

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2002.

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the Transition Period From \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission file number 1-8400.

AMR Corporation  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	75-1825172 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
---	---

4333 Amon Carter Blvd. Fort Worth, Texas (Address of principal executive offices)	76155 (Zip Code)
--	---------------------

Registrant's telephone number, (817) 963-1234  
including area code

Not Applicable  
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed  
since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all  
reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months  
(or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to  
file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing  
requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No .

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the  
issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable  
date.

Common Stock, \$1 par value - 155,119,293 shares as of April 16, 2002.

INDEX

AMR CORPORATION

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations -- Three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets -- March 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows -- Three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements -- March 31, 2002

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

SIGNATURE

3

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

AMR CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited) (In millions, except per share amounts)

Three Months

Ended March

31, 2002

2001

Revenues

~~Passenger~~

~~American~~

~~Airlines~~

~~\$3,484~~

~~3,935~~ AMR

~~Eagle 305~~

~~354~~ Cargo

~~134~~ 176

~~Other~~

~~revenues 213~~

~~295~~ Total

~~operating~~

~~revenues~~

~~4,136~~ 4,760

Expenses

~~Wages,~~

~~salaries and~~

~~benefits~~

~~2,080~~ 1,746

~~Aircraft~~

~~fuel 527~~ 707

~~Depreciation~~

~~and~~

~~amortization~~

~~341~~ 313

~~Other~~

~~rentals and~~

~~landing fees~~

~~289~~ 257

~~Maintenance,~~

~~materials~~

~~and repairs~~

~~266~~ 280

~~Aircraft~~

~~rentals 226~~

~~148~~ Food

~~service 170~~

~~184~~

~~Commissions~~

~~to agents~~

<del>161</del>	<del>224</del>
Other	
operating	
expenses	<del>805</del>
<del>905</del>	Total
operating	
expenses	
<del>4,865</del>	<del>4,764</del>
Operating	
Loss	<del>(729)</del>
<del>(4)</del>	Other
Income	
(Expense)	
Interest	
income	<del>18</del>
<del>40</del>	Interest
expense	
<del>(166)</del>	<del>(119)</del>
Interest	
capitalized	
<del>22</del>	<del>41</del>
Miscellaneous	
—net	<del>(8)</del>
<del>(15)</del>	<del>(134)</del>
<del>(53)</del>	Loss
Before	
Income Taxes	
<del>(863)</del>	<del>(57)</del>
Income tax	
benefit	
<del>(288)</del>	<del>(14)</del>
Net Loss	\$
<del>(575)</del>	<del>\$(43)</del>
Loss Per	
Share Basic	
and Diluted	
<del>\$(3.71)</del>	<del>\$</del>
<del>(0.28)</del>	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

-1-

4

AMR CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(Unaudited) (In millions)

March 31,	
December 31,	
2002	2001
Assets	
Current	
Assets—Cash	\$
<del>134</del>	<del>\$ 120</del>
Short-term	
investments	
<del>2,181</del>	<del>2,872</del>
Receivables,	
net	<del>1,839</del>
<del>1,414</del>	
Inventories,	
net	<del>758</del>
<del>822</del>	Deferred
income taxes	
<del>793</del>	<del>790</del>
Other	
current	
assets	<del>547</del>
<del>522</del>	Total
current	
assets	<del>6,252</del>
<del>6,540</del>	
Equipment and	
Property	

~~Flight equipment, net 15,429~~  
~~14,980 Other equipment and property, net 2,229 2,079~~  
~~Purchase deposits for flight equipment 689 929 18,347~~  
~~17,988~~  
~~Equipment and Property Under Capital Leases Flight equipment, net 1,512 1,572 Other equipment and property, net 93 95 1,605 1,667~~  
~~Goodwill and route acquisition costs 2,230 2,221 Airport operating and gate lease rights, net 487 496 Other assets 4,042 3,929 \$ 32,963 \$ 32,841~~  
~~Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity~~  
~~Current Liabilities~~  
~~Accounts payable \$ 1,462 \$ 1,785~~  
~~Accrued liabilities 2,284 2,192~~  
~~Air traffic liability 2,930 2,763~~  
~~Current maturities of long-term debt 520 556~~  
~~Current obligations under capital leases 191 216 Total current liabilities 7,387 7,512~~  
~~Long-term debt, less current maturities 8,784 8,310~~  
~~Obligations under capital leases, less current obligations 1,454 1,524~~  
~~Deferred income taxes 1,965 1,627~~  
~~Postretirement benefits 2,573 2,538~~

