#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[X]Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2007.

[ ]Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the Transition Period From to

Commission file number 1-8400.

AMR Corporation (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

75-1825172

(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

4333 Amon Carter Blvd. Fort Worth, Texas 76155 (Address of principal (Zip Code) executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, (817) 963-1234 including area code

Not Applicable (Former name, former address and former fiscal year , if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. X Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. X Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-accelerated Filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes X No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, \$1 par value - 240,588,596 shares as of April 13, 2007.

INDEX

AMR CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Operations -- Three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets -- March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows -- Three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements -- March 31, 2007

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 5. Other Information

Item 6. Exhibits

SIGNATURE

#### PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

AMR CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited) (In millions, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 2006		
Revenues	2007	200	0
Passenger - American Airlines - Regional Affiliates Cargo Other revenues Total operating revenues	\$ 4,326 558 201 342 5,427	\$ 4,24 56 18 34 5,34	9 6 5
Expenses			
Wages, salaries and benefits	1,671	1,72	
Aircraft fuel	1,410	1,47	
Other rentals and landing fees	329 290	31 28	-
Depreciation and amortization Commissions, booking fees and	290	20	1
credit card expense	249	9	269
Maintenance, materials and repairs	248	23	
Aircraft rentals	151	14	6
Food service	127	12	4
Other operating expenses	704	64	9
Total operating expenses	5,179	5,22	9
Operating Income	248	11	5
Other Income (Expense)			
Interest income	77	5	3
Interest expense	(241)	(26)	,
Interest capitalized	9		7
Miscellaneous - net	(12)	•	6)
	(167)	(20	()
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	81	(9)	2)

Income tax Net Earnings (Loss)	\$ - 81	\$	- (92)
Earnings (Loss) Per Share Basic	\$ 0.35	\$ (	(0.49)
Diluted	\$ 0.30	\$	(0.49)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

-1-

AMR CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited) (In millions)

(Unaddited) (In millions)		
	March 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Assets		
Current Assets		
Cash	\$ 145	\$ 121
Short-term investments	5,238	4,594
Restricted cash and short-term		
investments	471	468
Receivables, net	1,124	988
Inventories, net	503	506
Other current assets	352	225
		-
Total current assets	7,833	6,902
Fundament and Decements		
Equipment and Property		
Flight equipment, net	14,402	14,507
Other equipment and property, net	2,403	2,391
Purchase deposits for flight equipment	178	178
	16,983	17,076
	- /	,
Equipment and Property Under Capital Lease	s	
Flight equipment, net	745	765
Other equipment and property, net	94	100
other equipment and property, het		
	839	865
Route acquisition costs and airport		
operating and gate lease rights, net	1,160	1,167
Other assets	3,069	3,135
	\$ 29,884	\$ 29,145
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
(Deficit)		
Current Liabilities		
	¢ 1 010	¢ 1 072
Accounts payable	\$ 1,212	\$ 1,073
Accrued liabilities	2,136	2,301
Air traffic liability	4,321	3,782
Current maturities of long-term debt	1,165	1,246
Current obligations under capital leases	124	103
Total current liabilities	8,958	8,505
	-,	-,
Long-term debt, less current maturities	10,720	11,217
Obligations under capital leases, less	10,120	
current obligations	751	824
Pension and postretirement benefits	5,366	5,341
Other liabilities, deferred gains and		
deferred credits	3,863	3,864
Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Preferred stock	-	-
Common stock	246	228
Additional paid-in capital	3,378	2,718
Treasury stock	(366)	(367)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,219)	(1,291)
Accumulated deficit		
Αυσαμματάτεα αειτότι	(1,813)	(1,894)
	226	(606)
	\$ 29,884	\$ 29,145

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (In millions)

		Months arch 31	
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 902	\$	789
Cash Flow from Investing Activities: Capital expenditures Net increase in short-term investments Net increase in restricted cash and short- term investments Proceeds from sale of equipment and property Other Net cash used by investing activities	(182) (644) (3) 13 (2) (818)		(104) (448) - 6 - (546)
Cash Flow from Financing Activities: Payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations Proceeds from: Issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs	(646) 497		(364)
Reimbursement from construction reserve account Exercise of stock options Net cash used by financing activities	42 47 (60)		48 79 (237)
Net increase in cash Cash at beginning of period	24 121		6 138
Cash at end of period	\$ 145	\$	144

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

-3-

AMR CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

- 1. The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, these financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods indicated. Results of operations for the periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for the entire year. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Corporation (AMR or the Company) and its wholly owned AMR subsidiaries, including (i) its principal subsidiary American Airlines, Inc. (American) and (ii) its regional airline subsidiary, AMR Eagle Holding Corporation and its primary subsidiaries, American Eagle Airlines, Inc. and Executive Airlines, Inc. (collectively, AMR Eagle). The condensed consolidated financial statements also include the accounts of variable interest entities for which the Company is the primary beneficiary. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the AMR Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2006 (2006 Form 10-K).
- 2.0n March 28, 2007, American announced it will pull forward an order with The Boeing Company to take delivery of three 737-800 aircraft in 2009 that American had previously committed to acquire in 2016. American also announced it intends to continue pulling forward other aircraft from their 2013 to 2016 delivery schedules to the 2009 to 2012 timeframe. As of March 31, 2007, the Company had commitments to acquire three Boeing 737-800s in 2009 and an aggregate of 44 Boeing 737-800s and seven Boeing 777-200ERs in 2013 through 2016. Future payments for all aircraft, including the estimated amounts for price escalation, are currently estimated to

be approximately \$2.8 billion, with the majority occurring in 2011 through 2016. However, if the Company commits to accelerating the delivery dates of a significant number of aircraft in the future, a significant portion of the \$2.8 billion commitment will be accelerated into earlier periods, including 2008 and 2009.

