (Jointly Administered). Customer believes that it has all requisite authority to enter into this Supplemental Agreement No. 30. The parties agree that this Supplemental Agreement No. 30 does not constitute an assumption, rejection, or assumption and assignment of the Purchase Agreement or cause the Purchase Agreement as a whole to become a new post-petition agreement and that entry into this Supplemental Agreement No. 30 shall not affect any rights of Customer or Boeing under the Bankruptcy Code.

EXECUTED IN DUPLICATE as of the day and year first above written.

THE BOEING COMPANY AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.

By: <u>/s/ The Boeing Company</u> By: <u>/s/ American Airlines, Inc.</u>

Name: The Boeing Company Name: American Airlines, Inc.

Its: <u>Attorney-In-Fact</u> Its: <u>VP Corporate Development and Treasurer</u>

SA-30

P.A. No. 1980Page 3

BOEING PROPRIETARY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ARTICLES</u> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. <u>TABLE</u>	Quantity, Model and Description Delivery Schedule Price Payment Miscellaneous	<u>PAGE & SA</u> <u>NUMBER</u> 1, SA-20 1, SA-20 1, SA-20 2, SA-20 2, SA-20 <u>SA NUMBER</u>
1.	777-200ER Aircraft Information Table: [*CTR] Jul. [*CTR] Airframe Base Year Jul. [*CTR] Engine Base Year 777-200IGW Aircraft Information Table: 1999 Deliveries	Original Purchase Agreement, SA-3, SA-17, SA-18 & SA-26
1-1.	Jul. 1995 Airframe & Engine Base Year 777-200IGW Aircraft Information Table: 2000-2001 Deliveries	SA-1
1-2.	Jul. 1995 Airframe & Engine Base Year 777-200IGW Aircraft Information Table: 2000-2001 Deliveries Jul. 1995 Airframe Base Year	SA-2 & SA-6
1-3.	Jul. 1995 Annalie Base Year Jul. 1997 Engine Base Year 777-200IGW Aircraft Information Table: 2000-2001 Deliveries Jul. 1995 Airframe Base Year	SA-4, SA-5, SA-6, SA-7 & SA-9
1-4.	Jul. 1998 Engine Base Year 777-223IGW Aircraft Information Table: 2001-2002 Deliveries	SA-5, SA-6 & SA-9
1-5.	Jul. 1995 Airframe Base Year Jul. 1999 Engine Base Year 777-200IGW Aircraft Information Table: 2003 Deliveries Jul. 1995 Airframe Base Year	SA-10, SA-11, SA-12 & SA-15
1-6.	Jul. 1995 Engine Base Year	SA-13
1-7.	777-323ER Aircraft Jul. [*CTR] Base Year	SA-25, SA-26, SA-28

P.A. No. 1980	Table of Contents, Page i
---------------	---------------------------

SA-30 BOEING PROPRIETARY

TABLE (OF CON	ITENTS,	continued
---------	--------	---------	-----------

		TABLE OF CONTENTS, continued	
<u>TABLE</u>			<u>SA NUMBER</u>
	777-223IGW Airc	raft Information Table: 2009-2010 Deliveries	
	Jul. 1995 Airframe	e Base Year	
2.	Jul. 2001 Engine E	Base Year	SA-15 & SA-16
	777-223IGW Airc	raft Information Table: 2006-2010 Deliveries	
	Jul. 1995 Airframe	e Base Year [*CTR]	
3.	Jul. 2001 Engine E	Base Year	SA-15 & SA-16
<u>EXHIBIT</u>			<u>SA NUMBER</u>
А.	Aircraft Configuration	l	
A1.	Aircraft Configuration	a - 777-323ER	SA-20
В.	Aircraft Delivery Requ	uirements and Responsibilities	SA-20
C.	Defined Terms		SA-20
SUPPLEMEN	<u>FAL EXHIBITS</u>		<u>SA NUMBER</u>
AE1.	Escalation Adjustment	t Airframe and Optional Features - 777-323ER	SA-20
BFE1.	BFE Variables		
BFE1-2.	BFE Variables - 777-3	23ER	SA-25, SA-26, SA-28
CS1.	Customer Support Var	iables	
CS1-2	Customer Support Var	iables - 777-323ER	SA-20
SLP1	Service Life Policy Co	omponents	
EE1-BR1.	Engine Escalation and	Engine Warranty	SA-15
EE1-2.	Engine Escalation, En	gine Warranty and Patent Indemnity - 777-323ER	SA-20
LETTE	R AGREEMENTS		PA or SA <u>NUMBER</u>
6-1	162-AKP-070	Miscellaneous Commitments for Model 737, 757, 767 and 777 Aircraft	
6-11	62-AKP-071R1	Purchase Obligations	PA3219
6-11	62-AKP-072R3	[*CTR]	SA-20