Other	
liabilities,	
deferred	
gains and	
deferred	
credits	5,922
	<del>5,957</del>
Stockholders'	
Equity	
Preferred	
stock	<del>—</del>
Common stock	
	<del>182</del> 182
Additional	
paid-in	
capital	<del>2,847</del>
	2,865
Treasury	
stock	<del>(1,693)</del>
	(1,716)
Accumulated	
other	
comprehensive	
loss	<del>(71)</del>
	(146)
Retained	
earnings	
	<del>3,613</del> 4,188
	<del>4,878</del> 5,373
	\$
	<del>32,963</del> \$
	32,841

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

-2-

5  
AMR CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(Unaudited) (In millions)  
Three Months  
Ended March  
31, 2002  
2001 Net  
Cash  
Provided by  
(Used for)  
Operating  
Activities \$  
~~(406)~~ \$ 19  
Cash Flow  
from  
Investing  
Activities:  
Capital  
expenditures,  
including  
purchase  
deposits for  
flight  
equipment  
~~(619)~~ (847)  
Net decrease  
in short-  
term  
investments  
~~691~~ 758  
Debtor in-  
possession  
financing  
provided to  
Trans World  
Airlines,  
Inc. ~~(312)~~  
Proceeds  
from sale of  
equipment  
and property  
~~13~~ 127 Net  
cash

~~provided by  
(used for)  
investing  
activities  
85 (274)  
Cash Flow  
from  
Financing  
Activities:  
Payments on  
long-term  
debt and  
capital  
lease  
obligations  
(259) (291)  
Proceeds  
from:  
Issuance of  
long-term  
debt 592 604  
Exercise of  
stock  
options 2 25  
Net cash  
provided by  
financing  
activities  
335 338 Net  
increase in  
cash 14 83  
Cash at  
beginning of  
period 120  
89 Cash at  
end of  
period \$ 134  
\$ 172~~

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

-3-

6  
AMR CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Unaudited)

1. The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, these

financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods indicated. The Company's 2002 results continue to be adversely impacted by the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks. In addition, on April 9, 2001, American Airlines, Inc. (a wholly owned subsidiary of AMR Corporation) purchased substantially all of the assets and assumed certain liabilities of Trans World Airlines, Inc. (TWA). Accordingly, the operating results of TWA are included in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for the three-month period ended March 31, 2002 but not for the three-month period ended March 31, 2001. When utilized in this report, all references to American Airlines, Inc. include TWA (collectively, American). Results of operations for the periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for the entire year. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the AMR Corporation (AMR or the Company) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 ("2001 Form 10-K"). Certain amounts from 2001 have been reclassified to conform with the 2002 presentation.

2. Accumulated depreciation of owned equipment and property at March 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001 was \$8.9 billion. Accumulated amortization of equipment and property under capital leases at March 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001 was \$1.2 billion.
3. The following table provides unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations, assuming the acquisition of TWA had occurred as of January 1, 2001 (in millions, except per share amounts):

Three Months Ended  
March 31, 2001

Operating revenues	\$ 5,542
Net loss	(104)
Loss per share	\$ (0.67)

The unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations have been prepared for comparative purposes only. These amounts are not indicative of the combined results that would have occurred had the transaction actually been consummated on the date indicated above and are not indicative of the consolidated results of operations which may occur in the future.

4. As discussed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in the Company's 2001 Form 10-K, Miami-Dade County (the County) is currently investigating and remediating various environmental conditions at the Miami International Airport (MIA) and funding the remediation costs through landing fees and various cost recovery methods. American and AMR Eagle have been named as potentially responsible parties (PRPs) for the contamination at MIA. During the second quarter of 2001, the County filed a lawsuit against 17 defendants, including American, in an attempt to recover its past and future cleanup costs (Miami-Dade County, Florida v. Advance Cargo Services, Inc., et al. in the Florida Circuit Court). In addition to the 17 defendants named in the lawsuit, 243 other agencies and companies were also named as PRPs and contributors to the contamination. American's and AMR Eagle's portion of the cleanup costs cannot be reasonably estimated due to various factors, including the unknown extent of the remedial actions that may be required, the proportion of the cost that will ultimately be recovered from the responsible parties, and uncertainties regarding the environmental agencies that will ultimately supervise the remedial activities and the nature of that supervision.