- 3.Accumulated depreciation of owned equipment and property at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 was \$11.3 billion and \$11.1 billion, respectively. Accumulated amortization of equipment and property under capital leases was \$1.1 billion at both March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006.
- 4.In April 2007, the United States and the European Union approved an "open skies" air services agreement that provides airlines from the United States and E.U. member states open access to each other's markets, with freedom of pricing and unlimited rights to fly beyond the United States and beyond each E.U. member state. Under the agreement, every U.S. and  $\dot{\mathsf{E}}.\mathsf{U}.$  airline is authorized to operate between airports in the United States and London's Heathrow Airport. Only three airlines besides American were previously allowed to provide that Heathrow service. The agreement will result in the Company facing increased competition in serving Heathrow if additional carriers are able to obtain necessary slots terminal facilities. However, the Company believes that and American and the other carriers who currently have existing authorities and the related slots and facilities, will continue to hold a significant advantage after the advent of open skies. The has recorded route acquisition costs Company (including international routes and slots) of \$829 million as of March 31, 2007, including a significant amount related to operations at Heathrow. The Company considers these assets indefinite life assets under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangibles" and as a result they are not amortized but instead are tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. The Company completed an impairment analysis on the Heathrow routes (including slots) effective March 31, 2007 and concluded that no impairment exists. The Company believes its estimates and assumptions are reasonable, however, given the significant uncertainty regarding how open skies will ultimately affect its operations at Heathrow, the actual results could differ from those estimates. The Company continues to evaluate the appropriate method of accounting for its routes in conjunction with its evaluation of the impact of the open skies agreement on the Company's operations.

-4-

AMR CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) (Unaudited)

5.0n January 1, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48 "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold that a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements and provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition issues.

The Company has an unrecognized tax benefit of approximately \$40 million which did not change significantly during the three months ended March 31, 2007. The application of FIN 48 would have resulted in an increase in retained earnings of \$39 million, except that the increase was fully offset by the application of a valuation allowance. In addition, future changes in the unrecognized tax benefit will have no impact on the effective tax rate due to the existence of the valuation allowance. Accrued interest on tax positions is recorded as a component of interest expense but is not significant at March 31, 2007. The Company does not reasonably estimate that the unrecognized tax benefit will change significantly within the next twelve months.

The Company files its tax returns as prescribed by the tax laws of the jurisdictions in which it operates. The Company is currently under audit by the Internal Revenue Service for its 2001 through 2003 tax years with an anticipated closing date in 2007. The Company's 2004 and 2005 tax years are still subject to examination. Various state and foreign jurisdiction tax years remain open to examination as well, though the Company believes any additional assessment will be immaterial to its consolidated financial statements.

As discussed in Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements in the 2006 Form 10-K, the Company has a valuation allowance against the full amount of its net deferred tax asset. The Company currently provides a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some portion, or all of its deferred tax assets, will not be realized. The Company's deferred tax asset valuation allowance increased approximately \$40 million during the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$1.4 billion as of March 31, 2007, including the impact of comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2007, changes described above from applying FIN 48 and certain other adjustments.

Under special IRS rules (the "Section 382 Limitation"), cumulative stock purchases by material shareholders exceeding 50% during a 3year period can potentially limit a company's future use of net operating losses (NOL's). Such limitation is currently increased by "built-in gains", as provided by current guidance. The Company is not currently subject to the "Section 382 Limitation", and if it were triggered in a future period, under current tax rules, is not expected to significantly impact the recorded value or timing of utilization of AMR's NOL's.

Various taxes and fees assessed on the sale of tickets to end customers are collected by the Copmany as an agent and remitted to taxing authorities. These taxes and fees have been presented on a net basis in the accompanying condensed consolidate statement of operations and recorded as a liability until remitted to the appropriate taxing authority.

6.0n March 30, 2007, American paid in full the \$285 million principal balance of its senior secured revolving credit facility. As of March 31, 2007, the \$444 million term loan facility under the same bank credit facility was still outstanding and the \$285 million balance of the revolving credit facility remains available to American through maturity. The revolving credit facility amortizes at a rate of \$10 million quarterly through December 17, 2007. American's obligations under the credit facility are guaranteed by AMR.

As of March 31, 2007, AMR had issued guarantees covering approximately \$1.4 billion of American's tax-exempt bond debt and American had issued guarantees covering approximately \$1.1 billion of AMR's unsecured debt. In addition, as of March 31, 2007, AMR and American had issued guarantees covering approximately \$368 million of AMR Eagle's secured debt and AMR has issued guarantees covering an additional \$2.5 billion of AMR Eagle's secured debt.

-5-

AMR CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) (Unaudited)

7.On January 16, 2007, the AMR Board of Directors approved the amendment and restatement of the 2005-2007 Performance Share Plan for Officers and Key Employees and the 2005 Deferred Share Award Agreement to permit settlement in a combination of cash and/or stock. However, the amendments did not impact the fair value of the awards. As a result, certain awards under these plans have been accounted for as equity awards since that date and the Company reclassified \$122 million from Accrued liabilities to Additional paid-in-capital in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment".

On January 26, 2007, AMR completed a public offering of 13 million shares of its common stock. The Company realized \$497 million from the sale of equity.

8.The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 (in millions):

		Other	
Pension	Benefits	Postretireme	ent
		Benefits	
2007	2006	2007	2006

Components of net periodic benefit cost

Service cost Interest cost Expected return on assets	\$92 168 (187)	\$99 161 (168)	\$ 17 47 (4)	\$ 18 47 (4)
Amortization of: Prior service cost	4	4	(4)	(2)
Unrecognized net (gain) loss	7	20	(2)	1
Net periodic benefit cost	\$84	\$ 116	\$ 54	\$ 60

The Company expects to contribute approximately \$364 million to its defined benefit pension plans in 2007. The Company's estimates of its defined benefit pension plan contributions reflect the provisions of the Pension Funding Equity Act of 2004 and the Pension Protection Act of 2006. Of the \$364 million the Company expects to contribute to its defined benefit pension plans in 2007, the Company contributed \$62 million during the three months ended March 31, 2007 and contributed \$118 million on April 13, 2007.

9.As a result of the revenue environment, high fuel prices and the Company's restructuring activities, the Company has recorded a number of charges during the last few years. The following table summarizes the components of these changes and the remaining accruals for these charges (in millions):

Demoining operal of	craft Irges	Faci Exit	lity Costs	т	otal	
Remaining accrual at December 31, 2006 Adjustments	\$ 128	\$	19 -	\$	147 -	
Payments Remaining accrual at	(8)		-		(8)	
March 31, 2007	\$ 120	\$	19	\$	139	

Cash outlays related to the accruals for aircraft charges and facility exit costs will occur through 2017 and 2018, respectively.