P.A. No. 1980 Table of Contents, Page ii

SA-30 BOEING PROPRIETARY

TABLE OF CONTENTS, continued

LETTER AGREEMENTS		PA or SA <u>NUMBER</u>
6-1162-AKP-073R1	Accident Claims and Litigation	PA3219
`6-1162-AKP-109R3	Business Considerations	SA-20
6-1162-AKP-110R3	Aircraft Purchase Rights and Substitution Rights	SA-20
Attachment A	Description and Price for Eligible Models	SA-20
Attachment B	Information Regarding MADP Rights	SA-30
Attachment C	Information Regarding QADP Rights	SA-30
Attachment D	Forms of Purchase Agreement Supplement	SA-20
Attachment E	Letter Agreements	SA-20
Attachment F	Information regarding MADP and QADP Rights if no 787s are reconfirmed	SA-20
6-1162-AKP-111	Aircraft Performance Guarantees	
AAL-PA-1980-LA-1003346	Aircraft Performance Guarantees - 777-323ER	SA-20
AAL-PA-1980-LA-04205R4	Aircraft Performance Guarantees - 777-323ER	SA-28
6-1162-AKP-112	Spares Matters	
6-1162-AKP-113	Model 777 Miscellaneous Commitments	
6-1162-AKP-114R1	Installation of Cabin Systems Equipment	SA-22
AAL-PA-1980-LA-1003493	Installation of Cabin Systems Equipment - 777-323ER	SA-20
6-1162-AKP-115	Component and System Reliability Commitments	
6-1162-AKP-116	Price Adjustment on Rolls-Royce Engines	
6-1162-AKP-117	Delivery Schedule	
6-1162-AKP-118R2	Confidentiality	SA-20
6-1162-AKP-204	Multiple Operating Weight Program Model 777-200IGW Aircraft	SA-6
AAL-PA-1980-LA-1003536R1	Multiple Operating Weight Program, Model 777-323ER Aircraft	SA-21
AAL-PA-1980-LA-1003344	Open Configuration Matters -777-323ER	SA-20
AAL-PA-1980-LA-1104563	Performance Guarantees for Rights Aircraft	SA-23
AAL-PA-1980-LA-1105629	Advance Payments and Permitted Transactions	SA-25
AAL PA 1980-LA-1207588	[*CTR] for Undelivered Aircraft	SA-30
AAL-PA-1980-LA-1208920	Delivery Flexibility for [*CTR] Purchase Rights	SA-30

P.A. No. 1980 Table of Contents, Page iii

SA-30

BOEING PROPRIETARY

Attachment B to Letter Agreement 6-1162-AKP-110R3 (Model 777) Information Regarding MADP Rights

MSN	Exercise Date	Delivery Month/Year
41,665	SA-20	[*CTR]
31,546	SA-22	[*CTR]
31,547	SA-23	[*CTR]
33,125	SA-24	[*CTR]
41,666	SA-25	[*CTR]
67,680	SA-28	[*CTR]
71,938	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
71,939	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
71,940	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
101,794	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
71,942	[*CTR]	[*CTR]
67,655	[*CTR]	[*CTR]

Revision made by SA-30:

Revised Attachment B newly reflects the deletion of Former MADP Right (as designated below by the MSN TBD) due to its conversion to a QADP Right from a MADP Right (the resulting New QADP Right has been added to Revised Attachment C):

TBD [*CTR] [*CTR]

[*CTR]= [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT]

PA No. 1980 SA-30 Attachment B to Letter Agreement 6-1162-AKP-110R3 Page 1 of 1

MSN	Exercise Date	Delivery Quarter	
101,789	9/30/2010	[*CTR]	
31,543	SA-20	[*CTR]	
101,790	3/31/2011	[*CTR]	SA-21
41,668	SA-23	[*CTR]	
31,548	SA-25	[*CTR]	
41,669	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	SA-27
68,870	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	SA-21
67,660	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	SA-30
101,795	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	SA-30
68,872	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	
68,870	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	SA-21
68,864	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	
101,798	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	
101,801	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	
101,790	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	SA-29
41,669	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	SA-27
181,031	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	SA-29
TBD	[*CTR]	[*CTR]	SA-30

Attachment C to Letter Agreement 6-1162-AKP-110R3 (Model 777) Information Regarding QADP Rights

Revisions made by SA-30 (in bold-face type above):

- 1) Revised Attachment C newly reflects the incorporation of New QADP Right (designated by the MSN TBD) due to its conversion to a QADP Right from a MADP Right with the Exercise Date as specified above, and
- 2) Revised Attachment C revises the Exercise Date for the Two Revised Exercise Date QADP Rights (designated by MSN 67660 and MSN 101795) as specified above.