-4-

7

AMR CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)  
(Unaudited)

In addition, the Company is subject to environmental issues at various other airport and non-airport locations. Management believes, after considering a number of factors, that the ultimate disposition of these environmental issues is not expected to materially affect the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Amounts recorded for environmental issues are based on the Company's current assessments of the ultimate outcome and, accordingly, could increase or decrease as these assessments

change.

5. As of March 31, 2002, the Company had commitments to acquire the following aircraft: 47 Boeing 737-800s, 12 Boeing 777-200ERs, nine Boeing 767-300ERs, one Boeing 757-200, 117 Embraer regional jets and 22 Bombardier CRJ-700s. Deliveries of these aircraft are scheduled to continue through 2008. Payments for these aircraft are expected to be approximately \$825 million during the remainder of 2002, \$1.7 billion in 2003, \$1.2 billion in 2004 and an aggregate of approximately \$1.9 billion in 2005 through 2008.

6. During the three-month period ended March 31, 2002, American and AMR Eagle borrowed approximately \$348 million under various debt agreements which are secured by aircraft. Effective interest rates on these agreements are based on London Interbank Offered Rate plus a spread and mature over various periods of time with a final maturity in 2018.

In March 2002, the Regional Airports Improvement Corporation issued facilities sublease revenue bonds at the Los Angeles International Airport to provide reimbursement to American for certain facility construction costs. The proceeds of approximately \$215 million provided to American have been recorded as long-term debt on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. These obligations bear interest at fixed rates, with an average rate of 7.88 percent, and mature over various periods of time through 2024.

7. Effective January 1, 2001, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", as amended (SFAS 133). SFAS 133 required the Company to recognize all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not hedges are adjusted to fair value through income. If the derivative is a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of derivatives are either offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities, or firm commitments through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings. The adoption of SFAS 133 did not result in a cumulative effect adjustment being recorded to net income for the change in accounting. However, the Company recorded a transition adjustment of approximately \$64 million in Accumulated other comprehensive loss in the first quarter of 2001.

In addition, effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" (SFAS 142). SFAS 142 requires the Company to test goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets (for AMR, route acquisition costs) for impairment rather than amortize them. During the first quarter of 2002, the Company completed its impairment analysis for route acquisition costs in accordance with SFAS 142. The analysis did not result in an impairment charge. The Company will complete the impairment analysis for its \$1.4 billion of goodwill during the second quarter of 2002 and record an impairment adjustment at that time, if necessary.

-5-

8

AMR CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)  
(Unaudited)

The following table provides information relating to the Company's amortized and unamortized intangible assets as of March 31, 2002 (in millions):

	Cost	Accumulated Amortization
Amortized intangible assets:		
Airport operating rights	\$ 516	\$ 163
Gate lease rights	209	75
Total	\$ 725	\$ 238
Unamortized intangible assets:		
Goodwill	\$1,401	
Route acquisition costs	829	
Total	\$2,230	



Airport operating and gate lease rights are being amortized on a straight-line basis over 25 years to a zero residual value. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2002, the Company recorded amortization expense of approximately \$9 million related to these intangible assets. The Company expects to record annual amortization expense of approximately \$29 million in each of the next five years related to these intangible assets.

The pro forma effect of SFAS 142 - assuming the Company had adopted this standard as of January 1, 2001 - is immaterial to the Company's net loss and loss per share for the three-month period ended March 31, 2001.

8. The Company includes unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, changes in minimum pension liabilities and changes in the fair value of certain derivative financial instruments that qualify for hedge accounting in comprehensive income (loss). For the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001, comprehensive income (loss) was \$(500) million and \$31 million, respectively. The difference between net loss and comprehensive loss for the three months ended March 31, 2002 is due primarily to the accounting for the Company's derivative financial instruments under SFAS 133. The difference between net loss and comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2001 was due primarily to the cumulative effect of the adoption of SFAS 133 and the accounting for the Company's derivative financial instruments under SFAS 133.