-6-

AMR CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) (Unaudited)

10.The Company includes changes in the fair value of certain derivative financial instruments that qualify for hedge accounting and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities in comprehensive income. For the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, comprehensive income was \$153 million and \$71 million, respectively. The difference between net income (loss) and comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 is due primarily to the accounting for the Company's derivative financial instruments.

Ineffectiveness is inherent in hedging jet fuel with derivative positions based in crude oil or other crude oil related commodities. As required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", the Company assesses, both at the inception of each hedge and on an on-going basis, whether the derivatives that are used in its hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of the hedged items. In doing so, the Company uses a regression model to determine the correlation of the change in prices of the commodities used to hedge jet fuel (e.g. WTI Crude oil and NYMEX Heating oil) to the change in the price of jet fuel. The Company also monitors the actual dollar offset of the hedges' market values as compared to hypothetical jet fuel hedges. The fuel hedge contracts are generally deemed to be "highly effective" if the R-squared is greater than 80 percent and the dollar offset correlation is within 80 percent to 125 percent. The Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively if it determines that a derivative is no longer expected to be highly effective as a hedge or if it decides to discontinue the hedging relationship.

AMR CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) (Unaudited)

11.The following table sets forth the computations of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share (in millions, except per share data):

Numeratori		Months arch 31,	Ended 2006
Numerator: Net earnings (loss) - numerator for basic earnings (loss) per share Interest on senior convertible notes	\$ 81 7	\$	(92)
Net earnings (loss), adjusted for interest on senior convertible notes convertible notes - numerator for diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ 88	\$	(92)
Denominator: Denominator for basic earnings (loss) per share - weighted average shares Effect of dilutive securities:	236		186
Senior convertible notes	32		-
Employee options and shares Assumed treasury shares repurchased	46 (16)	Ň	-
Dilutive potential common shares	62	)	-
Denominator for basic and diluted loss per share - weighted average shares	298		186
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.35	\$(	0.49)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.30	\$(	0.49)

An insignificant amount of shares related to stock options were not added to the denominator because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price for the common shares for the three month period ended March 31, 2007. For the three month period ended March 31, 2006, approximately 72 million shares issuable upon conversion of the Company's convertible notes or related to employee stock options, performance share plans, and deferred stock were not added to the denominator because inclusion of such shares would be antidilutive.

-8-

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

# Forward-Looking Information

Statements in this report contain various forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of amended. 1934. as which represent the Company's expectations or beliefs amended. concerning future events. When used in this document and in documents incorporated herein by reference, the words "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "indicates," "believes," "forecast," "guidance," "outlook," "may," "will," "should," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Similarly, statements that describe our objectives, plans or goals are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, without limitation, Company's expectations concerning operations and financial the conditions, including changes in capacity, revenues, and costs, future financing plans and needs, overall economic conditions, plans and objectives for future operations, and the impact on the Company of its results of operations in recent years and the sufficiency of its financial resources to absorb that impact. Other forward-looking statements include statements which do not relate solely to historical facts, such as, without limitation, statements which discuss the possible future effects of current known trends or uncertainties, or which indicate that the future effects of known trends or uncertainties cannot be predicted, guaranteed or assured. All forwardlooking statements in this report are based upon information available to the Company on the date of this report. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of factors that could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from the Company's expectations. The following factors, in addition to other possible factors not listed, could cause the Company's actual results materially from those expressed in forward-looking to differ statements: the materially weakened financial condition of the Company, resulting from its significant losses in recent years; the ability of the Company to generate additional revenues and reduce its costs; changes in economic and other conditions beyond the Company's control, and the volatile results of the Company's operations; the Company's substantial indebtedness and other obligations; the ability of the Company to satisfy existing financial or other covenants in certain of its credit agreements; continued high and volatile fuel and further increases in the price of fuel, and the prices availability of fuel; the fiercely and increasingly competitive business environment faced by the Company; industry consolidation, competition with reorganized and reorganizing carriers; low fare levels by historical standards and the Company's reduced pricing power; the Company's potential need to raise additional funds and its ability to do so on acceptable terms; changes in the Company's corporate or business strategy; government regulation of the Company's business; conflicts overseas or terrorist attacks; uncertainties with respect to the Company's international operations; outbreaks of a disease (such as SARS or avian flu) that affects travel behavior; labor costs that are higher than the Company's compe uncertainties with respect to the Company's relationships competitors; with unionized and other employee work groups; increased insurance costs potential reductions of available insurance coverage; and the Company's ability to retain key management personnel; potential failures or disruptions of the Company's computer, communications or other technology systems; changes in the price of the Company's common stock; and the ability of the Company to reach acceptable agreements with third parties. Additional information concerning these and other is contained in the Company's Securities and Exchange factors Commission filings, including but not limited to the Company's 2006 Form 10-K (see in particular Item 1A "Risk Factors" in the 2006 Form 10-K).

#### **Overview**

The Company recorded net earnings of \$81 million in the first quarter of 2007 compared to a loss of \$92 million in the same period last year. The Company's first quarter 2007 results were impacted by an improvement in unit revenues (passenger revenue per available seat mile) and by fuel prices that remain high by historical standards. In addition, a significant number of weather related events impacted the Company's first quarter results and the Company estimates these disruptions decreased scheduled mainline departures for the first quarter of 2007 by approximately 2.9 percent and reduced the Company's revenue by \$60 million during the quarter.

-9-

Mainline passenger unit revenues increased 4.5 percent for the first quarter due to a 0.9 point load factor increase and a 3.3 percent increase in passenger yield (passenger revenue per passenger mile) compared to the same period in 2006. Although load factor performance and passenger yield showed significant year-over-year improvement, passenger yield remains low by historical standards. The Company believes this is the result of excess industry capacity and its reduced pricing power resulting from a number of factors, including greater cost sensitivity on the part of travelers (especially business travelers), increased competition from LCC's and pricing transparency resulting from the use of the internet.

On March 28, 2007, American announced it will pull forward an order with The Boeing Company to take delivery of three 737-800 aircraft in 2009 that American had previously committed to acquiring in 2016. American also announced it intends to continue pulling forward other aircraft from their 2013 to 2016 delivery schedules to the 2009 to 2012 timeframe. As the Company commits to accelerating the delivery dates of aircraft, the related capital expenditure commitments will be accelerated as well. Any decisions to accelerate aircraft deliveries will depend on such factors as future economic and industry conditions and the financial condition of the Company. See Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for more information.