PA No. 1980 SA-30

Page 1 of 1



The Boeing Company P.O. Box 3707 Seattle, WA 98124-2207

AAL-PA-1980-LA-1207588

American Airlines, Inc. P.O. Box 619616 Dallas/Fort Worth TX, 75261-9616

Subject: [*CTR] for Undelivered Boeing 777-223ER or Boeing 777-323ER Aircraft

Reference: Purchase Agreement No. PA-1980 (the **Purchase Agreement**) between The Boeing Company (**Boeing**) and American Airlines, Inc. (**Customer**) relating to Model 777 aircraft (**Aircraft**) as amended and supplemented

1. <u>Amendment/Supplementation and Definitions</u>.

This letter agreement ("*Letter Agreement*") amends and supplements the Purchase Agreement as of the effective date of SA-30. All capitalized terms used herein but not otherwise defined in this Letter Agreement have the same meaning as in the Purchase Agreement.

2. [*CTR] for Undelivered Aircraft.

1.1 A new configuration for Customer's undelivered Model 777-223ER aircraft is currently under development, and Customer will be switching to a [*CTR] for any such undelivered 777-223ER Aircraft.

1.2 For the 777-323ER Aircraft, Customer has previously elected to have a [*CTR].

1.3 Accordingly, upon execution of this Letter Agreement, Customer agrees that the option of [*CTR] will no longer be offerable from Boeing to Customer for any Boeing 777-223ER or Boeing 777-323ER Aircraft to be delivered after the effective date of this Letter Agreement.

3. <u>Customer Chapter 11</u>.

Boeing acknowledges that Customer is a debtor in possession under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the *Bankruptcy Code*) in the cases styled "In re AMR Corporation, et al." pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, case no. 11-15643 (SHL) (Jointly Administered). Customer believes that it has all requisite authority to enter into Supplemental Agreement No. 30 or this Letter Agreement. The parties agree that neither Supplemental Agreement No. 30 or the Purchase Agreement or cause the Purchase Agreement as a whole to become a new post-petition agreement and that neither entry into Supplemental Agreement No. 30 or this Letter Agreement shall affect any rights of Customer or Boeing under the Bankruptcy Code.

AAL-PA-1977-LA-1207588 [*CTR] P.A. No. 1980 SA-30 Page 1

BOEING PROPRIETARY

BOEING

Confidential Treatment. 4.

Customer and Boeing understand that certain commercial and financial information contained in this Letter Agreement are considered by Boeing and Customer as confidential. Customer and Boeing agree that each will treat this Letter Agreement and the information contained herein as confidential and will not, without the prior written consent of the other, disclose this Letter Agreement or any information contained herein to any other person or entity, except as required by law or government regulation, or except as provided in this Letter Agreement or the applicable Purchase Agreement.

Very truly yours,

THE BOEING COMPANY

By: <u>/s/ The Boeing Company</u>

Its: Attorney-In-Fact

ACCEPTED AND AGREED TO this

Date: June 29 , 2012

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.

By: /s/ American Airlines, Inc.

Its: VP Corporate Development and Treasurer

AAL-PA-1977-LA-1207588 [*CTR] P.A. No. 1980

SA-30 Page 2



The Boeing Company P.O. Box 3707 Seattle, WA 98124-2207

AAL-PA-1980-LA-1208920

American Airlines, Inc. P.O. Box 619616 Dallas-Fort Worth Airport, Texas 75261-9616

Subject: Delivery Flexibility for [*CTR] Purchase Rights

Reference: Purchase Agreement No. 1980 dated as of October 31, 1997 (the *Purchase Agreement*), between The Boeing Company ("*Boeing*") and American Airlines, Inc. ("*Customer*") relating to Model 777 Aircraft (the "*Aircraft*") as amended and supplemented

This letter agreement ("Letter Agreement") amends and supplements the Purchase Agreement as of the effective date of SA-30. All capitalized terms used herein but not otherwise defined in this Letter Agreement have the same meaning as in the Purchase Agreement.