-6-

9

AMR CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

(Unaudited)

9. The following table sets forth the computations of basic and diluted loss per share (in millions, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2002	2001
Numerator:		
Net loss - numerator for basic and diluted loss per share	\$(575)	\$ (43)
Denominator:		
Denominator for basic and diluted loss per share- weighted-average shares	155	154
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$ (3.71)	\$ (0.28)

For the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001, approximately 9 million and 14 million potential dilutive shares, respectively, were not added to the denominator because inclusion of such shares would be antidilutive.

-7-

10

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2002 and 2001

Summary AMR Corporation's (AMR or the Company) net loss during the first quarter of 2002 was \$575 million, or \$3.71 per share, as compared to a net loss of \$43 million, or \$0.28 per share for the same

period in 2001. AMR's operating loss of \$729 million increased \$725 million compared to the same period in 2001. The Company's 2002 results continue to be adversely impacted by the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks. In addition, on April 9, 2001, American Airlines, Inc. (a wholly owned subsidiary of AMR) purchased substantially all of the assets and assumed certain liabilities of Trans World Airlines, Inc. (TWA). Accordingly, the operating results of TWA are included in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for the three-month period ended March 31, 2002 but not for the three-month period ended March 31, 2001. All references to American Airlines, Inc. include TWA (collectively, American).

Although traffic has continued to increase on significantly reduced capacity since the events of September 11, 2001, the Company's first quarter 2002 revenues were down significantly year-over-year. In addition to the residual effects of September 11, the Company's revenues continue to be negatively impacted by the economic slowdown - - seen largely in business travel declines - - and an increase in fare sale activity. In total, the Company's revenues decreased \$624 million, or 13.1 percent, in the first quarter of 2002 from the same period last year. American's passenger revenues decreased by 11.5 percent, or \$451 million in the first three months of 2002 from the same period in 2001. American's domestic revenue per available seat mile (RASM) decreased 15.4 percent, to 8.7 cents, on a capacity increase of 9.4 percent, to 29.3 billion available seat miles (ASMs). International RASM decreased to 8.66 cents, or 10.6 percent, on a capacity decrease of 11.4 percent. The decrease in international RASM was due to a 14.1 percent decrease and 11.9 percent decrease in European and Latin American RASM, respectively, slightly offset by a 9 percent increase in Pacific RASM. The decrease in international capacity was driven by a 39.5 percent, 13.9 percent and 4 percent reduction in Pacific, European and Latin American ASMs, respectively.

AMR Eagle's passenger revenues decreased 13.8 percent, or \$49 million. AMR Eagle's traffic increased 6.9 percent while capacity decreased 1.3 percent, to approximately 1.6 billion ASMs. As with American, the decrease in AMR Eagle's revenues was due primarily to the continued impact of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks and the economic slowdown.

Cargo revenues decreased \$42 million, or 23.9 percent, for the same reasons as noted above.

Other revenues decreased 27.8 percent, or \$82 million, due primarily to decreases in contract maintenance work that American performs for other airlines, and decreases in codeshare revenue and employee travel service charges.

The Company's operating expenses increased 2.1 percent, or \$101 million. American's cost per ASM increased 0.4 percent to 11.30 cents. Wages, salaries and benefits increased 19.1 percent, or \$334 million, primarily due to contractual wage rate and seniority increases that are built into the Company's labor contracts. In addition, the Company experienced increases in its pension and health insurance costs, the latter reflecting rapidly rising medical care and prescription drug costs. Aircraft fuel expense decreased 25.5 percent, or \$180 million, due primarily to a 23.3 percent decrease in the Company's average price per gallon of fuel. Other rentals and landing fees increased 12.5 percent, or \$32 million, due to higher facilities rent and landing fees across American's system. Aircraft rentals increased \$78 million, or 52.7 percent, due primarily to the addition of TWA aircraft. Commissions to agents decreased 28.1 percent, or \$63 million, due primarily to an 11.7 percent decrease in passenger revenues and a decrease in the percentage of commissionable transactions. Other operating expenses decreased 11 percent, or \$100 million, due primarily to decreases in contract maintenance work that American performs for other airlines, and decreases in travel and incidental costs, advertising and promotion costs, and credit card fees, which were partially offset by higher insurance and security costs.