The Company's ability to become consistently profitable and its ability to continue to fund its obligations on an ongoing basis will

depend on a number of factors, many of which are largely beyond the Company's control. Certain risk factors that affect the Company's business and financial results are referred to under "Forward-Looking Information" above and are discussed in the Risk Factors listed in Item 1A (on pages 11-17) in the 2006 Form 10-K. In addition, four of the Company's largest domestic competitors have filed for bankruptcy in the last several years and have used this process to significantly reduce contractual labor and other costs. In order to remain competitive and to improve its financial condition, the Company must continue to take steps to generate additional revenues and to reduce its costs. Although the Company has a number of initiatives underway to address its cost and revenue challenges, the ultimate success of these initiatives is not known at this time and cannot be assured.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### Significant Indebtedness and Future Financing

The Company remains heavily indebted and has significant obligations (including substantial pension funding obligations), as described more fully under Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the 2006 Form 10-K. As of the date of this Form 10-Q, the Company believes it should have sufficient liquidity to fund its operations for the foreseeable future, including repayment of debt and capital leases, capital expenditures and other contractual obligations. However, to maintain sufficient liquidity as Company continues to implement its restructuring and cost the reduction initiatives, and because the Company has significant debt, lease and other obligations in the next several years, as well as substantial pension funding obligations, the Company may need access to additional funding. The Company also continues to evaluate the economic benefits and other aspects of replacing some of the older aircraft in its fleet prior to 2013. The Company's possible financing sources primarily include: (i) a limited amount of additional secured aircraft debt (a very large majority of the Company's owned aircraft, virtually all of the Company's Section 1110-eligible including are encumbered) or sale-leaseback transactions involving aircraft, owned aircraft; (ii) debt secured by new aircraft deliveries; (iii) debt secured by other assets; (iv) securitization of future operating (v) the sale or monetization of certain assets; (vi) receipts; unsecured debt; and (vii) issuance of equity and/or equity-like securities. However, the availability and level of these financing sources cannot be assured, particularly in light of the Company's and American's recent financial results, substantial indebtedness, reduced credit ratings, high fuel prices and the financial difficulties that have been experienced in the airline industry. The inability of the Company to obtain additional funding on acceptable terms would have a material adverse impact on the ability of the Company to sustain its operations over the long-term.

-10-

The Company's substantial indebtedness and other obligations could have important consequences. For example, they could: (i) limit the Company's ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and general corporate purposes, or adversely affect the terms on which such financing could be obtained; (ii) require the Company to dedicate a substantial portion of its cash flow from operations to payments on its indebtedness and other obligations, thereby reducing the funds available for other purposes; (iii) make the Company more vulnerable to economic downturns; (iv) the Company's ability to withstand competitive pressures and limit reduce its flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions; and (v) limit the Company's flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in its business and the industry in which it operates.

#### Credit Facility Covenants

American has a secured bank credit facility which consists of a \$285 million revolving credit facility, with a final maturity on June 17, 2009, and a fully drawn \$444 million term loan facility, with a final maturity on December 17, 2010 (the Revolving Facility and the Term Loan Facility, respectively, and collectively, the Credit Facility). On March 30, 2007, American paid in full the \$285 million principal balance of the Revolving Facility and as of March 31, 2007, it remained undrawn. American's obligations under the Credit Facility are guaranteed by AMR.

The Credit Facility contains a covenant (the Liquidity Covenant)

requiring American to maintain, as defined, unrestricted cash. unencumbered short term investments and amounts available for drawing under committed revolving credit facilities of not less than \$1.25 billion for each quarterly period through the life of the Credit Facility. In addition, the Credit Facility contains a covenant (the EBITDAR Covenant) requiring AMR to maintain a ratio of cash flow (defined as consolidated net income, before interest expense (less capitalized interest), income taxes, depreciation and amortization and rentals, adjusted for certain gains or losses and non-cash items) to fixed charges (comprising interest expense (less capitalized interest) and rentals). The required ratio was 1.30 to 1.00 for the four quarter period ending March 31, 2007, and will increase gradually for each four quarter period ending on each fiscal quarter thereafter until it reaches 1.50 to 1.00 for the four quarter period ending June 30, 2009. AMR and American were in compliance with the Liquidity Covenant and the EBITDAR covenant as of March 31, 2007 and expect to be able to continue to comply with these covenants. However, given fuel prices that are high by historical standards and the volatility of fuel prices and revenues, it is difficult to assess whether AMR and American will, in fact, be able to continue to comply with these covenants, and there are no assurances that AMR and American will be able to do so. Failure to comply with these covenants would result in a default under the Credit Facility which - - if the Company did not take steps to obtain a waiver of, or otherwise mitigate, the default -- - could result in a default under a significant amount of the Company's other debt and lease obligations and otherwise have a material adverse impact on the Company.

## Pension Funding Obligation

The Company expects to contribute approximately \$364 million to its defined benefit pension plans in 2007. The Company's estimates of its defined benefit pension plan contributions reflect the provisions of the Pension Funding Equity Act of 2004 and the Pension Protection Act of 2006. Of the \$364 million the Company expects to contribute to its defined benefit pension plans in 2007, the Company contributed \$62 million during the three months ended March 31, 2007 and contributed \$118 million on April 13, 2007.

#### Compensation

As described in Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, during 2006 and January 2007, the AMR Board of Directors approved the amendment and restatement of all of the outstanding performance share plans, the related performance share agreements and deferred share agreements that required settlement in cash. The plans were amended to permit settlement in cash and/or stock; however, the amendments did not impact the fair value of the awards under the plans. These changes were made in connection with a grievance filed by the Company's three labor unions which asserted that a cash settlement may be contrary to a component of the Company's 2003 Annual Incentive Program agreement with the unions.

-11-

American has a profit sharing program that provides variable compensation that rewards frontline employees when American achieves certain financial targets. Generally, the profit sharing plan provides for a profit sharing pool for eligible employees equal to 15 percent of pre-tax income of American in excess of \$500 million. Based on current conditions, the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements include an accrual for profit sharing. There can be no assurance that the Company's forecasts will approximate actual results. Additionally, reductions in the Company's forecasts of income for 2007 could result in the reversal of a portion or all of the previously recorded profit sharing expense.