1. [*CTR] Delivery Flexibility and Slide Notice Provisions.

Subject to available delivery position(s), Customer and Boeing agree to the following terms and conditions:

a) [*CTR], Customer may revise the delivery month/year specified in Column C in the table below to a later delivery month/year for any or all of the five (5) Purchase Rights and MSN specified in Columns A and B of the table below by providing its written notice(s) (*Slide Notice*) to Boeing no later than [*CTR] (*Slide Notice Date*).

Column A	Column B	Column C				
Type of Purchase Right	MSN	Current Delivery Month/Quarter/Year				
MADP	71938	[*CTR]				
QADP	68872	[*CTR]				
QADP	68870	[*CTR]				
QADP	68864	[*CTR]				
QADP	101798	[*CTR]				

AAL-PA-1980-LA-1208920 SA-30 Delivery Flexibility for [*CTR] Purchase Rights Page 1 BOE ING PROPRIETARY [*CTR]= [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT]

BOEING

b) If Customer provides Slide Notice to Boeing after the Slide Notice Date and a Slide is agreed to between the parties, [*CTR] (each such revision defined as a *Slide*) as follows:

- i. [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT];
- ii. [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT];
- iii. [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT]; and
- iv. [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT].

2. <u>Customer Chapter 11</u>.

Boeing acknowledges that Customer is a debtor in possession under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the *Bankruptcy Code*) in the cases styled "In re AMR Corporation, et al." pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, case no. 11-15643 (SHL) (Jointly Administered). Customer believes that it has all requisite authority to enter into Supplemental Agreement No. 30 and this Letter Agreement. The parties agree that neither Supplemental Agreement No. 30 or this Letter Agreement or cause the Purchase Agreement as a whole to become a new post-petition agreement and that neither entry into Supplemental Agreement No. 30 or this Letter Agreement shall affect any rights of Customer or Boeing under the Bankruptcy Code.

3. <u>Confidential Treatment</u>.

Customer and Boeing understand that certain commercial and financial information contained in this Letter Agreement are considered by Boeing and Customer as confidential. Customer and Boeing agree that each will treat this Letter Agreement and the information contained herein as confidential and will not, without the prior written consent of the other, disclose this Letter Agreement or any information contained herein to any other person or entity, except as required by law or government regulation, or except as provided in this Letter Agreement or the applicable Purchase Agreement.

AAL-PA-1980-LA-1208920	SA-30
Delivery Flexibility for [*CTR] Purchase Rights	Page 2
BOEING PROPRIETARY	

DEING

Very truly yours, THE BOEING COMPANY

By: /s/ The Boeing Company

Its: _____Attorney-In-Fact

ACCEPTED AND AGREED TO this

Date: June 29 , 2012

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.

By: <u>/s/ American Airlines, Inc.</u>

Its: VP Corporate Development and Treasurer

AAL-PA-1980-LA-1208920 SA-30 Delivery Flexibility for [*CTR] Purchase Rights Page 3 BOE ING PROPRIETARY [*CTR]= [CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT]

AMR CORPORATION Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges (in millions)

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,				
		2012		2011 2012			2011	
Loss:								
Loss before income taxes	\$	(241)	\$	(286)	\$	(1,900)	\$	(722)
Add: Total fixed charges (per below)		390		478		803		942
Less: Interest capitalized		12		10		24		17
Total earnings (loss) before income taxes	\$	137	\$	182	\$	(1,121)	\$	203
Fixed charges:								
Interest	\$	154	\$	204	\$	321	\$	392
Portion of rental expense representative of the interest factor		221		257		451		515
Amortization of debt expense		15		17		31		35
Total fixed charges	\$	390	\$	478	\$	803	\$	942
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges		_				_		_
Coverage deficiency	\$	253		296	\$	1,924	\$	739

I, Thomas W. Horton, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of AMR Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 18, 2012

/s/ Thomas W. Horton

Thomas W. Horton

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

I, Isabella D. Goren, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of AMR Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 18, 2012

/s/ Isabella D. Goren

Isabella D. Goren Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

AMR CORPORATION. Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code)

Pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18, United States Code), each of the undersigned officers of AMR Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the Company), does hereby certify, to such officer's knowledge, that:

The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 (the Form 10-Q) of the Company fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: July 18, 2012

/s/ Thomas W. Horton

Thomas W. Horton

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date: July 18, 2012

/s/ Isabella D. Goren

Isabella D. Goren

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18, United States Code) and is not being filed as part of the Form 10-Q or as a separate disclosure document.