Other income (expense), historically a net expense, increased \$81 million due to the following: Interest income decreased 55 percent, or \$22 million, due primarily to decreases in interest rates. Interest expense increased \$47 million, or 39.5 percent, resulting primarily from the increase in the Company's long-term debt. Interest capitalized decreased \$19 million, or 46.3 percent, due primarily to a

decrease in purchase deposits for flight equipment. Miscellaneous-net decreased 46.7 percent, or \$7 million, due to the write-down of certain investments held by the Company during the first quarter of 2001.

The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2002 was impacted by a \$27 million charge resulting from a provision in Congress' economic stimulus package that changes the period for carrybacks of net operating losses (NOLs). This change allows the Company to carry back 2001 and 2002 NOLs for five years, rather than two years under the existing law, allowing the Company to more quickly recover its NOLs. The extended NOL carryback did, however, result in the displacement of foreign tax credits taken in prior years. These credits are now expected to expire before being utilized by the Company, resulting in this charge.

OPERATING  
STATISTICS

Three Months Ended	March 31, 2002	2001
American Airlines (* )		
Revenue passenger miles (millions)	27,817	26,452
Available seat miles (millions)	40,089	38,977
Cargo ton miles (millions)	463	549
Passenger load factor	69.4%	67.9%
Breakeven load factor	87.4%	68.2%
Passenger revenue yield per passenger mile (cents)	12.52	14.88
Passenger revenue per available seat mile (cents)	8.69	10.10
Cargo revenue yield per ton mile (cents)	28.74	31.68
Operating expenses per available seat mile (cents)	11.30	11.26
Fuel consumption		

~~(gallons, in millions)~~  
~~745-743~~  
~~Fuel price per gallon (cents)~~  
~~67.2-87.6~~  
~~Fuel price per gallon, excluding fuel taxes (cents)~~  
~~61.7-82.0~~  
~~Operating aircraft at period-end 852~~  
~~719-AMR Eagle Revenue passenger miles (millions)~~  
~~919-860~~  
~~Available seat miles (millions)~~  
~~1,567~~  
~~1,588~~  
~~Passenger load factor~~  
~~58.6%~~  
~~54.2%~~  
~~Operating aircraft at period-end 283~~  
~~267~~

(\*) 2002 statistics include the operating results of TWA whereas the 2001 amounts do not.

12  
 Operating aircraft at March 31, 2002, included:

~~American Airlines Aircraft~~  
~~AMR-Eagle Aircraft Airbus A300-600R~~  
~~34-ATR-42~~  
~~29-Boeing 717-200 (1)-11~~  
~~Bombardier CRJ-700-3~~  
~~Boeing 727-200 (2)-15~~  
~~Embraer 135-40~~  
~~Boeing 737-800-77~~  
~~Embraer 140-22~~  
~~Boeing 757-200~~  
~~150~~  
~~Embraer 145-56~~  
~~Boeing 767-200-8~~  
~~Super-ATR 42-Boeing~~

~~767-200~~  
~~Extended~~  
~~Range-21~~  
~~Saab-340B~~  
~~66-Boeing~~  
~~767-300~~  
~~Extended~~  
~~Range-58~~  
~~Saab-340B~~  
~~Plus-25~~  
~~Boeing~~  
~~777-200~~  
~~Extended~~  
~~Range-42~~  
~~Total-293~~  
~~Fokker-100~~  
~~74~~  
~~McDonnell~~  
~~Douglas~~  
~~MD-80-362~~  
~~Total-852~~

- 1 The Boeing 717-200 fleet will be removed from service by June 2002.
- 2 The Boeing 727-200 fleet will be removed from service by May 2002.

The average aircraft age for American's aircraft is 9.9 years and 6.6 years for AMR Eagle aircraft.