### Cash Flow Activity

At March 31, 2007, the Company had \$5.4 billion in unrestricted cash and short-term investments, an increase of \$668 million from December 31, 2006, and \$285 million available under the Revolving Facility. Net cash provided by operating activities in the three-month period ended March 31, 2007 was \$902 million, an increase of \$113 million over the same period in 2006 primarily due to an improved revenue environment and the impact of certain Company initiatives to improve revenue. The Company contributed \$62 million to its defined benefit pension plans in the first quarter of 2007 compared to \$36 million during the first quarter of 2006. Capital expenditures for the first three months of 2007 were \$182 million and primarily included aircraft modifications and the cost of improvements at New York's John F. Kennedy airport (JFK). Substantially all of the Company's construction costs at JFK will be reimbursed through a fund established from a previous financing transaction.

On January 26, 2007, AMR completed a public offering of 13 million shares of its common stock. The Company realized \$497 million from the sale of equity.

-12-

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 and 2006

#### Revenues

The Company's revenues increased approximately \$83 million, or 1.6 percent, to \$5.4 billion in the first quarter of 2007 from the same period last year. American's passenger revenues increased by 1.9 percent, or \$82 million, on a 2.5 percent decrease in capacity (available seat mile) (ASM). American's passenger load factor increased 0.9 points to 78.1 percent while passenger yield increased by 3.3 percent to 13.28 cents. This resulted in an increase in passenger revenue per available seat mile (RASM) of 4.5 percent to 10.38 cents. Following is additional information regarding American's domestic and international RASM and capacity:

	Three	Months Ende	ed March 31,	2007
	RASM	Y-0-Y	ASMs	Y-0-Y
	(cents)	Change	(billions)	Change
DOT Domestic	10 10	1 0%		(2, 1)0
	10.19	1.0%	26.8	(3.1)%
International	10.71	11.3	14.9	(1.4)
DOT Latin America	11.54	10.3	7.8	1.3
DOT Atlantic	9.94	9.7	5.4	(2.1)
DOT Pacific	9.29	19.3	1.7	(10.5)

The Company's Regional Affiliates include two wholly owned subsidiaries, American Eagle Airlines, Inc. and Executive Airlines, Inc. (collectively, AMR Eagle), and two independent carriers with which American has capacity purchase agreements, Trans States Airlines, Inc. (Trans States) and Chautauqua Airlines, Inc. (Chautauqua).

Regional Affiliates' passenger revenues, which are based on industry standard proration agreements for flights connecting to American flights, decreased \$11 million, or 1.9 percent, to \$558 million as a result of decreased load factors and passenger yield. Regional Affiliates' traffic decreased 0.7 percent to 2.3 billion revenue passenger miles (RPMs), while capacity increased 0.5 percent to 3.3 billion ASMs, resulting in a 0.8 point decrease in the passenger load factor to 69.1 percent.

-13-

#### **Operating Expenses**

The Company's total operating expenses decreased 1.0 percent, or \$50 million, to \$5.2 billion in the first quarter of 2007 compared to the first quarter of 2006. American's mainline operating expenses per ASM in the first quarter of 2007 increased 0.9 percent to 10.91 cents compared to the first quarter of 2006. These increases are due primarily to a significant number of weather related cancellations that resulted in a 2.9 percent decrease in the Company's scheduled mainline departures during the first quarter of 2007. In addition, the Company's operating and financial results are significantly affected by the price of jet fuel. Continuing high fuel prices, additional increases in the price of fuel and/or disruptions in the supply of fuel would further adversely affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

(in millions)	Three Months		
	Ended	Change	Percentage
Operating Expenses	March 31, 2007	from 2006	Change

Wages, salaries and

benefits Aircraft fuel Other rentals and	\$ 1,671 1,410	\$ (58) (63)	(3.4)% (4.3)
landing fees Depreciation and	329	13	4.1
amortization Commissions, booking fees	290	3	1.0
and credit card expense Maintenance, materials	249	(20)	(7.4)
and repairs	248	12	5.1
Aircraft rentals	151	5	3.4
Food service	127	3	2.4
Other operating expenses	704	55	8.5
Total operating expenses	\$ 5,179	\$ (50)	(1.0)%

# Other Income (Expense)

Interest income increased \$24 million due primarily to an increase in short-term investment balances. Interest expense decreased \$20 million as a result of a decrease in the Company's long-term debt balance.

#### Income Tax Benefit

The Company did not record a net tax benefit associated with its first quarter 2007 earnings and first quarter 2006 loss due to the Company providing a valuation allowance, as discussed in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

-14-

Operating Statistics The following table provides statistical information for American and Regional Affiliates for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006.

		Months Ended March 31,
	2007	2006
American Airlines, Inc. Mainline Jet		
Operations		
Revenue passenger miles (millions)	32,575	33,015
Available seat miles (millions)	41,691	42,752
Cargo ton miles (millions)	524	-
Passenger load factor	78.1%	77.2%
Passenger revenue yield per passenger		
mile (cents)	13.28	12.85
Passenger revenue per available seat		
mile (cents)	10.38	
Cargo revenue yield per ton mile (cents)	38.36	35.65
Operating expenses per available seat mile		
<pre>excluding Regional Affiliates (cents)(*)</pre>		10.81
Fuel consumption (gallons, in millions)	692	
Fuel price per gallon (cents)	184.2	
Operating aircraft at period-end	697	700
Designal Affiliates		
Regional Affiliates	0 000	0 077
Revenue passenger miles (millions)	2,262	,
Available seat miles (millions)	3,274	,
Passenger load factor	69.1%	69.9%

(\*) Excludes \$668 million and \$654 million of expense incurred related to Regional Affiliates in 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Operating aircraft at March 31, 2007, included:

American Airlines Aircraft		AMR Eagle Aircraft	
Airbus A300-600R	34	Bombardier CRJ-700	25
Boeing 737-800	77	Embraer 135	39
Boeing 757-200	141	Embraer 140	59
Boeing 767-200 Extended Range	15	Embraer 145	108
Boeing 767-300 Extended Range	58	Super ATR	39
Boeing 777-200 Extended Range	47	Saab 340B Plus	35
McDonnell Douglas MD-80	325	Total	305
Total	697		

The average aircraft age for American's and AMR Eagle's aircraft is 14.1 years and 6.8 years, respectively.