In addition, the following owned and leased aircraft were not operated by the Company as of March 31, 2002: 19 owned Boeing 727-200s, 15 operating leased McDonnell Douglas DC-9s, 19 operating leased Boeing 717-200s, nine owned McDonnell Douglas DC-10-10s, four operating leased McDonnell Douglas DC-80s, three owned McDonnell Douglas MD-11s, two owned McDonnell Douglas DC-10-30s, and four owned, six capital leased and two operating leased Saab 340Bs.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash used for operating activities in the three-month period ended March 31, 2002 was \$406 million, an increase of \$425 million over the same period in 2001, due primarily to an increase in the Company's net loss. Capital expenditures for the first three months of 2002 were \$619 million, and included the acquisition of six Boeing 757-200s, two Boeing 777-200ERs, seven Embraer 140s and two Bombardier CRJ-700 aircraft. These capital expenditures were financed primarily through secured mortgage and debt agreements.

As of March 31, 2002, the Company had commitments to acquire the following aircraft: 47 Boeing 737-800s, 12 Boeing 777-200ERs, nine Boeing 767-300ERs, one Boeing 757-200, 117 Embraer regional jets and 22 Bombardier CRJ-700s. Deliveries of these aircraft are scheduled to continue through 2008. Payments for these aircraft are expected to be approximately \$825 million during the remainder of 2002, \$1.7 billion in 2003, \$1.2 billion in 2004 and an aggregate of approximately \$1.9 billion in 2005 through 2008.

#### OTHER INFORMATION

In addition to the Company's approximately \$2.3 billion in cash and short-term investments as of March 31, 2002, the Company has available a variety of future financing sources, including, but not limited to: (i) the receipt of the remainder of the U.S. Government grant authorized by the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act (the Act), which is estimated to be in excess of \$100 million, (ii) additional secured aircraft debt, (iii) the availability of the Company's \$1 billion credit facility, (iv) sale-leaseback transactions of owned property, including aircraft and real estate, (v) the recovery of past federal income taxes paid as a result of a provision in the recently passed economic stimulus package regarding NOL carrybacks (in April 2002, the Company received approximately \$393 million related to the utilization of its remaining 2001 NOL), (vi) tax-exempt borrowings for airport facilities, (vii) securitization of future operating receipts, (viii) unsecured borrowings, and (ix) borrowings backed by federal loan guarantees as provided under the Act. No assurance can be given that any of these financing sources will be available on terms acceptable to the Company. However, the Company believes it will meet its current financing needs.

As a result of the September 11, 2001 events, aviation insurers have significantly reduced the maximum amount of insurance coverage available to commercial air carriers for liability to persons other than employees or passengers for claims resulting from acts of terrorism, war or similar events (war-risk coverage). At the same time, they significantly increased the premiums for such coverage as well as for aviation insurance in general. Pursuant to authority granted in the Act, the Government has supplemented the commercial war-risk insurance until May 19, 2002 with a third party liability policy to cover losses to persons other than employees or passengers for renewable 60-day periods. In the event the insurance carriers reduce further the amount of insurance coverage available or the Government fails to renew war-risk insurance, the Company's operations and/or financial position, results of operations or cash flows would be adversely impacted.

As discussed in the Company's 2001 Form 10-K, a provision in the current Allied Pilots Association contract further limits the number of ASMs and block hours flown by American's regional carrier partners when American pilots are on furlough. As AMR Eagle continues to accept previously ordered regional jets, this will cause the ASM cap to be reached sometime in the first half of 2002, necessitating actions to comply with that cap. American is working with its regional partners to ensure that it is in compliance with this provision. Actions currently being taken and considered by AMR Eagle to reduce its capacity are discussed in the Company's 2001 Form 10-K. In addition, American is removing its code from flights of the American Connection carriers, which are independent carriers that provide feed to American's St. Louis hub. American believes that the combination of all these actions will enable it to comply with the ASM cap through 2002 and for sometime beyond.

#### OUTLOOK

Capacity for American is expected to be down approximately 11 percent in the second quarter of 2002 compared to last year's second quarter levels. AMR Eagle's second quarter capacity will be down about three percent from last year's levels. For the second quarter of 2002, the Company expects traffic to be lower by approximately 11 percent as compared to last year's second quarter levels. Pressure to reduce costs will continue, although the Company will continue to see higher wages, salaries and benefit costs, higher security costs and insurance premiums, and greater interest expense. Although the Company expects to see an increase in fuel prices as compared to the first quarter of 2002, fuel prices are expected to remain lower in the second quarter of 2002 as compared to last year's second quarter prices. Further, the Company expects to see a benefit in commission expense due to the domestic base commission changes implemented in March 2002. In total however, American's unit costs for the second quarter of 2002 are expected to be two to three percent higher than last year's second quarter. Given this higher unit cost, coupled with the revenue pressures seen in the first quarter and expected to continue into the second quarter, the Company expects to incur a loss in the second quarter (although the Company does not expect this loss to be of the same magnitude as the Company's first quarter loss), and will likely incur a loss for 2002.

#### FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Statements in this report contain various forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which represent the Company's expectations or beliefs concerning future events. When used in this document and in documents incorporated herein by reference, the words "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Other forward-looking statements include statements which do not relate solely to historical facts, such as, without limitation, statements which discuss the possible future effects of current known trends or uncertainties, or which indicate that the future effects of known trends or uncertainties cannot be predicted, guaranteed or assured. All forward-looking statements in this report are based upon information available to the Company on the date of this report. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations. Additional information concerning these and other factors is contained in the Company's Securities and Exchange Commission filings, including

but not limited to the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

There have been no material changes in market risk from the information provided in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk of the Company's 2001 Form 10-K.

-11-

14

## PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On July 26, 1999, a class action lawsuit was filed, and in November 1999 an amended complaint was filed, against AMR Corporation, American Airlines, Inc., AMR Eagle Holding Corporation, Airlines Reporting Corporation, and the Sabre Group Holdings, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division (Westways World Travel, Inc. v. AMR Corp., et al.). The lawsuit alleges that requiring travel agencies to pay debit memos to American for violations of American's fare rules (by customers of the agencies) (1) breaches the Agent Reporting Agreement between American and AMR Eagle and the plaintiffs, (2) constitutes unjust enrichment, and (3) violates the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 (RICO). The as yet uncertified class includes all travel agencies who have been or will be required to pay monies to American for debit memos for fare rules violations from July 26, 1995 to the present. The plaintiffs seek to enjoin American from enforcing the pricing rules in question and to recover the amounts paid for debit memos, plus treble damages, attorneys' fees, and costs. The Company intends to vigorously defend the lawsuit. Although the Company believes that the litigation is without merit, an adverse court decision could impose restrictions on the Company's relationships with travel agencies which restrictions could have an adverse impact on the Company.

On May 13, 1999, the United States (through the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice) sued AMR Corporation, American Airlines, Inc., and AMR Eagle Holding Corporation in federal court in Wichita, Kansas. The lawsuit alleges that American unlawfully monopolized or attempted to monopolize airline passenger service to and from Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport (DFW) by increasing service when new competitors began flying to DFW, and by matching these new competitors' fares. The Department of Justice seeks to enjoin American from engaging in the alleged improper conduct and to impose restraints on American to remedy the alleged effects of its past conduct. On April 27, 2001, the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas granted American's motion for summary judgment. On June 26, 2001, the U.S. Department of Justice appealed the granting of American's motion for summary judgment. The parties have submitted briefs to the 10th Circuit Court of Appeals. No date has been set for oral argument. The Company intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously. A final adverse court decision imposing restrictions on the Company's ability to respond to competitors would have an adverse impact on the Company.

Between May 14, 1999 and June 7, 1999, seven class action lawsuits were filed against AMR Corporation, American Airlines, Inc., and AMR Eagle Holding Corporation in the United States District Court in Wichita, Kansas seeking treble damages under federal and state antitrust laws, as well as injunctive relief and attorneys' fees (King v. AMR Corp., et al.; Smith v. AMR Corp., et al.; Team Electric v. AMR Corp., et al.; Warren v. AMR Corp., et al.; Whittier v. AMR Corp., et al.; Wright v. AMR Corp., et al.; and Youngdahl v. AMR Corp., et al.). Collectively, these lawsuits allege that American unlawfully monopolized or attempted to monopolize airline passenger service to and from DFW by increasing service when new competitors began flying to DFW, and by matching these new competitors' fares. Two of the suits (Smith and Wright) also allege that American unlawfully monopolized or attempted to monopolize airline passenger service to and from DFW by offering discounted fares to corporate purchasers, by offering a frequent flyer program, by imposing certain conditions on the use and availability of certain fares, and by offering override commissions to travel agents. The suits propose to certify several classes of consumers, the broadest of which is all persons who purchased tickets for air travel on American into or out of DFW from 1995 to the present. On November 10, 1999, the District Court stayed all of these actions pending developments in the case brought by the Department of Justice. As a result, to date no class has been

certified. The Company intends to defend these lawsuits vigorously. One or more final adverse court decisions imposing restrictions on the Company's ability to respond to competitors or awarding substantial money damages would have an adverse impact on the Company.