Of the operating aircraft listed above, 25 McDonnell Douglas MD-80 aircraft - 12 owned, eight operating leased and five capital leased - - and nine operating leased Saab 340B Plus aircraft were in temporary storage as of March 31, 2007.

-15-

Owned and leased aircraft not operated by the Company at March 31, 2007, included:

American Airlines Aircraft		AMR Eagle Aircraft	
Boeing 757-200	1	Embraer 145	10
Boeing 767-200 Extended Range	1	Saab 340B/340B Plus	32
Fokker 100	4	Total	42
McDonnell Douglas MD-80	25		
Total	31		

AMR Eagle leased its 10 owned Embraer 145s that are not operated by AMR Eagle to Trans States Airlines, Inc.

#### **Outlook**

The Company currently expects second quarter 2007 mainline unit costs to increase approximately 2.1 percent year over year and full year 2007 mainline unit cost to increase approximately 1.6 percent year over year.

Capacity for American's mainline jet operations is expected to decline approximately 3.1 percent in the second quarter compared to the second quarter of 2006 and is expected to decline approximately 1.8 percent for the full year 2007 compared to 2006.

### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. The Company believes its estimates and assumptions are reasonable; however, actual results and the timing of the recognition of such amounts could differ from those estimates. The Company has identified the following critical accounting policies and estimates used by management in the preparation of the Company's financial statements: accounting for longassets, passenger revenue, frequent flyer program, stock lived compensation, pensions and other postretirement benefits, and income taxes. These policies and estimates are described in the 2006 Form 10-In addition, the following policy was added during the three Κ. months ended March 31, 2007.

Routes - AMR performs annual impairment tests on its routes, which are indefinite life intangible assets under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangibles" and as a result they are not amortized. The Company also performs impairment tests when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired. These tests are based on estimates of discounted future cash flows, using assumptions based on historical results adjusted to reflect the Company's best estimate of future market and operating conditions. The net carrying value of assets not recoverable is reduced to fair value. The Company's estimates of fair value represent its best estimate based on industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions.

recorded route acquisition costs The Company has (including international routes and slots) of \$829 million as of March 31, 2007, including a significant amount related to operations at London Heathrow. The Company completed an impairment analysis on the London Heathrow routes (including slots) effective March 31, 2007 and concluded that no impairment exists. The Company believes its and assumptions are reasonable, however, estimates given the

significant uncertainty regarding how the recent open skies agreement will ultimately affect its operations at Heathrow, the actual results could differ from those estimates. In addition, the Company continues to evaluate the appropriate method of accounting for its routes in conjunction with its evaluation of the impact of the open skies agreement on the Company's operations. See Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information.

-16-

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

There have been no material changes in market risk from the information provided in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk of the Company's 2006 Form 10-K. The change in market risk for aircraft fuel is discussed below for informational purposes.

The risk inherent in the Company's fuel related market risk sensitive instruments and positions is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in the price of fuel. The sensitivity analyses presented do not consider the effects that such adverse changes may have on overall economic activity, nor do they consider additional actions management may take to mitigate the Company's exposure to such changes. Therefore, actual results may differ. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

Aircraft Fuel The Company's earnings are affected by changes in the price and availability of aircraft fuel. In order to provide a measure of control over price and supply, the Company trades and ships fuel and maintains fuel storage facilities to support its flight operations. The Company also manages the price risk of fuel costs primarily by using jet fuel, heating oil, and crude oil hedging Market risk is estimated as a hypothetical 10 percent contracts. increase in the March 31, 2007 cost per gallon of fuel. Based on projected 2007 and 2008 fuel usage through March 31, 2007, such increase would result in an increase to aircraft fuel expense an of approximately \$518 million in the twelve months ended March 31, 2008, of the impact of effective fuel hedge instruments inclusive outstanding at March 31, 2007, and assumes the Company's fuel hedging program remains effective under Financial Accounting Standard No. 133, for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities". "Accounting Comparatively, based on projected 2007 fuel usage, such an increase would have resulted in an increase to aircraft fuel expense of approximately \$531 million in the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, inclusive of the impact of fuel hedge instruments outstanding at December 31, 2006. The change in market risk is primarily due to the increase in fuel prices.

Ineffectiveness is inherent in hedging jet fuel with derivative positions based in crude oil or other crude oil related commodities. As required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", the Company assesses, both at the inception of each hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in its hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of the hedged items. In doing so, the Company uses a regression model to determine the correlation of the change in prices of the commodities used to hedge jet fuel (e.g. WTI Crude oil and NYMEX Heating oil) to the change in the price of jet fuel. The Company also monitors the actual dollar offset of the hedges' market values as compared to hypothetical jet fuel hedges. The fuel hedge contracts are generally deemed to be "highly effective" if the R-squared is greater than 80 percent and the dollar offset correlation is within 80 percent to 125 percent. The Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively if it determines that a derivative is no longer expected to be highly effective as a hedge or if it decides to discontinue the hedging relationship.

As of March 31, 2007, the Company had effective hedges, including option contracts and collars, covering approximately 26 percent of its estimated remaining 2007 fuel requirements and an insignificant amount of its estimated fuel requirements thereafter. The consumption hedged for the remainder of 2007 is capped at an average price of approximately \$64 per barrel of crude oil. A deterioration of the Company's financial position could negatively affect the Company's ability to hedge fuel in the future.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The term "disclosure controls and procedures" is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act. This term refers to the controls and procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission. An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2007. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2007. During the quarter ending on March 31, 2007, there was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

-18-

# PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On July 26, 1999, a class action lawsuit was filed, and in November 1999 an amended complaint was filed, against AMR, American, AMR Eagle, Airlines Reporting Corporation, and the Sabre Group Holdings, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division (Westways World Travel, Inc. v. AMR Corp., et al.). The lawsuit alleges that requiring travel agencies to pay debit memos to American for violations of American's fare rules (by customers of the agencies): (1) breaches the Agent Reporting Agreement between American and AMR Eagle and the plaintiffs; (2) constitutes unjust enrichment; and (3) violates the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 (RICO). On July 9, 2003, the court certified a class that included all travel agencies who have been or will be required to pay money to American for debit memos for fare rules violations from July 26, 1995 to the present. The plaintiffs sought to enjoin American from enforcing the pricing rules in question and to recover the amounts paid for debit memos, plus treble damages, attorneys' fees, and costs. On February 24, 2005, court decertified the class. The claims against Airlines the Reporting Corporation have been dismissed, and in September 2005, the Court granted Summary Judgment in favor of the Company and all other defendants. Plaintiffs have filed an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Although the Company believes that the litigation is without merit, a final adverse court decision could impose restrictions on the Company's relationships with travel agencies, which could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