On January 30, 2002, the named plaintiff in Hall v. United Airlines, et al., No. 7:00 CV 123-BR(1), pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, filed an amended complaint alleging that between 1997 and the present, American and the other defendant airlines conspired to reduce commissions paid to U.S.-based travel agents in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. The named plaintiff seeks to certify a nationwide class of travel agents, but no class has yet been certified. American is vigorously defending the lawsuit. A final adverse court decision awarding substantial money damages would have an adverse impact on the Company.

-12-

15

Item 1. Legal Proceedings (Continued)

Miami-Dade County (the County) is currently investigating and remediating various environmental conditions at the Miami International Airport (MIA) and funding the remediation costs through landing fees and various cost recovery methods. American Airlines, Inc. and AMR Eagle have been named as potentially responsible parties (PRPs) for the contamination at MIA. During the second quarter of 2001, the County filed a lawsuit against 17 defendants, including American Airlines, Inc., in an attempt to recover its past and future cleanup costs (Miami-Dade County, Florida v. Advance Cargo Services, Inc., et al. in the Florida Circuit Court). In addition to the 17 defendants named in the lawsuit, 243 other agencies and companies were also named as PRPs and contributors to the contamination. American's and AMR Eagle's portion of the cleanup costs cannot be reasonably estimated due to various factors, including the unknown extent of the remedial actions that may be required, the proportion of the cost that will ultimately be recovered from the responsible parties, and uncertainties regarding the environmental agencies that will ultimately supervise the remedial activities and the nature of that supervision. The Company is vigorously defending the lawsuit.

-13-

16

PART II

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

The following exhibits are included herein:

12 Computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001.

Form 8-Ks filed under Item 5 - Other Events

On January 16, 2002, AMR filed a report on Form 8-K relating to a press release issued by AMR to announce AMR's fourth quarter and full year 2001 earnings and an agreement with Boeing for the retirement of the Company's 717 fleet.

Form 8-Ks furnished under Item 9 - Regulation FD Disclosure

On January 7, 2002, AMR furnished a report on Form 8-K to announce AMR's intent to host a conference call on January 16, 2002 with the financial community relating to its fourth quarter and full year 2001 earnings.

On January 25, 2002, AMR furnished a report on Form 8-K to provide an updated fleet plan for AMR. In addition, AMR provided information regarding presentations by AMR's senior management at upcoming transportation conferences.

On February 22, 2002, AMR furnished a report on Form 8-K to provide certain data regarding its unit costs, capacity, traffic and fuel for the first quarter of 2002.

On March 22, 2002, AMR furnished a report on Form 8-K to provide certain data regarding its unit costs, fuel, capacity and traffic for February through May 2002. Additionally, AMR provided information on



the recently passed economic stimulus package which contained a provision regarding net operating loss carryback that was favorable to AMR.

-14-

17

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this amended report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AMR CORPORATION

Date: April 18, 2002

BY: /s/ Thomas W. Horton  
Thomas W. Horton  
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

-15-

18

Exhibit 12

AMR CORPORATION  
Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges  
(in millions)

Three Months  
Ended March  
31, 2002 2001  
Earnings  
(loss): Loss  
before income  
taxes \$ (863)  
\$ (57) Add:  
Total fixed  
charges (per  
below) 440  
332 Less:  
Interest  
capitalized  
22 41 Total  
earnings  
(loss) \$  
(445) \$ 234  
Fixed  
charges:  
Interest \$  
160 \$ 114  
Portion of  
rental  
expense  
representative  
of the

~~interest  
factor 272  
212  
Amortization  
of debt  
expense 8 6  
Total fixed  
charges \$ 440  
\$ 332  
Coverage  
deficiency \$  
885 \$ 98~~