Between April 3, 2003 and June 5, 2003, three lawsuits were filed by travel agents, some of whom opted out of a prior class action (now dismissed) to pursue their claims individually against American, other airline defendants, and in one case against certain airline defendants and Orbitz LLC. The cases, Tam Travel et. al., v. Delta Air Lines et. al., in the United States District Court for the Northern District California, San Francisco (51 individual agencies), Paula Fausky d/b/a Timeless Travel v. American Airlines, et. al, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division (29 agencies) and Swope Travel et al. v. Orbitz et. al. in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Beaumont Division (71 agencies) were consolidated for pre-trial purposes in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. Collectively, these lawsuits seek damages and injunctive relief alleging that the certain airline defendants and Orbitz LLC: (i) conspired to prevent travel agents from acting as effective competitors in the distribution of airline tickets to passengers in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act; (ii) conspired to monopolize the distribution of common carrier air travel between airports in the United States in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act; and that (iii) between 1995 and the present, the airline defendants conspired to reduce commissions paid to U.S.-based travel agents in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. On September 23, 2005, the Fausky plaintiffs dismissed their claims with prejudice. On

September 14, 2006, the court dismissed with prejudice 28 of the Swope plaintiffs. American continues to vigorously defend these lawsuits. A final adverse court decision awarding substantial money damages or placing material restrictions on the Company's distribution practices would have a material adverse impact on the Company.

Miami-Dade County (the County) is currently investigating and conditions at Miami remediating various environmental the International Airport (MIA) and funding the remediation costs through landing fees and various cost recovery methods. American and AMR Eagle have been named as potentially responsible parties (PRPs) for the contamination at MIA. During the second quarter of 2001, the County filed a lawsuit against 17 defendants, including American, in an attempt to recover its past and future cleanup costs (Miami-Dade County, Florida v. Advance Cargo Services, Inc., et al. in the Florida Circuit Court). The Company is vigorously defending the lawsuit. Τn addition to the 17 defendants named in the lawsuit, 243 other agencies and companies were also named as PRPs and contributors to the contamination. The case is currently stayed while the parties pursue an alternative dispute resolution process. The County has proposed draft allocation models for remedial costs for the Terminal and Tank Farm areas of MIA. While it is anticipated that American and AMR Eagle will be allocated equitable shares of remedial costs, the Company does not expect the allocated amounts to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

-19-

American is defending an appeal of a lawsuit, filed as a class action but not certified as such, arising from allegedly improper failure to refund certain governmental taxes and fees collected by American upon the sale of nonrefundable tickets when such tickets are not used for In Harrington v. Delta Air Lines, Inc., et al. (filed travel. November 24, 2004 in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts), the plaintiffs sought unspecified actual damages declaratory judgment, injunctive relief, costs, ees. The suit asserted various causes of a (trebled), and attorneys fees. action, including breach of contract, conversion, and unjust enrichment against American and numerous other airline defendants. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss, which was granted. The plaintiffs appealed to the First Circuit Court of Appeals. On February 7, 2007, the First Circuit affirmed the dismissal. American is vigorously defending the suit and believes it to be without merit. However, a final adverse court decision requiring American to refund collected taxes and/or fees could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

On July 12, 2004, a consolidated class action complaint, that was subsequently amended on November 30, 2004, was filed against American and the Association of Professional Flight Attendants (APFA), the union which represents the American's flight attendants (Ann M. Marcoux, et al., v. American Airlines Inc., et al. in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York). While a class has not yet been certified, the lawsuit seeks on behalf of all of American's flight attendants or various subclasses to set aside, and to obtain damages allegedly resulting from, the April 2003 Collective Bargaining Agreement referred to as the Restructuring Participation Agreement (RPA). The RPA was one of three labor agreements American successfully reached with its unions in order to avoid filing for bankruptcy in 2003. In a related case (Sherry Cooper, et al. v. TWA Airlines, LLC, et al., also in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York), the court denied a preliminary injunction against implementation of the RPA on June 30, 2003. The Marcoux suit alleges various claims against the APFA and American relating to the RPA and the ratification vote on the RPA by individual APFA members, including: violation of the Labor Management Reporting and Disclosure Act (LMRDA) and the APFA's Constitution and violation by the APFA of its duty of fair representation By-laws, to its members, violation by American of provisions of the Railway Labor (RLA) through improper coercion of flight attendants into voting Act changing their vote for ratification, and violations of or the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 (RICO). 0n March 28, 2006, the district court dismissed all of various state law claims against American, all but one of the LMRDA claims against the APFA, and the claimed violations of RICO. This left the claimed violations of the RLA and the duty of fair representation against American and the APFA (as well as one LMRDA claim and one claim against the APFA of a breach of its constitution). By letter da February 9, 2007, plaintiffs' counsel informed counsel for dated February 9, the defendants that plaintiffs do not intend to pursue the LMRDA claim against APFA further. Although the Company believes the case against

it is without merit and both American and the APFA are vigorously defending the lawsuit, a final adverse court decision invalidating the RPA and awarding substantial money damages would have a material adverse impact on the Company.

-20-

On February 14, 2006, the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice (the "DOJ") served the Company with a grand jury subpoena as part of an ongoing investigation into possible criminal violations of the antitrust laws by certain domestic and foreign air cargo carriers. At this time, the Company does not believe it is a target of the DOJ investigation. The New Zealand Commerce Commission notified the Company on February 17, 2006 that it is also investigating whether the Company and certain other cargo carriers entered into agreements relating to fuel surcharges, security surcharges, war risk surcharges, and customs clearance surcharges. On February 22, 2006, the Company received a letter from the Swiss Competition Commission informing the Company that it too is investigating whether the Company and certain other cargo carriers entered into agreements relating to fuel surcharges, security surcharges, war risk surcharges, and customs clearance surcharges. On December 19, 2006, the Company received a request for information from the European Commission seeking information regarding the Company's revenue and pricing announcements for air cargo shipments to and from the European Union. On January 23, 2007, the Brazilian competition authorities, as part of an ongoing investigation, conducted an unannounced search of the Company's cargo facilities in Sao Paulo, The authorities are investigating whether the Company and Brazil. certain other foreign and domestic air carriers violated Brazilian competition laws by illegally conspiring to set fuel surcharges on cargo shipments. The Company intends to cooperate fully with these investigations. In the event that these or other investigations uncover violations of the U.S. antitrust laws or the competition laws of some other jurisdiction, such findings and related legal proceedings could have a material adverse impact on the Company. Approximately 44 purported class action lawsuits have been filed in the U.S. against the Company and certain foreign and domestic air carriers alleging that the defendants violated U.S. antitrust laws by illegally conspiring to set prices and surcharges on cargo shipments. These cases, along with other purported class action lawsuits in which the Company was not named, were consolidated in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York as In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation, 06-MD-1775 on June 20, 2006. Plaintiffs are seeking trebled money damages and injunctive relief. The Company has not been named as a defendant in the consolidated complaint filed by the plaintiffs. However, the plaintiffs have not released any claims that they may have against the Company, and the Company may later be added as a defendant in the litigation. If the Company is sued on these claims, it will vigorously defend the suit, but any adverse judgment could have a material adverse impact on the Company. Also, on January 23, 2007, the Company was served with a purported class action complaint filed against the Company, American, and certain foreign and domestic air carriers in the Supreme Court of British Columbia in Canada (McKay v. Ace Aviation Holdings, et al.). plaintiff alleges that the defendants violated The Canadian competition laws by illegally conspiring to set prices and surcharges on cargo shipments. The complaint seeks compensatory and punitive damages under Canadian law. American will vigorously defend these lawsuits; however, any adverse judgment could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

On June 20, 2006, the DOJ served the Company with a grand jury subpoena as part of an ongoing investigation into possible criminal violations of the antitrust laws by certain domestic and foreign passenger carriers. At this time, the Company does not believe it is a target of the DOJ investigation. The Company intends to cooperate fully with this investigation. In the event that this or other investigations uncover violations of the U.S. antitrust laws or the competition laws of some other jurisdiction, such findings and related legal proceedings could have a material adverse impact on the Company. Approximately 52 purported class action lawsuits have been filed in the U.S. against the Company and certain foreign and domestic air carriers alleging that the defendants violated U.S. antitrust laws by illegally conspiring to set prices and surcharges for passenger transportation. These cases, along with other purported class action lawsuits in which the Company was not named, were consolidated in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California In re International Air Transportation Surcharge Antitrust as Litigation, M 06-01793 on October 25, 2006. Plaintiffs are seeking

trebled money damages and injunctive relief. American will vigorously defend these lawsuits; however, any adverse judgment could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

American is defending a lawsuit (Love Terminal Partners, L.P. et al. v. The City of Dallas, Texas et al.) filed on July 17, 2006 in the United States District Court in Dallas. The suit was brought by two lessees of facilities at Dallas Love Field Airport against American, the cities of Fort Worth and Dallas, Southwest Airlines, Inc., and the Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport Board. The suit alleges that an agreement by and between the five defendants with respect to Dallas Love Field violates Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief and compensatory and statutory damages. American will vigorously defend this lawsuit; however, any adverse judgment could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

-21-

On August 21, 2006, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed against American and American Beacon Advisors, Inc. (a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company), in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (Ronald A. Katz Technology Licensing, L.P. v. American Airlines, Inc., et al.). The plaintiff alleges that American and American Beacon infringe a number of the plaintiff's patents, each of which relates to automated telephone call processing systems. The plaintiff is seeking past and future royalties, injunctive relief, costs and attorneys' fees. Although the Company believes that the plaintiff's claims are without merit and is vigorously defending the lawsuit, a final adverse court decision awarding substantial money damages or placing material restrictions on existing automated telephone call system operations would have a material adverse impact on the Company.

American is defending a lawsuit (Kelley Kivilaan v. American Airlines, Inc.), filed on September 16, 2004 in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee. The suit was brought by a flight attendant who seeks to represent a purported class of current and former flight attendants. The suit alleges that several of the Company's medical benefits plans discriminate against females on the basis of their gender in not providing coverage in all circumstances for prescription contraceptives. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages. The case has not been certified as a class action, but we anticipate that a motion for class certification will be filed in the first quarter of 2007. American will vigorously defend this lawsuit; however, any adverse judgment could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

-22-

#### Item 5. Other Information

American has announced a pay plan, funded at 1.5 percent of base salaries, for all American employees on U.S. payroll, to be effective May 1, 2007. On April 18, 2007, the Board approved 1.5 percent increases in the base salaries for officers (including the executive officers of AMR and American), to be effective May 1, 2007.

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are included herein:

- 12 Computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a- 14(a).
- 32 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18, United States Code).

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AMR CORPORATION

Date: April 20, 2007 BY: /s/ Thomas W. Horton Thomas W. Horton Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

-24-

# AMR CORPORATION Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges (in millions)

× ×	e Months 007	Ended	March 31, 2006
Earnings (loss): Earnings (loss) before income taxes	\$ 81	\$	(92)
Add: Total fixed charges (per below)	479		485
Less: Interest capitalized	9		7
Total earnings (loss) before income taxes	\$ 551	\$	386
Fixed charges: Interest	\$ 227	\$	246
Portion of rental expense representative of the interest factor	233		218
Amortization of debt expense Total fixed charges	\$ 19 479	\$	21 485
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	1.15		-
Coverage deficiency	\$ -	\$	99

I, Gerard J. Arpey, certify that:

- I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of AMR Corporation;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 20, 2007

/s/ Gerard J. Arpey Gerard J. Arpey Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

- I, Thomas W. Horton, certify that:
- I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of AMR Corporation;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 20, 2007 /s/ Thomas W. Horton Thomas W. Horton Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

## AMR CORPORATION Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code)

Pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18, United States Code), each of the undersigned officers of AMR Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the Company), does hereby certify, to such officer's knowledge, that:

The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 (the Form 10-Q) of the Company fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

- Date: April 20, 2007 /s/ Gerard J. Arpey Gerard J. Arpey Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
- Date: April 20, 2007 /s/ Thomas W. Horton Thomas W. Horton Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18, United States Code) and is not being filed as part of the Form 10-Q or as a separate disclosure document.